


OPPOSITION TO MOOREBANK BUILDING WASTE PROCESSING PLANT

I **oppose** the proposed Moorebank Waste Processing Project (Project Number 05 0157) at Lot 6 DP 1065574, Newbridge Road, Moorebank.



PCU043187

1. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the proposed and proposed residential homes. The proposed development is just 2 estate. Trucks using the proposed facility will travel even closer to residents through Georges Fair, passing parks and local residents, using roads not
2. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the risks from consultation meeting held on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club, the representatives of the developer refused to comment on whether asbestos would be processed at the facility and whether toxins would be released. There is a link between silica dust and lung cancer ('Occupational Exposure to Silica and Lung Cancer', *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers and Prevention Journal*, Volume 19, 2010). There is no known cure for silicosis ('A Brief Review of Silicosis in the US', *Environ Health Insights*, Volume 4, East Carolina University, 2010). The developer plans to install sweepers "where trucks and people will travel" and that there are "dust-reduced areas" in the development. Their own documentation refers to "likely dust from the recycling facility" (Developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club). This is an unacceptable health risk for local residents.
3. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the potential for flooding. The proposed development is in an area that floods. In the event of a flood, unsafe building materials and material stockpiles may cause an environmental disaster throughout Moorebank and the entire Georges River Basin.
4. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the NSW Government has indicated there will be "a return of local planning powers to local communities" ('NSW govt scraps 3A planning provision', *SMH*, 4/4/2011). The local community is opposed to this development. Councillors of the Liverpool City Council have voted unanimously against this development (*Minutes, Liverpool City Council meeting, 15/6/2011*).
5. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is incompatible with the current and planned residential and recreational uses of the area. The proposed concrete processing facility is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate, with a high proportion of residents having young families. The area is zoned E2 Environmental Conservation. It should not be used for a concrete processing plant. In close proximity are schools, parklands, the Georges River itself, cycleways, a proposed waterside marina, a library and a golf club. The land is more suitable for recreational facilities or a reserve. Even the owners of the Benedict Recycling plant at Moorebank (which borders the proposed facility) have accepted that the area is no longer suitable for a building waste processing plant and have started to shut down their operations to create their own housing estate and marina.
6. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of increased traffic. The developer states the proposed facility will result in an extra 324 truck movements a day, which is "very low and will have no impact on the arterial road network" (developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club). Increased traffic will have a significant impact on arterial roads including Nuwarra Road, Newbridge Road and Governor Macquarie Drive. There is already significant traffic in the area which will be made worse by the 'Intermodal', which will cause an extra 1.5 million truck movements in the first year. Truck movements will primarily be in peak hours, further impacting on already high congestion. The residents of Moorebank and other areas should not experience any additional noise, pollution and congestion from this proposed facility, given the likelihood of the 'Intermodal'.
7. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the negative impact on land values. Residents of the Moorebank precinct in particular have spent significant amounts of money on land and homes. The proposed development will have a severe impact on land values and residents will not be compensated.
8. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because there was a lack of community consultation at a meeting held by representatives of the developers on 31/5/2011. The organisers did not notify a significant number of local residents and future residents about the meeting. The organisers refused to answer questions from local residents on health risks. The organisers did not allow time to note down and respond to residents' concerns.
9. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because it is dangerous to residents for a concrete processing plant to be located in this area of metropolitan Sydney. There are pre-existing waste processing plants operated by Benedict Recycling and Smorgan Steel Recycling at Chipping Norton. Benedict Recycling is planning to build another plant at Heathcote. There is no need for so many waste processing plants in such close proximity to each other.

As a local community member, I **OPPOSE** the building waste processing plant. I call on councillors, the NSW Parliament, the Minister for Planning, the Planning Assessment Commission, the NSW Department of Planning and Infrastructure and the Premier of NSW to **REJECT** this proposal.

FULL NAME:
IAN MAENAUCHT

SIGNATURE:

ADDRESS:

6 TURTON RD MOOREBANK

2170

DATE:

3/4/13

OPPOSITION TO MOOREBANK BUILDING WASTE PROCESSING PLANT

I **oppose** the proposed Moorebank Waste Processing Project (Project Number 05 0157) at Lot 6 DP 1065574, Newbridge Road, Moorebank.

1. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is far too close to existing and proposed residential homes. The proposed development is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate. Trucks using the proposed facility will travel even closer to residential homes. Trucks will likely short cut through Georges Fair, passing parks and local residents, using roads not designed for massive trucks.
2. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the risks from asbestos and toxins. At the community consultation meeting held on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club, the representatives of the developer refused to comment on whether asbestos would be processed at the facility and whether toxins would be released. There is a link between silica dust and lung cancer ('Occupational Exposure to Silica and Lung Cancer', *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers and Prevention Journal*, Volume 19, 2010). There is no known cure for silicosis ('A Brief Review of Silicosis in the US', *Environ Health Insights*, Volume 4, East Carolina University, 2010). The developer plans to install sweepers "where trucks and people will travel" and that there are "unsealed areas" in the development. Their own documentation refers to "likely dust from the recycling facility" (pg 3, *developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club*). This is an unacceptable health risk for local residents.
3. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the potential for flooding. The proposed development is in an area that floods. In the event of a flood, unsafe building materials and material stockpiles may cause an environmental disaster throughout Moorebank and the entire Georges River Basin.
4. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the NSW Government has indicated there will be "a return of local planning powers to local communities" ('NSW govt scraps 3A planning provision', *SMH*, 4/4/2011). The local community is opposed to this development. Councillors of the Liverpool City Council have voted unanimously against this development (*Minutes, Liverpool City Council meeting, 15/6/2011*).
5. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is incompatible with the current and planned residential and recreational uses of the area. The proposed concrete processing facility is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate, with a high proportion of residents having young families. The area is zoned E2 Environmental Conservation. It should not be used for a concrete processing plant. In close proximity are schools, parklands, the Georges River itself, cycleways, a proposed waterside marina, a library and a golf club. The land is more suitable for recreational facilities or a reserve. Even the owners of the Benedict Recycling plant at Moorebank (which borders the proposed facility) have accepted that the area is no longer suitable for a building waste processing plant and have started to shut down *their* operations to create their own housing estate and marina.
6. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of increased traffic. The developer states the proposed facility will result in an extra 324 truck movements a day, which is "very low and will have no impact on the arterial road network" (*developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club*). Increased traffic will have a significant impact on arterial roads including Nuwarra Road, Newbridge Road and Governor Macquarie Drive. There is already significant traffic in the area which will be made worse by the 'Intermodal', which will cause an extra 1.5 million truck movements in the first year. Truck movements will primarily be in peak hours, further impacting on already high congestion. The residents of Moorebank and other areas should not experience any additional noise, pollution and congestion from this proposed facility, given the likelihood of the 'Intermodal'.
7. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the negative impact on land values. Residents of the Moorebank precinct in particular have spent significant amounts of money on land and homes. The proposed development will have a severe impact on land values and residents will not be compensated.
8. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because there was a lack of community consultation at a meeting held by representatives of the developers on 31/5/2011. The organisers did not notify a significant number of local residents and future residents about the meeting. The organisers refused to answer questions from local residents on health risks. The organisers did not allow time to note down and respond to residents' concerns.
9. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because it is dangerous to residents for a concrete processing plant to be located in this area of metropolitan Sydney. There are pre-existing waste processing plants operated by Benedict Recycling and Smorgan Steel Recycling at Chipping Norton. Benedict Recycling is planning to build another plant at Heathcote. There is no need for so many waste processing plants in such close proximity to each other.

As a local community member, I **OPPOSE** the building waste processing plant. I call on councillors, the NSW Parliament, the Minister for Planning, the Planning Assessment Commission, the NSW Department of Planning and Infrastructure and the Premier of NSW to **REJECT** this proposal.

FULL NAME:

SIGNATURE:

ADDRESS:

DATE:

GLENN
LEISHMAN



770a HENRY LAWSON
DRIVE PICNIC POINT
2213

21/3/2013

1/1

OPPOSITION TO MOOREBANK BUILDING WASTE PROCESSING PLANT

I **oppose** the proposed Moorebank Waste Processing Project (Project Number 05 0157) at Lot 6 DP 1065574, Newbridge Road, Moorebank.

1. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is far too close to existing and proposed residential homes. The proposed development is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate. Trucks using the proposed facility will travel even closer to residential homes. Trucks will likely short cut through Georges Fair, passing parks and local residents, using roads not designed for massive trucks.
2. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the risks from asbestos and toxins. At the community consultation meeting held on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club, the representatives of the developer refused to comment on whether asbestos would be processed at the facility and whether toxins would be released. There is a link between silica dust and lung cancer ('Occupational Exposure to Silica and Lung Cancer', *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers and Prevention Journal*, Volume 19, 2010). There is no known cure for silicosis ('A Brief Review of Silicosis in the US', *Environ Health Insights*, Volume 4, East Carolina University, 2010). The developer plans to install sweepers "where trucks and people will travel" and that there are "unsealed areas" in the development. Their own documentation refers to "likely dust from the recycling facility" (pg 3, developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club). This is an unacceptable health risk for local residents.
3. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the potential for flooding. The proposed development is in an area that floods. In the event of a flood, unsafe building materials and material stockpiles may cause an environmental disaster throughout Moorebank and the entire Georges River Basin.
4. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the NSW Government has indicated there will be "a return of local planning powers to local communities" ('NSW govt scraps 3A planning provision', *SMH*, 4/4/2011). The local community is opposed to this development. Councillors of the Liverpool City Council have voted unanimously against this development (*Minutes, Liverpool City Council meeting*, 15/6/2011).
5. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is incompatible with the current and planned residential and recreational uses of the area. The proposed concrete processing facility is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate, with a high proportion of residents having young families. The area is zoned E2 Environmental Conservation. It should not be used for a concrete processing plant. In close proximity are schools, parklands, the Georges River itself, cycleways, a proposed waterside marina, a library and a golf club. The land is more suitable for recreational facilities or a reserve. Even the owners of the Benedict Recycling plant at Moorebank (which borders the proposed facility) have accepted that the area is no longer suitable for a building waste processing plant and have started to shut down *their* operations to create their own housing estate and marina.
6. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of increased traffic. The developer states the proposed facility will result in an extra 324 truck movements a day, which is "very low and will have no impact on the arterial road network" (developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club). Increased traffic will have a significant impact on arterial roads including Nuwarra Road, Newbridge Road and Governor Macquarie Drive. There is already significant traffic in the area which will be made worse by the 'Intermodal'; which will cause an extra 1.5 million truck movements in the first year. Truck movements will primarily be in peak hours, further impacting on already high congestion. The residents of Moorebank and other areas should not experience any additional noise, pollution and congestion from this proposed facility, given the likelihood of the 'Intermodal'.
7. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the negative impact on land values. Residents of the Moorebank precinct in particular have spent significant amounts of money on land and homes. The proposed development will have a severe impact on land values and residents will not be compensated.
8. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because there was a lack of community consultation at a meeting held by representatives of the developers on 31/5/2011. The organisers did not notify a significant number of local residents and future residents about the meeting. The organisers refused to answer questions from local residents on health risks. The organisers did not allow time to note down and respond to residents' concerns.
9. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because it is dangerous to residents for a concrete processing plant to be located in this area of metropolitan Sydney. There are pre-existing waste processing plants operated by Benedict Recycling and Smorgan Steel Recycling at Chipping Norton. Benedict Recycling is planning to build another plant at Heathcote. There is no need for so many waste processing plants in such close proximity to each other.

