

## Brett Peterkin

---

**From:** Ian Jackson [Ian.Jackson@dnr.nsw.gov.au]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 13 March 2007 2:50 PM  
**To:** Brett Peterkin  
**Subject:** RE: FW: Hexham Swamp Rehabilitation Project - BAL BundSiteProposedModification

Brett

Thanks for the response to the query posed relating to the proposed bund around the Broadcast Australia towers at Sandgate.

As the consultants have confirmed that the proposed concrete bund will have the same crest height, and hydraulic impact as the original earthen bund, then I have no objections to the modification of the original design.

Regards

Ian Jackson  
Natural Resource Project Officer (Coast & Floodplain Management)  
Department of Natural Resources  
Phone: (02) 49042537  
Fax: (02) 49042504

>>> "Brett Peterkin" <brett.peterkin@bigpond.com> 03/13/07 1:32 pm >>>  
Ian

Can you please provide an email if your issues are addressed so that we can include it with documentation to go to Dept. of Planning?

Regards

Brett

---

**From:** Ian Jackson [mailto:Ian.Jackson@dnr.nsw.gov.au]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 13 March 2007 2:23 PM  
**To:** Brett Peterkin  
**Subject:** Re: FW: Hexham Swamp Rehabilitation Project - BAL Bund SiteProposedModification

Brett

Thanks for that

Ian

>>> "Brett Peterkin" <brett.peterkin@bigpond.com> 03/13/07 11:43 am >>>  
Ian

Please refer to Phil's reply - please let me now if you require further info - I will seek a letter/email form you once all issues are addressed

Brett

---

**From:** Philip Haines [mailto:phaines@wbmpl.com.au]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 13 March 2007 11:36 AM  
**To:** 'Brett Peterkin'  
**Subject:** RE: Hexham Swamp Rehabilitation Project - BAL Bund Site ProposedModification

19/03/2007

I confirm that the height of the concrete wall will not be higher than the previously proposed VENM fill bund, and as such, will act no different, hydraulically, to the earthen bund.

The EIS contained an assessment of hydraulics, including the bund (earthen or concrete – doesn't matter from a modelling assessment perspective).

Cheers

Phil

---

**From:** Brett Peterkin [mailto:brett.peterkin@bigpond.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, 9 March 2007 7:22 PM  
**To:** 'Philip Haines'  
**Subject:** FW: Hexham Swamp Rehabilitation Project - BAL Bund Site Proposed Modification

Phil

Please advise

Regards

Brett

---

**From:** Ian Jackson [mailto:Ian.Jackson@dnr.nsw.gov.au]  
**Sent:** Friday, 9 March 2007 11:44 AM  
**To:** Brett Peterkin  
**Subject:** Re: Hexham Swamp Rehabilitation Project - BAL Bund Site Proposed Modification

Brett

I have no objections to the changing of the design provided that the crest height of the proposed concrete bund will not be higher than the earthen bund.

However there is to be no hydraulic impact difference between the earth and concrete bunds (which there should not be assuming the bunds are the same height). Could you please confirm whether an assessment has already been conducted as part of the original proposal.

Regards

Ian

>>> "Brett Peterkin" <brett.peterkin@bigpond.com> 03/09/07 8:16 am >>>  
Ian

This is what we are proposing for a modification to the Broadcast Australia Bund - Department of Planning has asked if I could seek letters of support for the proposal from DNR and DEC (I'm planning to catch up with Mitch Bennet today and explain the situation).

Could you please provide any comment on the attachment and return to me?

Thanks

Brett

19/03/2007



-----  
-----  
  
This message is intended for the addressee named and may contain confidential/privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete it and notify the sender.

Views expressed in this message are those of the individual sender, and are not necessarily the views of the Department.

You should scan any attached files for viruses.

-----  
-----  
  
!DSPAM:45f119473001064886807!

-----  
-----  
  
This message is intended for the addressee named and may contain confidential/privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete it and notify the sender.

Views expressed in this message are those of the individual sender, and are not necessarily the views of the Department.

You should scan any attached files for viruses.

-----  
-----  
  
-----  
-----  
  
This message is intended for the addressee named and may contain confidential/privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete it and notify the sender.

Views expressed in this message are those of the individual sender, and are not necessarily the views of the Department.

You should scan any attached files for viruses.