As a local community member, I **OPPOSE** the building waste processing plant. I call on councillors, the NSW Parliament, the Minister for Planning, the Planning Assessment Commission, the NSW Department of Planning and Infrastructure and the Premier of NSW to **REJECT** this proposal.

FULL NAME:

SIGNATURE:

ADDRESS:

DATE:

David Hill

[Signature]

39 Halifax
St, Raby

21/05/13

OPPOSITION TO MOOREBANK BUILDING WASTE PROCESSING PLANT

I **oppose** the proposed Moorebank Waste Processing Project (Project Number 05 0157) at Lot 6 DP 1065574, Newbridge Road, Moorebank.

1. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is far too close to existing and proposed residential homes. The proposed development is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate. Trucks using the proposed facility will travel even closer to residential homes. Trucks will likely short cut through Georges Fair, passing parks and local residents, using roads not designed for massive trucks.
2. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the risks from asbestos and toxins. At the community consultation meeting held on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club, the representatives of the developer refused to comment on whether asbestos would be processed at the facility and whether toxins would be released. There is a link between silica dust and lung cancer ('Occupational Exposure to Silica and Lung Cancer', *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers and Prevention Journal*, Volume 19, 2010). There is no known cure for silicosis ('A Brief Review of Silicosis in the US', *Environ Health Insights*, Volume 4, East Carolina University, 2010). The developer plans to install sweepers "where trucks and people will travel" and that there are "unsealed areas" in the development. Their own documentation refers to "likely dust from the recycling facility" (pg 3, developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club). This is an unacceptable health risk for local residents.
3. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the potential for flooding. The proposed development is in an area that floods. In the event of a flood, unsafe building materials and material stockpiles may cause an environmental disaster throughout Moorebank and the entire Georges River Basin.
4. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the NSW Government has indicated there will be "a return of local planning powers to local communities" ('NSW govt scraps 3A planning provision', *SMH*, 4/4/2011). The local community is opposed to this development. Councillors of the Liverpool City Council have voted unanimously against this development (*Minutes, Liverpool City Council meeting*, 15/6/2011).
5. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is incompatible with the current and planned residential and recreational uses of the area. The proposed concrete processing facility is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate, with a high proportion of residents having young families. The area is zoned E2 Environmental Conservation. It should not be used for a concrete processing plant. In close proximity are schools, parklands, the Georges River itself, cycleways, a proposed waterside marina, a library and a golf club. The land is more suitable for recreational facilities or a reserve. Even the owners of the Benedict Recycling plant at Moorebank (which borders the proposed facility) have accepted that the area is no longer suitable for a building waste processing plant and have started to shut down *their* operations to create their own housing estate and marina.
6. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of increased traffic. The developer states the proposed facility will result in an extra 324 truck movements a day, which is "very low and will have no impact on the arterial road network" (*developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club*). Increased traffic will have a significant impact on arterial roads including Nuwarra Road, Newbridge Road and Governor Macquarie Drive. There is already significant traffic in the area which will be made worse by the 'Intermodal', which will cause an extra 1.5 million truck movements in the first year. Truck movements will primarily be in peak hours, further impacting on already high congestion. The residents of Moorebank and other areas should not experience any additional noise, pollution and congestion from this proposed facility, given the likelihood of the 'Intermodal'.
7. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the negative impact on land values. Residents of the Moorebank precinct in particular have spent significant amounts of money on land and homes. The proposed development will have a severe impact on land values and residents will not be compensated.
8. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because there was a lack of community consultation at a meeting held by representatives of the developers on 31/5/2011. The organisers did not notify a significant number of local residents and future residents about the meeting. The organisers refused to answer questions from local residents on health risks. The organisers did not allow time to note down and respond to residents' concerns.
9. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because it is dangerous to residents for a concrete processing plant to be located in this area of metropolitan Sydney. There are pre-existing waste processing plants operated by Benedict Recycling and Smorgan Steel Recycling at Chipping Norton. Benedict Recycling is planning to build another plant at Heathcote. There is no need for so many waste processing plants in such close proximity to each other.

As a local community member, I **OPPOSE** the building waste processing plant. I call on councillors, the NSW Parliament, the Minister for Planning, the Planning Assessment Commission, the NSW Department of Planning and Infrastructure and the Premier of NSW to **REJECT** this proposal.

FULL NAME:

SIGNATURE:

ADDRESS:

DATE:

BLAZE NATESKI

Blaze Nateski

50 JACOBSON A
MOOREBANK 2216

16/3/13

OPPOSITION TO MOOREBANK BUILDING WASTE PROCESSING PLANT

I oppose the proposed Moorebank Waste Processing Project (Project Number 05 0157) at Lot 6 DP 1065574, Newbridge Road, Moorebank.

1. I oppose the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is far too close to existing and proposed residential homes. The proposed development is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate. Trucks using the proposed facility will travel even closer to residential homes. Trucks will likely short cut through Georges Fair, passing parks and local residents, using roads not designed for massive trucks.
2. I oppose the building waste processing plant because of the risks from asbestos and toxins. At the community consultation meeting held on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club, the representatives of the developer refused to comment on whether asbestos would be processed at the facility and whether toxins would be released. There is a link between silica dust and lung cancer (*'Occupational Exposure to Silica and Lung Cancer', Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers and Prevention Journal, Volume 19, 2010*). There is no known cure for silicosis (*'A Brief Review of Silicosis in the US', Environ Health Insights, Volume 4, East Carolina University, 2010*). The developer plans to install sweepers "where trucks and people will travel" and that there are "unsealed areas" in the development. Their own documentation refers to "likely dust from the recycling facility" (pg 3, developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club). This is an unacceptable health risk for local residents.
3. I oppose the building waste processing plant because of the potential for flooding. The proposed development is in an area that floods. In the event of a flood, unsafe building materials and material stockpiles may cause an environmental disaster throughout Moorebank and the entire Georges River Basin.
4. I oppose the building waste processing plant because the NSW Government has indicated there will be "a return of local planning powers to local communities" (*'NSW govt scraps 3A planning provision', SMH, 4/4/2011*). The local community is opposed to this development. Councillors of the Liverpool City Council have voted unanimously against this development (*Minutes, Liverpool City Council meeting, 15/6/2011*).
5. I oppose the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is incompatible with the current and planned residential and recreational uses of the area. The proposed concrete processing facility is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate, with a high proportion of residents having young families. The area is zoned E2 Environmental Conservation. It should not be used for a concrete processing plant. In close proximity are schools, parklands, the Georges River itself, cycleways, a proposed waterside marina, a library and a golf club. The land is more suitable for recreational facilities or a reserve. Even the owners of the Benedict Recycling plant at Moorebank (which borders the proposed facility) have accepted that the area is no longer suitable for a building waste processing plant and have started to shut down *their* operations to create their own housing estate and marina.
6. I oppose the building waste processing plant because of increased traffic. The developer states the proposed facility will result in an extra 324 truck movements a day, which is "very low and will have no impact on the arterial road network" (*developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club*). Increased traffic will have a significant impact on arterial roads including Nuwarra Road, Newbridge Road and Governor Macquarie Drive. There is already significant traffic in the area which will be made worse by the 'Intermodal', which will cause an extra 1.5 million truck movements in the first year. Truck movements will primarily be in peak hours, further impacting on already high congestion. The residents of Moorebank and other areas should not experience any additional noise, pollution and congestion from this proposed facility, given the likelihood of the 'Intermodal'.
7. I oppose the building waste processing plant because of the negative impact on land values. Residents of the Moorebank precinct in particular have spent significant amounts of money on land and homes. The proposed development will have a severe impact on land values and residents will not be compensated.
8. I oppose the building waste processing plant because there was a lack of community consultation at a meeting held by representatives of the developers on 31/5/2011. The organisers did not notify a significant number of local residents and future residents about the meeting. The organisers refused to answer questions from local residents on health risks. The organisers did not allow time to note down and respond to residents' concerns.
9. I oppose the building waste processing plant because it is dangerous to residents for a concrete processing plant to be located in this area of metropolitan Sydney. There are pre-existing waste processing plants operated by Benedict Recycling and Smorgan Steel Recycling at Chipping Norton. Benedict Recycling is planning to build another plant at Heathcote. There is no need for so many waste processing plants in such close proximity to each other.


As a local community member, I OPPOSE the building waste processing plant. I call on councillors, the NSW Parliament, the Minister for Planning, the Planning Assessment Commission, the NSW Department of Planning and Infrastructure and the Premier of NSW to REJECT this proposal.

FULL NAME:

SIGNATURE:

ADDRESS: 39 MERLE ST DATE:

VOU-KIEN LIU



SEFTON 2162

6/03/13

OPPOSITION TO MOOREBANK BUILDING WASTE PROCESSING PLANT

I **oppose** the proposed Moorebank Waste Processing Project (Project Number 05 0157) at Lot 6 DP 1065574, Newbridge Road, Moorebank.

1. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is far too close to existing and proposed residential homes. The proposed development is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate. Trucks using the proposed facility will travel even closer to residential homes. Trucks will likely short cut through Georges Fair, passing parks and local residents, using roads not designed for massive trucks.
2. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the risks from asbestos and toxins. At the community consultation meeting held on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club, the representatives of the developer refused to comment on whether asbestos would be processed at the facility and whether toxins would be released. There is a link between silica dust and lung cancer (*'Occupational Exposure to Silica and Lung Cancer', Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers and Prevention Journal, Volume 19, 2010*). There is no known cure for silicosis (*'A Brief Review of Silicosis in the US', Environ Health Insights, Volume 4, East Carolina University, 2010*). The developer plans to install sweepers "where trucks and people will travel" and that there are "unsealed areas" in the development. Their own documentation refers to "likely dust from the recycling facility" (pg 3, *developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club*). This is an unacceptable health risk for local residents.
3. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the potential for flooding. The proposed development is in an area that floods. In the event of a flood, unsafe building materials and material stockpiles may cause an environmental disaster throughout Moorebank and the entire Georges River Basin.
4. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the NSW Government has indicated there will be "a return of local planning powers to local communities" (*'NSW govt scraps 3A planning provision', SMH, 4/4/2011*). The local community is opposed to this development. Councillors of the Liverpool City Council have voted unanimously against this development (*Minutes, Liverpool City Council meeting, 15/6/2011*).
5. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is incompatible with the current and planned residential and recreational uses of the area. The proposed concrete processing facility is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate, with a high proportion of residents having young families. The area is zoned E2 Environmental Conservation. It should not be used for a concrete processing plant. In close proximity are schools, parklands, the Georges River itself, cycleways, a proposed waterside marina, a library and a golf club. The land is more suitable for recreational facilities or a reserve. Even the owners of the Benedict Recycling plant at Moorebank (which borders the proposed facility) have accepted that the area is no longer suitable for a building waste processing plant and have started to shut down *their* operations to create their own housing estate and marina.
6. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of increased traffic. The developer states the proposed facility will result in an extra 324 truck movements a day, which is "very low and will have no impact on the arterial road network" (*developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club*). Increased traffic will have a significant impact on arterial roads including Nuwarra Road, Newbridge Road and Governor Macquarie Drive. There is already significant traffic in the area which will be made worse by the 'Intermodal', which will cause an extra 1.5 million truck movements in the first year. Truck movements will primarily be in peak hours, further impacting on already high congestion. The residents of Moorebank and other areas should not experience any additional noise, pollution and congestion from this proposed facility, given the likelihood of the 'Intermodal'.
7. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the negative impact on land values. Residents of the Moorebank precinct in particular have spent significant amounts of money on land and homes. The proposed development will have a severe impact on land values and residents will not be compensated.
8. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because there was a lack of community consultation at a meeting held by representatives of the developers on 31/5/2011. The organisers did not notify a significant number of local residents and future residents about the meeting. The organisers refused to answer questions from local residents on health risks. The organisers did not allow time to note down and respond to residents' concerns.
9. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because it is dangerous to residents for a concrete processing plant to be located in this area of metropolitan Sydney. There are pre-existing waste processing plants operated by Benedict Recycling and Smorgan Steel Recycling at Chipping Norton. Benedict Recycling is planning to build another plant at Heathcote. There is no need for so many waste processing plants in such close proximity to each other.

As a local community member, I **OPPOSE** the building waste processing plant. I call on councillors, the NSW Parliament, the Minister for Planning, the Planning Assessment Commission, the NSW Department of Planning and Infrastructure and the Premier of NSW to **REJECT** this proposal.

FULL NAME:

SIGNATURE:

ADDRESS:

DATE:

Mark

Sciberras

139 Chapel
Rd Bankstown

15/3/13

OPPOSITION TO MOOREBANK BUILDING WASTE PROCESSING PLANT

I **oppose** the proposed Moorebank Waste Processing Project (Project Number 05 0157) at Lot 6 DP 1065574, Newbridge Road, Moorebank.

1. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is far too close to existing and proposed residential homes. The proposed development is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate. Trucks using the proposed facility will travel even closer to residential homes. Trucks will likely short cut through Georges Fair, passing parks and local residents, using roads not designed for massive trucks.
2. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the risks from asbestos and toxins. At the community consultation meeting held on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club, the representatives of the developer refused to comment on whether asbestos would be processed at the facility and whether toxins would be released. There is a link between silica dust and lung cancer (*'Occupational Exposure to Silica and Lung Cancer', Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers and Prevention Journal, Volume 19, 2010*). There is no known cure for silicosis (*'A Brief Review of Silicosis in the US', Environ Health Insights, Volume 4, East Carolina University, 2010*). The developer plans to install sweepers "where trucks and people will travel" and that there are "unsealed areas" in the development. Their own documentation refers to "likely dust from the recycling facility" (pg 3, *developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club*). This is an unacceptable health risk for local residents.
3. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the potential for flooding. The proposed development is in an area that floods. In the event of a flood, unsafe building materials and material stockpiles may cause an environmental disaster throughout Moorebank and the entire Georges River Basin.
4. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the NSW Government has indicated there will be "a return of local planning powers to local communities" (*'NSW govt scraps 3A planning provision', SMH, 4/4/2011*). The local community is opposed to this development. Councillors of the Liverpool City Council have voted unanimously against this development (*Minutes, Liverpool City Council meeting, 15/6/2011*).
5. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is incompatible with the current and planned residential and recreational uses of the area. The proposed concrete processing facility is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate, with a high proportion of residents having young families. The area is zoned E2 Environmental Conservation. It should not be used for a concrete processing plant. In close proximity are schools, parklands, the Georges River itself, cycleways, a proposed waterside marina, a library and a golf club. The land is more suitable for recreational facilities or a reserve. Even the owners of the Benedict Recycling plant at Moorebank (which borders the proposed facility) have accepted that the area is no longer suitable for a building waste processing plant and have started to shut down *their* operations to create their own housing estate and marina.
6. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of increased traffic. The developer states the proposed facility will result in an extra 324 truck movements a day, which is "very low and will have no impact on the arterial road network" (*developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club*). Increased traffic will have a significant impact on arterial roads including Nuwarra Road, Newbridge Road and Governor Macquarie Drive. There is already significant traffic in the area which will be made worse by the 'Intermodal', which will cause an extra 1.5 million truck movements in the first year. Truck movements will primarily be in peak hours, further impacting on already high congestion. The residents of Moorebank and other areas should not experience any additional noise, pollution and congestion from this proposed facility, given the likelihood of the 'Intermodal'.
7. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the negative impact on land values. Residents of the Moorebank precinct in particular have spent significant amounts of money on land and homes. The proposed development will have a severe impact on land values and residents will not be compensated.
8. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because there was a lack of community consultation at a meeting held by representatives of the developers on 31/5/2011. The organisers did not notify a significant number of local residents and future residents about the meeting. The organisers refused to answer questions from local residents on health risks. The organisers did not allow time to note down and respond to residents' concerns.
9. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because it is dangerous to residents for a concrete processing plant to be located in this area of metropolitan Sydney. There are pre-existing waste processing plants operated by Benedict Recycling and Smorgan Steel Recycling at Chipping Norton. Benedict Recycling is planning to build another plant at Heathcote. There is no need for so many waste processing plants in such close proximity to each other.

As a local community member, I **OPPOSE** the building waste processing plant. I call on councillors, the NSW Parliament, the Minister for Planning, the Planning Assessment Commission, the NSW Department of Planning and Infrastructure and the Premier of NSW to **REJECT** this proposal.


FULL NAME:

SIGNATURE:

ADDRESS:

DATE:

TAI NGU-HEN



54 CHILDS RD.

CHIPPING NORTON

21/3/13

OPPOSITION TO MOOREBANK BUILDING WASTE PROCESSING PLANT

I **oppose** the proposed Moorebank Waste Processing Project (Project Number 05 0157) at Lot 6 DP 1065574, Newbridge Road, Moorebank.

1. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is far too close to existing and proposed residential homes. The proposed development is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate. Trucks using the proposed facility will travel even closer to residential homes. Trucks will likely short cut through Georges Fair, passing parks and local residents, using roads not designed for massive trucks.
2. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the risks from asbestos and toxins. At the community consultation meeting held on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club, the representatives of the developer refused to comment on whether asbestos would be processed at the facility and whether toxins would be released. There is a link between silica dust and lung cancer ('Occupational Exposure to Silica and Lung Cancer', *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers and Prevention Journal*, Volume 19, 2010). There is no known cure for silicosis ('A Brief Review of Silicosis in the US', *Environ Health Insights*, Volume 4, East Carolina University, 2010). The developer plans to install sweepers "where trucks and people will travel" and that there are "unsealed areas" in the development. Their own documentation refers to "likely dust from the recycling facility" (pg 3, developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club). This is an unacceptable health risk for local residents.
3. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the potential for flooding. The proposed development is in an area that floods. In the event of a flood, unsafe building materials and material stockpiles may cause an environmental disaster throughout Moorebank and the entire Georges River Basin.
4. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the NSW Government has indicated there will be "a return of local planning powers to local communities" ('NSW govt scraps 3A planning provision', *SMH*, 4/4/2011). The local community is opposed to this development. Councillors of the Liverpool City Council have voted unanimously against this development (*Minutes, Liverpool City Council meeting*, 15/6/2011).
5. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is incompatible with the current and planned residential and recreational uses of the area. The proposed concrete processing facility is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate, with a high proportion of residents having young families. The area is zoned E2 Environmental Conservation. It should not be used for a concrete processing plant. In close proximity are schools, parklands, the Georges River itself, cycleways, a proposed waterside marina, a library and a golf club. The land is more suitable for recreational facilities or a reserve. Even the owners of the Benedict Recycling plant at Moorebank (which borders the proposed facility) have accepted that the area is no longer suitable for a building waste processing plant and have started to shut down *their* operations to create their own housing estate and marina.
6. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of increased traffic. The developer states the proposed facility will result in an extra 324 truck movements a day, which is "very low and will have no impact on the arterial road network" (developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club). Increased traffic will have a significant impact on arterial roads including Nuwarra Road, Newbridge Road and Governor Macquarie Drive. There is already significant traffic in the area which will be made worse by the 'Intermodal', which will cause an extra 1.5 million truck movements in the first year. Truck movements will primarily be in peak hours, further impacting on already high congestion. The residents of Moorebank and other areas should not experience any additional noise, pollution and congestion from this proposed facility, given the likelihood of the 'Intermodal'.
7. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the negative impact on land values. Residents of the Moorebank precinct in particular have spent significant amounts of money on land and homes. The proposed development will have a severe impact on land values and residents will not be compensated.
8. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because there was a lack of community consultation at a meeting held by representatives of the developers on 31/5/2011. The organisers did not notify a significant number of local residents and future residents about the meeting. The organisers refused to answer questions from local residents on health risks. The organisers did not allow time to note down and respond to residents' concerns.
9. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because it is dangerous to residents for a concrete processing plant to be located in this area of metropolitan Sydney. There are pre-existing waste processing plants operated by Benedict Recycling and Smorgan Steel Recycling at Chipping Norton. Benedict Recycling is planning to build another plant at Heathcote. There is no need for so many waste processing plants in such close proximity to each other.

As a local community member, I **OPPOSE** the building waste processing plant. I call on councillors, the NSW Parliament, the Minister for Planning, the Planning Assessment Commission, the NSW Department of Planning and Infrastructure and the Premier of NSW to **REJECT** this proposal.

FULL NAME:

SIGNATURE:

ADDRESS:

DATE:

GEORGAE UELING



IDA GUINNERS WILKS

HOLSWORTHY 2173.

21/03/13

OPPOSITION TO MOOREBANK BUILDING WASTE PROCESSING PLANT

I **oppose** the proposed Moorebank Waste Processing Project (Project Number 05 0157) at Lot 6 DP 1065574, Newbridge Road, Moorebank.

1. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is far too close to existing and proposed residential homes. The proposed development is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate. Trucks using the proposed facility will travel even closer to residential homes. Trucks will likely short cut through Georges Fair, passing parks and local residents, using roads not designed for massive trucks.
2. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the risks from asbestos and toxins. At the community consultation meeting held on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club, the representatives of the developer refused to comment on whether asbestos would be processed at the facility and whether toxins would be released. There is a link between silica dust and lung cancer ('Occupational Exposure to Silica and Lung Cancer', *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers and Prevention Journal*, Volume 19, 2010). There is no known cure for silicosis ('A Brief Review of Silicosis in the US', *Environ Health Insights*, Volume 4, East Carolina University, 2010). The developer plans to install sweepers "where trucks and people will travel" and that there are "unsealed areas" in the development. Their own documentation refers to "likely dust from the recycling facility" (pg 3, *developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club*). This is an unacceptable health risk for local residents.
3. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the potential for flooding. The proposed development is in an area that floods. In the event of a flood, unsafe building materials and material stockpiles may cause an environmental disaster throughout Moorebank and the entire Georges River Basin.
4. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the NSW Government has indicated there will be "a return of local planning powers to local communities" ('NSW govt scraps 3A planning provision', *SMH*, 4/4/2011). The local community is opposed to this development. Councillors of the Liverpool City Council have voted unanimously against this development (*Minutes, Liverpool City Council meeting, 15/6/2011*).
5. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is incompatible with the current and planned residential and recreational uses of the area. The proposed concrete processing facility is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate, with a high proportion of residents having young families. The area is zoned E2 Environmental Conservation. It should not be used for a concrete processing plant. In close proximity are schools, parklands, the Georges River itself, cycleways, a proposed waterside marina, a library and a golf club. The land is more suitable for recreational facilities or a reserve. Even the owners of the Benedict Recycling plant at Moorebank (which borders the proposed facility) have accepted that the area is no longer suitable for a building waste processing plant and have started to shut down *their* operations to create their own housing estate and marina.
6. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of increased traffic. The developer states the proposed facility will result in an extra 324 truck movements a day, which is "very low and will have no impact on the arterial road network" (*developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club*). Increased traffic will have a significant impact on arterial roads including Nuwarra Road, Newbridge Road and Governor Macquarie Drive. There is already significant traffic in the area which will be made worse by the 'Intermodal', which will cause an extra 1.5 million truck movements in the first year. Truck movements will primarily be in peak hours, further impacting on already high congestion. The residents of Moorebank and other areas should not experience any additional noise, pollution and congestion from this proposed facility, given the likelihood of the 'Intermodal'.
7. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the negative impact on land values. Residents of the Moorebank precinct in particular have spent significant amounts of money on land and homes. The proposed development will have a severe impact on land values and residents will not be compensated.
8. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because there was a lack of community consultation at a meeting held by representatives of the developers on 31/5/2011. The organisers did not notify a significant number of local residents and future residents about the meeting. The organisers refused to answer questions from local residents on health risks. The organisers did not allow time to note down and respond to residents' concerns.
9. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because it is dangerous to residents for a concrete processing plant to be located in this area of metropolitan Sydney. There are pre-existing waste processing plants operated by Benedict Recycling and Smorgan Steel Recycling at Chipping Norton. Benedict Recycling is planning to build another plant at Heathcote. There is no need for so many waste processing plants in such close proximity to each other.

As a local community member, I **OPPOSE** the building waste processing plant. I call on councillors, the NSW Parliament, the Minister for Planning, the Planning Assessment Commission, the NSW Department of Planning and Infrastructure and the Premier of NSW to **REJECT** this proposal.

FULL NAME:

SIGNATURE:

ADDRESS:

DATE:

Leshni
Krishna



43 Braidwood Dr
Prestons
NSW 2170

21/03/13

OPPOSITION TO MOOREBANK BUILDING WASTE PROCESSING PLANT

I **oppose** the proposed Moorebank Waste Processing Project (Project Number 05 0157) at Lot 6 DP 1065574, Newbridge Road, Moorebank.


1. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is far too close to existing and proposed residential homes. The proposed development is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate. Trucks using the proposed facility will travel even closer to residential homes. Trucks will likely short cut through Georges Fair, passing parks and local residents, using roads not designed for massive trucks.
2. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the risks from asbestos and toxins. At the community consultation meeting held on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club, the representatives of the developer refused to comment on whether asbestos would be processed at the facility and whether toxins would be released. There is a link between silica dust and lung cancer ('Occupational Exposure to Silica and Lung Cancer', *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers and Prevention Journal*, Volume 19, 2010). There is no known cure for silicosis ('A Brief Review of Silicosis in the US', *Environ Health Insights*, Volume 4, East Carolina University, 2010). The developer plans to install sweepers "where trucks and people will travel" and that there are "unsealed areas" in the development. Their own documentation refers to "likely dust from the recycling facility" (pg 3, developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club). This is an unacceptable health risk for local residents.
3. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the potential for flooding. The proposed development is in an area that floods. In the event of a flood, unsafe building materials and material stockpiles may cause an environmental disaster throughout Moorebank and the entire Georges River Basin.
4. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the NSW Government has indicated there will be "a return of local planning powers to local communities" ('NSW govt scraps 3A planning provision', *SMH*, 4/4/2011). The local community is opposed to this development. Councillors of the Liverpool City Council have voted unanimously against this development (*Minutes, Liverpool City Council meeting, 15/6/2011*).
5. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is incompatible with the current and planned residential and recreational uses of the area. The proposed concrete processing facility is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate, with a high proportion of residents having young families. The area is zoned E2 Environmental Conservation. It should not be used for a concrete processing plant. In close proximity are schools, parklands, the Georges River itself, cycleways, a proposed waterside marina, a library and a golf club. The land is more suitable for recreational facilities or a reserve. Even the owners of the Benedict Recycling plant at Moorebank (which borders the proposed facility) have accepted that the area is no longer suitable for a building waste processing plant and have started to shut down *their* operations to create their own housing estate and marina.
6. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of increased traffic. The developer states the proposed facility will result in an extra 324 truck movements a day, which is "very low and will have no impact on the arterial road network" (developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club). Increased traffic will have a significant impact on arterial roads including Nuwarra Road, Newbridge Road and Governor Macquarie Drive. There is already significant traffic in the area which will be made worse by the 'Intermodal', which will cause an extra 1.5 million truck movements in the first year. Truck movements will primarily be in peak hours, further impacting on already high congestion. The residents of Moorebank and other areas should not experience any additional noise, pollution and congestion from this proposed facility, given the likelihood of the 'Intermodal'.
7. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the negative impact on land values. Residents of the Moorebank precinct in particular have spent significant amounts of money on land and homes. The proposed development will have a severe impact on land values and residents will not be compensated.
8. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because there was a lack of community consultation at a meeting held by representatives of the developers on 31/5/2011. The organisers did not notify a significant number of local residents and future residents about the meeting. The organisers refused to answer questions from local residents on health risks. The organisers did not allow time to note down and respond to residents' concerns.
9. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because it is dangerous to residents for a concrete processing plant to be located in this area of metropolitan Sydney. There are pre-existing waste processing plants operated by Benedict Recycling and Smorgan Steel Recycling at Chipping Norton. Benedict Recycling is planning to build another plant at Heathcote. There is no need for so many waste processing plants in such close proximity to each other.

As a local community member, I **OPPOSE** the building waste processing plant. I call on councillors, the NSW Parliament, the Minister for Planning, the Planning Assessment Commission, the NSW Department of Planning and Infrastructure and the Premier of NSW to **REJECT** this proposal.

FULL NAME:

JOHN WEIR

SIGNATURE:



ADDRESS:

19/12 MURGOULIE
BIGGA
2583

DATE:

20/12/13

OPPOSITION TO MOOREBANK BUILDING WASTE PROCESSING PLANT

I **oppose** the proposed Moorebank Waste Processing Project (Project Number 05 0157) at Lot 6 DP 1065574, Newbridge Road, Moorebank.

1. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is far too close to existing and proposed residential homes. The proposed development is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate. Trucks using the proposed facility will travel even closer to residential homes. Trucks will likely short cut through Georges Fair, passing parks and local residents, using roads not designed for massive trucks.
2. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the risks from asbestos and toxins. At the community consultation meeting held on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club, the representatives of the developer refused to comment on whether asbestos would be processed at the facility and whether toxins would be released. There is a link between silica dust and lung cancer ('Occupational Exposure to Silica and Lung Cancer', *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers and Prevention Journal*, Volume 19, 2010). There is no known cure for silicosis ('A Brief Review of Silicosis in the US', *Environ Health Insights*, Volume 4, East Carolina University, 2010). The developer plans to install sweepers "where trucks and people will travel" and that there are "unsealed areas" in the development. Their own documentation refers to "likely dust from the recycling facility" (pg 3, developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club). This is an unacceptable health risk for local residents.
3. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the potential for flooding. The proposed development is in an area that floods. In the event of a flood, unsafe building materials and material stockpiles may cause an environmental disaster throughout Moorebank and the entire Georges River Basin.
4. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the NSW Government has indicated there will be "a return of local planning powers to local communities" ('NSW govt scraps 3A planning provision', *SMH*, 4/4/2011). The local community is opposed to this development. Councillors of the Liverpool City Council have voted unanimously against this development (*Minutes, Liverpool City Council meeting, 15/6/2011*).
5. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is incompatible with the current and planned residential and recreational uses of the area. The proposed concrete processing facility is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate, with a high proportion of residents having young families. The area is zoned E2 Environmental Conservation. It should not be used for a concrete processing plant. In close proximity are schools, parklands, the Georges River itself, cycleways, a proposed waterside marina, a library and a golf club. The land is more suitable for recreational facilities or a reserve. Even the owners of the Benedict Recycling plant at Moorebank (which borders the proposed facility) have accepted that the area is no longer suitable for a building waste processing plant and have started to shut down *their* operations to create their own housing estate and marina.
6. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of increased traffic. The developer states the proposed facility will result in an extra 324 truck movements a day, which is "very low and will have no impact on the arterial road network" (developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club). Increased traffic will have a significant impact on arterial roads including Nuwarra Road, Newbridge Road and Governor Macquarie Drive. There is already significant traffic in the area which will be made worse by the 'Intermodal', which will cause an extra 1.5 million truck movements in the first year. Truck movements will primarily be in peak hours, further impacting on already high congestion. The residents of Moorebank and other areas should not experience any additional noise, pollution and congestion from this proposed facility, given the likelihood of the 'Intermodal'.
7. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the negative impact on land values. Residents of the Moorebank precinct in particular have spent significant amounts of money on land and homes. The proposed development will have a severe impact on land values and residents will not be compensated.
8. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because there was a lack of community consultation at a meeting held by representatives of the developers on 31/5/2011. The organisers did not notify a significant number of local residents and future residents about the meeting. The organisers refused to answer questions from local residents on health risks. The organisers did not allow time to note down and respond to residents' concerns.
9. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because it is dangerous to residents for a concrete processing plant to be located in this area of metropolitan Sydney. There are pre-existing waste processing plants operated by Benedict Recycling and Smorgan Steel Recycling at Chipping Norton. Benedict Recycling is planning to build another plant at Heathcote. There is no need for so many waste processing plants in such close proximity to each other.

As a local community member, I **OPPOSE** the building waste processing plant. I call on councillors, the NSW Parliament, the Minister for Planning, the Planning Assessment Commission, the NSW Department of Planning and Infrastructure and the Premier of NSW to **REJECT** this proposal.

FULL NAME:

SIGNATURE:

ADDRESS:

DATE:

PAUL
WINTERKOD



5 SHEARWATER AVE
WORONORA HTS NSW

21/3/13

OPPOSITION TO MOOREBANK BUILDING WASTE PROCESSING PLANT

I **oppose** the proposed Moorebank Waste Processing Project (Project Number 05 0157) at Lot 6 DP 1065574, Newbridge Road, Moorebank.

1. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is far too close to existing and proposed residential homes. The proposed development is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate. Trucks using the proposed facility will travel even closer to residential homes. Trucks will likely short cut through Georges Fair, passing parks and local residents, using roads not designed for massive trucks.
2. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the risks from asbestos and toxins. At the community consultation meeting held on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club, the representatives of the developer refused to comment on whether asbestos would be processed at the facility and whether toxins would be released. There is a link between silica dust and lung cancer ('Occupational Exposure to Silica and Lung Cancer', *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers and Prevention Journal*, Volume 19, 2010). There is no known cure for silicosis ('A Brief Review of Silicosis in the US', *Environ Health Insights*, Volume 4, East Carolina University, 2010). The developer plans to install sweepers "where trucks and people will travel" and that there are "unsealed areas" in the development. Their own documentation refers to "likely dust from the recycling facility" (pg 3, *developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club*). This is an unacceptable health risk for local residents.
3. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the potential for flooding. The proposed development is in an area that floods. In the event of a flood, unsafe building materials and material stockpiles may cause an environmental disaster throughout Moorebank and the entire Georges River Basin.
4. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the NSW Government has indicated there will be "a return of local planning powers to local communities" ('NSW govt scraps 3A planning provision', *SMH*, 4/4/2011). The local community is opposed to this development. Councillors of the Liverpool City Council have voted unanimously against this development (*Minutes, Liverpool City Council meeting, 15/6/2011*).
5. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is incompatible with the current and planned residential and recreational uses of the area. The proposed concrete processing facility is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate, with a high proportion of residents having young families. The area is zoned E2 Environmental Conservation. It should not be used for a concrete processing plant. In close proximity are schools, parklands, the Georges River itself, cycleways, a proposed waterside marina, a library and a golf club. The land is more suitable for recreational facilities or a reserve. Even the owners of the Benedict Recycling plant at Moorebank (which borders the proposed facility) have accepted that the area is no longer suitable for a building waste processing plant and have started to shut down *their* operations to create their own housing estate and marina.
6. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of increased traffic. The developer states the proposed facility will result in an extra 324 truck movements a day, which is "very low and will have no impact on the arterial road network" (*developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club*). Increased traffic will have a significant impact on arterial roads including Nuwarra Road, Newbridge Road and Governor Macquarie Drive. There is already significant traffic in the area which will be made worse by the 'Intermodal', which will cause an extra 1.5 million truck movements in the first year. Truck movements will primarily be in peak hours, further impacting on already high congestion. The residents of Moorebank and other areas should not experience any additional noise, pollution and congestion from this proposed facility, given the likelihood of the 'Intermodal'.
7. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the negative impact on land values. Residents of the Moorebank precinct in particular have spent significant amounts of money on land and homes. The proposed development will have a severe impact on land values and residents will not be compensated.
8. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because there was a lack of community consultation at a meeting held by representatives of the developers on 31/5/2011. The organisers did not notify a significant number of local residents and future residents about the meeting. The organisers refused to answer questions from local residents on health risks. The organisers did not allow time to note down and respond to residents' concerns.
9. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because it is dangerous to residents for a concrete processing plant to be located in this area of metropolitan Sydney. There are pre-existing waste processing plants operated by Benedict Recycling and Smorgan Steel Recycling at Chipping Norton. Benedict Recycling is planning to build another plant at Heathcote. There is no need for so many waste processing plants in such close proximity to each other.

As a local community member, I **OPPOSE** the building waste processing plant. I call on councillors, the NSW Parliament, the Minister for Planning, the Planning Assessment Commission, the NSW Department of Planning and Infrastructure and the Premier of NSW to **REJECT** this proposal.

FULL NAME:

SIGNATURE:

ADDRESS:

DATE:

David J. Keating

14 BLANC
AVE
EAST HILL

21/3/2013

OPPOSITION TO MOOREBANK BUILDING WASTE PROCESSING PLANT

I **oppose** the proposed Moorebank Waste Processing Project (Project Number 05 0157) at Lot 6 DP 1065574, Newbridge Road, Moorebank.

1. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is far too close to existing and proposed residential homes. The proposed development is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate. Trucks using the proposed facility will travel even closer to residential homes. Trucks will likely short cut through Georges Fair, passing parks and local residents, using roads not designed for massive trucks.
2. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the risks from asbestos and toxins. At the community consultation meeting held on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club, the representatives of the developer refused to comment on whether asbestos would be processed at the facility and whether toxins would be released. There is a link between silica dust and lung cancer (*'Occupational Exposure to Silica and Lung Cancer', Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers and Prevention Journal, Volume 19, 2010*). There is no known cure for silicosis (*'A Brief Review of Silicosis in the US', Environ Health Insights, Volume 4, East Carolina University, 2010*). The developer plans to install sweepers "where trucks and people will travel" and that there are "unsealed areas" in the development. Their own documentation refers to "likely dust from the recycling facility" (pg 3, *developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club*). This is an unacceptable health risk for local residents.
3. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the potential for flooding. The proposed development is in an area that floods. In the event of a flood, unsafe building materials and material stockpiles may cause an environmental disaster throughout Moorebank and the entire Georges River Basin.
4. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the NSW Government has indicated there will be "a return of local planning powers to local communities" (*'NSW govt scraps 3A planning provision', SMH, 4/4/2011*). The local community is opposed to this development. Councillors of the Liverpool City Council have voted unanimously against this development (*Minutes, Liverpool City Council meeting, 15/6/2011*).
5. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is incompatible with the current and planned residential and recreational uses of the area. The proposed concrete processing facility is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate, with a high proportion of residents having young families. The area is zoned E2 Environmental Conservation. It should not be used for a concrete processing plant. In close proximity are schools, parklands, the Georges River itself, cycleways, a proposed waterside marina, a library and a golf club. The land is more suitable for recreational facilities or a reserve. Even the owners of the Benedict Recycling plant at Moorebank (which borders the proposed facility) have accepted that the area is no longer suitable for a building waste processing plant and have started to shut down *their* operations to create their own housing estate and marina.
6. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of increased traffic. The developer states the proposed facility will result in an extra 324 truck movements a day, which is "very low and will have no impact on the arterial road network" (*developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club*). Increased traffic will have a significant impact on arterial roads including Nuwarra Road, Newbridge Road and Governor Macquarie Drive. There is already significant traffic in the area which will be made worse by the 'Intermodal', which will cause an extra 1.5 million truck movements in the first year. Truck movements will primarily be in peak hours, further impacting on already high congestion. The residents of Moorebank and other areas should not experience any additional noise, pollution and congestion from this proposed facility, given the likelihood of the 'Intermodal'.
7. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the negative impact on land values. Residents of the Moorebank precinct in particular have spent significant amounts of money on land and homes. The proposed development will have a severe impact on land values and residents will not be compensated.
8. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because there was a lack of community consultation at a meeting held by representatives of the developers on 31/5/2011. The organisers did not notify a significant number of local residents and future residents about the meeting. The organisers refused to answer questions from local residents on health risks. The organisers did not allow time to note down and respond to residents' concerns.
9. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because it is dangerous to residents for a concrete processing plant to be located in this area of metropolitan Sydney. There are pre-existing waste processing plants operated by Benedict Recycling and Smorgan Steel Recycling at Chipping Norton. Benedict Recycling is planning to build another plant at Heathcote. There is no need for so many waste processing plants in such close proximity to each other.

As a local community member, I **OPPOSE** the building waste processing plant. I call on councillors, the NSW Parliament, the Minister for Planning, the Planning Assessment Commission, the NSW Department of Planning and Infrastructure and the Premier of NSW to **REJECT** this proposal.


FULL NAME:

SIGNATURE:

ADDRESS:

DATE:

Jane Fry



5 McDannell St, Rebg

21/3/13

1/1/

OPPOSITION TO MOOREBANK BUILDING WASTE PROCESSING PLANT

I **oppose** the proposed Moorebank Waste Processing Project (Project Number 05 0157) at Lot 6 DP 1065574, Newbridge Road, Moorebank.

1. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is far too close to existing and proposed residential homes. The proposed development is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate. Trucks using the proposed facility will travel even closer to residential homes. Trucks will likely short cut through Georges Fair, passing parks and local residents, using roads not designed for massive trucks.
2. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the risks from asbestos and toxins. At the community consultation meeting held on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club, the representatives of the developer refused to comment on whether asbestos would be processed at the facility and whether toxins would be released. There is a link between silica dust and lung cancer ('Occupational Exposure to Silica and Lung Cancer', *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers and Prevention Journal*, Volume 19, 2010). There is no known cure for silicosis ('A Brief Review of Silicosis in the US', *Environ Health Insights*, Volume 4, East Carolina University, 2010). The developer plans to install sweepers "where trucks and people will travel" and that there are "unsealed areas" in the development. Their own documentation refers to "likely dust from the recycling facility" (pg 3, *developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club*). This is an unacceptable health risk for local residents.
3. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the potential for flooding. The proposed development is in an area that floods. In the event of a flood, unsafe building materials and material stockpiles may cause an environmental disaster throughout Moorebank and the entire Georges River Basin.
4. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the NSW Government has indicated there will be "a return of local planning powers to local communities" ('NSW govt scraps 3A planning provision', *SMH*, 4/4/2011). The local community is opposed to this development. Councillors of the Liverpool City Council have voted unanimously against this development (*Minutes, Liverpool City Council meeting, 15/6/2011*).
5. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is incompatible with the current and planned residential and recreational uses of the area. The proposed concrete processing facility is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate, with a high proportion of residents having young families. The area is zoned E2 Environmental Conservation. It should not be used for a concrete processing plant. In close proximity are schools, parklands, the Georges River itself, cycleways, a proposed waterside marina, a library and a golf club. The land is more suitable for recreational facilities or a reserve. Even the owners of the Benedict Recycling plant at Moorebank (which borders the proposed facility) have accepted that the area is no longer suitable for a building waste processing plant and have started to shut down *their* operations to create their own housing estate and marina.
6. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of increased traffic. The developer states the proposed facility will result in an extra 324 truck movements a day, which is "very low and will have no impact on the arterial road network" (*developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club*). Increased traffic will have a significant impact on arterial roads including Nuwarra Road, Newbridge Road and Governor Macquarie Drive. There is already significant traffic in the area which will be made worse by the 'Intermodal', which will cause an extra 1.5 million truck movements in the first year. Truck movements will primarily be in peak hours, further impacting on already high congestion. The residents of Moorebank and other areas should not experience any additional noise, pollution and congestion from this proposed facility, given the likelihood of the 'Intermodal'.
7. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the negative impact on land values. Residents of the Moorebank precinct in particular have spent significant amounts of money on land and homes. The proposed development will have a severe impact on land values and residents will not be compensated.
8. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because there was a lack of community consultation at a meeting held by representatives of the developers on 31/5/2011. The organisers did not notify a significant number of local residents and future residents about the meeting. The organisers refused to answer questions from local residents on health risks. The organisers did not allow time to note down and respond to residents' concerns.
9. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because it is dangerous to residents for a concrete processing plant to be located in this area of metropolitan Sydney. There are pre-existing waste processing plants operated by Benedict Recycling and Smorgan Steel Recycling at Chipping Norton. Benedict Recycling is planning to build another plant at Heathcote. There is no need for so many waste processing plants in such close proximity to each other.

As a local community member, I **OPPOSE** the building waste processing plant. I call on councillors, the NSW Parliament, the Minister for Planning, the Planning Assessment Commission, the NSW Department of Planning and Infrastructure and the Premier of NSW to **REJECT** this proposal.

FULL NAME:

SIGNATURE:

ADDRESS:

DATE:

CHARLES TIMSON

C. Timson

768 Hume Hwy
YAGOWRA

16/3/13

OPPOSITION TO MOOREBANK BUILDING WASTE PROCESSING PLANT

I **oppose** the proposed Moorebank Waste Processing Project (Project Number 05 0157) at Lot 6 DP 1065574, Newbridge Road, Moorebank.

1. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is far too close to existing and proposed residential homes. The proposed development is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate. Trucks using the proposed facility will travel even closer to residential homes. Trucks will likely short cut through Georges Fair, passing parks and local residents, using roads not designed for massive trucks.
2. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the risks from asbestos and toxins. At the community consultation meeting held on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club, the representatives of the developer refused to comment on whether asbestos would be processed at the facility and whether toxins would be released. There is a link between silica dust and lung cancer ('Occupational Exposure to Silica and Lung Cancer', *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers and Prevention Journal*, Volume 19, 2010). There is no known cure for silicosis ('A Brief Review of Silicosis in the US', *Environ Health Insights*, Volume 4, East Carolina University, 2010). The developer plans to install sweepers "where trucks and people will travel" and that there are "unsealed areas" in the development. Their own documentation refers to "likely dust from the recycling facility" (pg 3, developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club). This is an unacceptable health risk for local residents.
3. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the potential for flooding. The proposed development is in an area that floods. In the event of a flood, unsafe building materials and material stockpiles may cause an environmental disaster throughout Moorebank and the entire Georges River Basin.
4. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the NSW Government has indicated there will be "a return of local planning powers to local communities" ('NSW govt scraps 3A planning provision', *SMH*, 4/4/2011). The local community is opposed to this development. Councillors of the Liverpool City Council have voted unanimously against this development (*Minutes, Liverpool City Council meeting*, 15/6/2011).
5. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is incompatible with the current and planned residential and recreational uses of the area. The proposed concrete processing facility is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate, with a high proportion of residents having young families. The area is zoned E2 Environmental Conservation. It should not be used for a concrete processing plant. In close proximity are schools, parklands, the Georges River itself, cycleways, a proposed waterside marina, a library and a golf club. The land is more suitable for recreational facilities or a reserve. Even the owners of the Benedict Recycling plant at Moorebank (which borders the proposed facility) have accepted that the area is no longer suitable for a building waste processing plant and have started to shut down *their* operations to create their own housing estate and marina.
6. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of increased traffic. The developer states the proposed facility will result in an extra 324 truck movements a day, which is "very low and will have no impact on the arterial road network" (developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club). Increased traffic will have a significant impact on arterial roads including Nuwarra Road, Newbridge Road and Governor Macquarie Drive. There is already significant traffic in the area which will be made worse by the 'Intermodal', which will cause an extra 1.5 million truck movements in the first year. Truck movements will primarily be in peak hours, further impacting on already high congestion. The residents of Moorebank and other areas should not experience any additional noise, pollution and congestion from this proposed facility, given the likelihood of the 'Intermodal'.
7. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the negative impact on land values. Residents of the Moorebank precinct in particular have spent significant amounts of money on land and homes. The proposed development will have a severe impact on land values and residents will not be compensated.
8. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because there was a lack of community consultation at a meeting held by representatives of the developers on 31/5/2011. The organisers did not notify a significant number of local residents and future residents about the meeting. The organisers refused to answer questions from local residents on health risks. The organisers did not allow time to note down and respond to residents' concerns.
9. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because it is dangerous to residents for a concrete processing plant to be located in this area of metropolitan Sydney. There are pre-existing waste processing plants operated by Benedict Recycling and Smorgan Steel Recycling at Chipping Norton. Benedict Recycling is planning to build another plant at Heathcote. There is no need for so many waste processing plants in such close proximity to each other.

As a local community member, I **OPPOSE** the building waste processing plant. I call on councillors, the NSW Parliament, the Minister for Planning, the Planning Assessment Commission, the NSW Department of Planning and Infrastructure and the Premier of NSW to **REJECT** this proposal.


FULL NAME:

SIGNATURE:

ADDRESS:

DATE:

TERRY HEATON



16 BYNCHAN RD

MOOREBANK

18/5/2012

OPPOSITION TO MOOREBANK BUILDING WASTE PROCESSING PLANT

I **oppose** the proposed Moorebank Waste Processing Project (Project Number 05 0157) at Lot 6 DP 1065574, Newbridge Road, Moorebank.

1. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is far too close to existing and proposed residential homes. The proposed development is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate. Trucks using the proposed facility will travel even closer to residential homes. Trucks will likely short cut through Georges Fair, passing parks and local residents, using roads not designed for massive trucks.
2. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the risks from asbestos and toxins. At the community consultation meeting held on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club, the representatives of the developer refused to comment on whether asbestos would be processed at the facility and whether toxins would be released. There is a link between silica dust and lung cancer (*'Occupational Exposure to Silica and Lung Cancer', Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers and Prevention Journal, Volume 19, 2010*). There is no known cure for silicosis (*'A Brief Review of Silicosis in the US', Environ Health Insights, Volume 4, East Carolina University, 2010*). The developer plans to install sweepers "where trucks and people will travel" and that there are "unsealed areas" in the development. Their own documentation refers to "likely dust from the recycling facility" (pg 3, developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club). This is an unacceptable health risk for local residents.
3. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the potential for flooding. The proposed development is in an area that floods. In the event of a flood, unsafe building materials and material stockpiles may cause an environmental disaster throughout Moorebank and the entire Georges River Basin.
4. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the NSW Government has indicated there will be "a return of local planning powers to local communities" (*'NSW govt scraps 3A planning provision', SMH, 4/4/2011*). The local community is opposed to this development. Councillors of the Liverpool City Council have voted unanimously against this development (*Minutes, Liverpool City Council meeting, 15/6/2011*).
5. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is incompatible with the current and planned residential and recreational uses of the area. The proposed concrete processing facility is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate, with a high proportion of residents having young families. The area is zoned E2 Environmental Conservation. It should not be used for a concrete processing plant. In close proximity are schools, parklands, the Georges River itself, cycleways, a proposed waterside marina, a library and a golf club. The land is more suitable for recreational facilities or a reserve. Even the owners of the Benedict Recycling plant at Moorebank (which borders the proposed facility) have accepted that the area is no longer suitable for a building waste processing plant and have started to shut down *their* operations to create their own housing estate and marina.
6. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of increased traffic. The developer states the proposed facility will result in an extra 324 truck movements a day, which is "very low and will have no impact on the arterial road network" (*developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club*). Increased traffic will have a significant impact on arterial roads including Nuwarra Road, Newbridge Road and Governor Macquarie Drive. There is already significant traffic in the area which will be made worse by the 'Intermodal', which will cause an extra 1.5 million truck movements in the first year. Truck movements will primarily be in peak hours, further impacting on already high congestion. The residents of Moorebank and other areas should not experience any additional noise, pollution and congestion from this proposed facility, given the likelihood of the 'Intermodal'.
7. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the negative impact on land values. Residents of the Moorebank precinct in particular have spent significant amounts of money on land and homes. The proposed development will have a severe impact on land values and residents will not be compensated.
8. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because there was a lack of community consultation at a meeting held by representatives of the developers on 31/5/2011. The organisers did not notify a significant number of local residents and future residents about the meeting. The organisers refused to answer questions from local residents on health risks. The organisers did not allow time to note down and respond to residents' concerns.
9. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because it is dangerous to residents for a concrete processing plant to be located in this area of metropolitan Sydney. There are pre-existing waste processing plants operated by Benedict Recycling and Smorgan Steel Recycling at Chipping Norton. Benedict Recycling is planning to build another plant at Heathcote. There is no need for so many waste processing plants in such close proximity to each other.

As a local community member, I **OPPOSE** the building waste processing plant. I call on councillors, the NSW Parliament, the Minister for Planning, the Planning Assessment Commission, the NSW Department of Planning and Infrastructure and the Premier of NSW to **REJECT** this proposal.

FULL NAME:

SIGNATURE:

ADDRESS: 2/55 THG

DATE:

T THOMAS BOWELL

T. Thomas Bowell

GRAND PRD
SUTHERLAND

16/3/13

OPPOSITION TO MOOREBANK BUILDING WASTE PROCESSING PLANT

I oppose the proposed Moorebank Waste Processing Project (Project Number 05 0157) at Lot 6 DP 1065574, Newbridge Road, Moorebank.

1. I oppose the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is far too close to existing and proposed residential homes. The proposed development is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate. Trucks using the proposed facility will travel even closer to residential homes. Trucks will likely short cut through Georges Fair, passing parks and local residents, using roads not designed for massive trucks.
2. I oppose the building waste processing plant because of the risks from asbestos and toxins. At the community consultation meeting held on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club, the representatives of the developer refused to comment on whether asbestos would be processed at the facility and whether toxins would be released. There is a link between silica dust and lung cancer ('Occupational Exposure to Silica and Lung Cancer', *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers and Prevention Journal*, Volume 19, 2010). There is no known cure for silicosis ('A Brief Review of Silicosis in the US', *Environ Health Insights*, Volume 4, East Carolina University, 2010). The developer plans to install sweepers "where trucks and people will travel" and that there are "unsealed areas" in the development. Their own documentation refers to "likely dust from the recycling facility" (pg 3, developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club). This is an unacceptable health risk for local residents.
3. I oppose the building waste processing plant because of the potential for flooding. The proposed development is in an area that floods. In the event of a flood, unsafe building materials and material stockpiles may cause an environmental disaster throughout Moorebank and the entire Georges River Basin.
4. I oppose the building waste processing plant because the NSW Government has indicated there will be "a return of local planning powers to local communities" ('NSW govt scraps 3A planning provision', *SMH*, 4/4/2011). The local community is opposed to this development. Councillors of the Liverpool City Council have voted unanimously against this development (*Minutes, Liverpool City Council meeting*, 15/6/2011).
5. I oppose the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is incompatible with the current and planned residential and recreational uses of the area. The proposed concrete processing facility is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate, with a high proportion of residents having young families. The area is zoned E2 Environmental Conservation. It should not be used for a concrete processing plant. In close proximity are schools, parklands, the Georges River itself, cycleways, a proposed waterside marina, a library and a golf club. The land is more suitable for recreational facilities or a reserve. Even the owners of the Benedict Recycling plant at Moorebank (which borders the proposed facility) have accepted that the area is no longer suitable for a building waste processing plant and have started to shut down *their* operations to create their own housing estate and marina.
6. I oppose the building waste processing plant because of increased traffic. The developer states the proposed facility will result in an extra 324 truck movements a day, which is "very low and will have no impact on the arterial road network" (developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club). Increased traffic will have a significant impact on arterial roads including Nuwarra Road, Newbridge Road and Governor Macquarie Drive. There is already significant traffic in the area which will be made worse by the 'Intermodal', which will cause an extra 1.5 million truck movements in the first year. Truck movements will primarily be in peak hours, further impacting on already high congestion. The residents of Moorebank and other areas should not experience any additional noise, pollution and congestion from this proposed facility, given the likelihood of the 'Intermodal'.
7. I oppose the building waste processing plant because of the negative impact on land values. Residents of the Moorebank precinct in particular have spent significant amounts of money on land and homes. The proposed development will have a severe impact on land values and residents will not be compensated.
8. I oppose the building waste processing plant because there was a lack of community consultation at a meeting held by representatives of the developers on 31/5/2011. The organisers did not notify a significant number of local residents and future residents about the meeting. The organisers refused to answer questions from local residents on health risks. The organisers did not allow time to note down and respond to residents' concerns.
9. I oppose the building waste processing plant because it is dangerous to residents for a concrete processing plant to be located in this area of metropolitan Sydney. There are pre-existing waste processing plants operated by Benedict Recycling and Smorgan Steel Recycling at Chipping Norton. Benedict Recycling is planning to build another plant at Heathcote. There is no need for so many waste processing plants in such close proximity to each other.

As a local community member, I OPPOSE the building waste processing plant. I call on councillors, the NSW Parliament, the Minister for Planning, the Planning Assessment Commission, the NSW Department of Planning and Infrastructure and the Premier of NSW to REJECT this proposal.

FULL NAME:
WEI PAN

SIGNATURE:
W. Pan

ADDRESS:
10/66-70 BOLITMORE ST

BEFIBLD

DATE:

15/3/13

OPPOSITION TO MOOREBANK BUILDING WASTE PROCESSING PLANT

I **oppose** the proposed Moorebank Waste Processing Project (Project Number 05 0157) at Lot 6 DP 1065574, Newbridge Road, Moorebank.

1. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is far too close to existing and proposed residential homes. The proposed development is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate. Trucks using the proposed facility will travel even closer to residential homes. Trucks will likely short cut through Georges Fair, passing parks and local residents, using roads not designed for massive trucks.
2. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the risks from asbestos and toxins. At the community consultation meeting held on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club, the representatives of the developer refused to comment on whether asbestos would be processed at the facility and whether toxins would be released. There is a link between silica dust and lung cancer ('Occupational Exposure to Silica and Lung Cancer', *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers and Prevention Journal*, Volume 19, 2010). There is no known cure for silicosis ('A Brief Review of Silicosis in the US', *Environ Health Insights*, Volume 4, East Carolina University, 2010). The developer plans to install sweepers "where trucks and people will travel" and that there are "unsealed areas" in the development. Their own documentation refers to "likely dust from the recycling facility" (pg 3, developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club). This is an unacceptable health risk for local residents.
3. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the potential for flooding. The proposed development is in an area that floods. In the event of a flood, unsafe building materials and material stockpiles may cause an environmental disaster throughout Moorebank and the entire Georges River Basin.
4. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the NSW Government has indicated there will be "a return of local planning powers to local communities" ('NSW govt scraps 3A planning provision', *SMH*, 4/4/2011). The local community is opposed to this development. Councillors of the Liverpool City Council have voted unanimously against this development (*Minutes, Liverpool City Council meeting*, 15/6/2011).
5. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is incompatible with the current and planned residential and recreational uses of the area. The proposed concrete processing facility is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate, with a high proportion of residents having young families. The area is zoned E2 Environmental Conservation. It should not be used for a concrete processing plant. In close proximity are schools, parklands, the Georges River itself, cycleways, a proposed waterside marina, a library and a golf club. The land is more suitable for recreational facilities or a reserve. Even the owners of the Benedict Recycling plant at Moorebank (which borders the proposed facility) have accepted that the area is no longer suitable for a building waste processing plant and have started to shut down *their* operations to create their own housing estate and marina.
6. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of increased traffic. The developer states the proposed facility will result in an extra 324 truck movements a day, which is "very low and will have no impact on the arterial road network" (*developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club*). Increased traffic will have a significant impact on arterial roads including Nuwarra Road, Newbridge Road and Governor Macquarie Drive. There is already significant traffic in the area which will be made worse by the 'Intermodal', which will cause an extra 1.5 million truck movements in the first year. Truck movements will primarily be in peak hours, further impacting on already high congestion. The residents of Moorebank and other areas should not experience any additional noise, pollution and congestion from this proposed facility, given the likelihood of the 'Intermodal'.
7. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the negative impact on land values. Residents of the Moorebank precinct in particular have spent significant amounts of money on land and homes. The proposed development will have a severe impact on land values and residents will not be compensated.
8. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because there was a lack of community consultation at a meeting held by representatives of the developers on 31/5/2011. The organisers did not notify a significant number of local residents and future residents about the meeting. The organisers refused to answer questions from local residents on health risks. The organisers did not allow time to note down and respond to residents' concerns.
9. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because it is dangerous to residents for a concrete processing plant to be located in this area of metropolitan Sydney. There are pre-existing waste processing plants operated by Benedict Recycling and Smorgan Steel Recycling at Chipping Norton. Benedict Recycling is planning to build another plant at Heathcote. There is no need for so many waste processing plants in such close proximity to each other.

As a local community member, I **OPPOSE** the building waste processing plant. I call on councillors, the NSW Parliament, the Minister for Planning, the Planning Assessment Commission, the NSW Department of Planning and Infrastructure and the Premier of NSW to **REJECT** this proposal.

FULL NAME:

SIGNATURE:

ADDRESS:

DATE:

MARCELO TOPACIO



25 PARRO CT.
WATTLE GROVE

16/3/13

OPPOSITION TO MOOREBANK BUILDING WASTE PROCESSING PLANT

I **oppose** the proposed Moorebank Waste Processing Project (Project Number 05 0157) at Lot 6 DP 1065574, Newbridge Road, Moorebank.

1. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is far too close to existing and proposed residential homes. The proposed development is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate. Trucks using the proposed facility will travel even closer to residential homes. Trucks will likely short cut through Georges Fair, passing parks and local residents, using roads not designed for massive trucks.
2. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the risks from asbestos and toxins. At the community consultation meeting held on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club, the representatives of the developer refused to comment on whether asbestos would be processed at the facility and whether toxins would be released. There is a link between silica dust and lung cancer ('Occupational Exposure to Silica and Lung Cancer', *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers and Prevention Journal*, Volume 19, 2010). There is no known cure for silicosis ('A Brief Review of Silicosis in the US', *Environ Health Insights*, Volume 4, East Carolina University, 2010). The developer plans to install sweepers "where trucks and people will travel" and that there are "unsealed areas" in the development. Their own documentation refers to "likely dust from the recycling facility" (pg 3, developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club). This is an unacceptable health risk for local residents.
3. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the potential for flooding. The proposed development is in an area that floods. In the event of a flood, unsafe building materials and material stockpiles may cause an environmental disaster throughout Moorebank and the entire Georges River Basin.
4. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the NSW Government has indicated there will be "a return of local planning powers to local communities" ('NSW govt scraps 3A planning provision', *SMH*, 4/4/2011). The local community is opposed to this development. Councillors of the Liverpool City Council have voted unanimously against this development (*Minutes, Liverpool City Council meeting*, 15/6/2011).
5. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is incompatible with the current and planned residential and recreational uses of the area. The proposed concrete processing facility is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate, with a high proportion of residents having young families. The area is zoned E2 Environmental Conservation. It should not be used for a concrete processing plant. In close proximity are schools, parklands, the Georges River itself, cycleways, a proposed waterside marina, a library and a golf club. The land is more suitable for recreational facilities or a reserve. Even the owners of the Benedict Recycling plant at Moorebank (which borders the proposed facility) have accepted that the area is no longer suitable for a building waste processing plant and have started to shut down *their* operations to create their own housing estate and marina.
6. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of increased traffic. The developer states the proposed facility will result in an extra 324 truck movements a day, which is "very low and will have no impact on the arterial road network" (*developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club*). Increased traffic will have a significant impact on arterial roads including Nuwarra Road, Newbridge Road and Governor Macquarie Drive. There is already significant traffic in the area which will be made worse by the 'Intermodal', which will cause an extra 1.5 million truck movements in the first year. Truck movements will primarily be in peak hours, further impacting on already high congestion. The residents of Moorebank and other areas should not experience any additional noise, pollution and congestion from this proposed facility, given the likelihood of the 'Intermodal'.
7. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the negative impact on land values. Residents of the Moorebank precinct in particular have spent significant amounts of money on land and homes. The proposed development will have a severe impact on land values and residents will not be compensated.
8. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because there was a lack of community consultation at a meeting held by representatives of the developers on 31/5/2011. The organisers did not notify a significant number of local residents and future residents about the meeting. The organisers refused to answer questions from local residents on health risks. The organisers did not allow time to note down and respond to residents' concerns.
9. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because it is dangerous to residents for a concrete processing plant to be located in this area of metropolitan Sydney. There are pre-existing waste processing plants operated by Benedict Recycling and Smorgan Steel Recycling at Chipping Norton. Benedict Recycling is planning to build another plant at Heathcote. There is no need for so many waste processing plants in such close proximity to each other.

As a local community member, I **OPPOSE** the building waste processing plant. I call on councillors, the NSW Parliament, the Minister for Planning, the Planning Assessment Commission, the NSW Department of Planning and Infrastructure and the Premier of NSW to **REJECT** this proposal.

FULL NAME:
NOLASCO JAMES

SIGNATURE:


ADDRESS:
48 Bridges Ave
Wentworthville
NSW 2173

DATE:
19/5/15

OPPOSITION TO MOOREBANK BUILDING WASTE PROCESSING PLANT

I **oppose** the proposed Moorebank Waste Processing Project (Project Number 05 0157) at Lot 6 DP 1065574, Newbridge Road, Moorebank.

1. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is far too close to existing and proposed residential homes. The proposed development is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate. Trucks using the proposed facility will travel even closer to residential homes. Trucks will likely short cut through Georges Fair, passing parks and local residents, using roads not designed for massive trucks.
2. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the risks from asbestos and toxins. At the community consultation meeting held on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club, the representatives of the developer refused to comment on whether asbestos would be processed at the facility and whether toxins would be released. There is a link between silica dust and lung cancer ('Occupational Exposure to Silica and Lung Cancer', *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers and Prevention Journal*, Volume 19, 2010). There is no known cure for silicosis ('A Brief Review of Silicosis in the US', *Environ Health Insights*, Volume 4, East Carolina University, 2010). The developer plans to install sweepers "where trucks and people will travel" and that there are "unsealed areas" in the development. Their own documentation refers to "likely dust from the recycling facility" (pg 3, developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club). This is an unacceptable health risk for local residents.
3. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the potential for flooding. The proposed development is in an area that floods. In the event of a flood, unsafe building materials and material stockpiles may cause an environmental disaster throughout Moorebank and the entire Georges River Basin.
4. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the NSW Government has indicated there will be "a return of local planning powers to local communities" ('NSW govt scraps 3A planning provision', *SMH*, 4/4/2011). The local community is opposed to this development. Councillors of the Liverpool City Council have voted unanimously against this development (*Minutes, Liverpool City Council meeting, 15/6/2011*).
5. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is incompatible with the current and planned residential and recreational uses of the area. The proposed concrete processing facility is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate, with a high proportion of residents having young families. The area is zoned E2 Environmental Conservation. It should not be used for a concrete processing plant. In close proximity are schools, parklands, the Georges River itself, cycleways, a proposed waterside marina, a library and a golf club. The land is more suitable for recreational facilities or a reserve. Even the owners of the Benedict Recycling plant at Moorebank (which borders the proposed facility) have accepted that the area is no longer suitable for a building waste processing plant and have started to shut down *their* operations to create their own housing estate and marina.
6. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of increased traffic. The developer states the proposed facility will result in an extra 324 truck movements a day, which is "very low and will have no impact on the arterial road network" (developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club). Increased traffic will have a significant impact on arterial roads including Nuwarra Road, Newbridge Road and Governor Macquarie Drive. There is already significant traffic in the area which will be made worse by the 'Intermodal', which will cause an extra 1.5 million truck movements in the first year. Truck movements will primarily be in peak hours, further impacting on already high congestion. The residents of Moorebank and other areas should not experience any additional noise, pollution and congestion from this proposed facility, given the likelihood of the 'Intermodal'.
7. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the negative impact on land values. Residents of the Moorebank precinct in particular have spent significant amounts of money on land and homes. The proposed development will have a severe impact on land values and residents will not be compensated.
8. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because there was a lack of community consultation at a meeting held by representatives of the developers on 31/5/2011. The organisers did not notify a significant number of local residents and future residents about the meeting. The organisers refused to answer questions from local residents on health risks. The organisers did not allow time to note down and respond to residents' concerns.
9. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because it is dangerous to residents for a concrete processing plant to be located in this area of metropolitan Sydney. There are pre-existing waste processing plants operated by Benedict Recycling and Smorgan Steel Recycling at Chipping Norton. Benedict Recycling is planning to build another plant at Heathcote. There is no need for so many waste processing plants in such close proximity to each other.

As a local community member, I **OPPOSE** the building waste processing plant. I call on councillors, the NSW Parliament, the Minister for Planning, the Planning Assessment Commission, the NSW Department of Planning and Infrastructure and the Premier of NSW to **REJECT** this proposal.

FULL NAME:

SIGNATURE:

ADDRESS:

DATE:

PEACE

FILIMOEATU

[Signature]

79 DALMEY

PRESTONS

21/10/13

OPPOSITION TO MOOREBANK BUILDING WASTE PROCESSING PLANT

I oppose the proposed Moorebank Waste Processing Project (Project Number 05 0157) at Lot 6 DP 1065574, Newbridge Road, Moorebank.

1. I oppose the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is far too close to existing and proposed residential homes. The proposed development is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate. Trucks using the proposed facility will travel even closer to residential homes. Trucks will likely short cut through Georges Fair, passing parks and local residents, using roads not designed for massive trucks.
2. I oppose the building waste processing plant because of the risks from asbestos and toxins. At the community consultation meeting held on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club, the representatives of the developer refused to comment on whether asbestos would be processed at the facility and whether toxins would be released. There is a link between silica dust and lung cancer ('Occupational Exposure to Silica and Lung Cancer', *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers and Prevention Journal*, Volume 19, 2010). There is no known cure for silicosis ('A Brief Review of Silicosis in the US', *Environ Health Insights*, Volume 4, East Carolina University, 2010). The developer plans to install sweepers "where trucks and people will travel" and that there are "unsealed areas" in the development. Their own documentation refers to "likely dust from the recycling facility" (pg 3, *developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club*). This is an unacceptable health risk for local residents.
3. I oppose the building waste processing plant because of the potential for flooding. The proposed development is in an area that floods. In the event of a flood, unsafe building materials and material stockpiles may cause an environmental disaster throughout Moorebank and the entire Georges River Basin.
4. I oppose the building waste processing plant because the NSW Government has indicated there will be "a return of local planning powers to local communities" ('NSW govt scraps 3A planning provision', *SMH*, 4/4/2011). The local community is opposed to this development. Councillors of the Liverpool City Council have voted unanimously against this development (*Minutes, Liverpool City Council meeting, 15/6/2011*).
5. I oppose the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is incompatible with the current and planned residential and recreational uses of the area. The proposed concrete processing facility is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate, with a high proportion of residents having young families. The area is zoned E2 Environmental Conservation. It should not be used for a concrete processing plant. In close proximity are schools, parklands, the Georges River itself, cycleways, a proposed waterside marina, a library and a golf club. The land is more suitable for recreational facilities or a reserve. Even the owners of the Benedict Recycling plant at Moorebank (which borders the proposed facility) have accepted that the area is no longer suitable for a building waste processing plant and have started to shut down *their* operations to create their own housing estate and marina.
6. I oppose the building waste processing plant because of increased traffic. The developer states the proposed facility will result in an extra 324 truck movements a day, which is "very low and will have no impact on the arterial road network" (*developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club*). Increased traffic will have a significant impact on arterial roads including Nuwarra Road, Newbridge Road and Governor Macquarie Drive. There is already significant traffic in the area which will be made worse by the 'Intermodal', which will cause an extra 1.5 million truck movements in the first year. Truck movements will primarily be in peak hours, further impacting on already high congestion. The residents of Moorebank and other areas should not experience any additional noise, pollution and congestion from this proposed facility, given the likelihood of the 'Intermodal'.
7. I oppose the building waste processing plant because of the negative impact on land values. Residents of the Moorebank precinct in particular have spent significant amounts of money on land and homes. The proposed development will have a severe impact on land values and residents will not be compensated.
8. I oppose the building waste processing plant because there was a lack of community consultation at a meeting held by representatives of the developers on 31/5/2011. The organisers did not notify a significant number of local residents and future residents about the meeting. The organisers refused to answer questions from local residents on health risks. The organisers did not allow time to note down and respond to residents' concerns.
9. I oppose the building waste processing plant because it is dangerous to residents for a concrete processing plant to be located in this area of metropolitan Sydney. There are pre-existing waste processing plants operated by Benedict Recycling and Smorgan Steel Recycling at Chipping Norton. Benedict Recycling is planning to build another plant at Heathcote. There is no need for so many waste processing plants in such close proximity to each other.

As a local community member, I OPPOSE the building waste processing plant. I call on councillors, the NSW Parliament, the Minister for Planning, the Planning Assessment Commission, the NSW Department of Planning and Infrastructure and the Premier of NSW to REJECT this proposal.

FULL NAME:

SIGNATURE:

ADDRESS:

DATE:

P. J. BEARHART

34 VIKING ST CAMPBELL

1/1

OPPOSITION TO MOOREBANK BUILDING WASTE PROCESSING PLANT

I oppose the proposed Moorebank Waste Processing Project (Project Number 05 0157) at Lot 6 DP 1065574, Newbridge Road, Moorebank.

1. I oppose the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is far too close to existing and proposed residential homes. The proposed development is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate. Trucks using the proposed facility will travel even closer to residential homes. Trucks will likely short cut through Georges Fair, passing parks and local residents, using roads not designed for massive trucks.
2. I oppose the building waste processing plant because of the risks from asbestos and toxins. At the community consultation meeting held on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club, the representatives of the developer refused to comment on whether asbestos would be processed at the facility and whether toxins would be released. There is a link between silica dust and lung cancer ('Occupational Exposure to Silica and Lung Cancer', *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers and Prevention Journal*, Volume 19, 2010). There is no known cure for silicosis ('A Brief Review of Silicosis in the US', *Environ Health Insights*, Volume 4, East Carolina University, 2010). The developer plans to install sweepers "where trucks and people will travel" and that there are "unsealed areas" in the development. Their own documentation refers to "likely dust from the recycling facility" (pg 3, developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club). This is an unacceptable health risk for local residents.
3. I oppose the building waste processing plant because of the potential for flooding. The proposed development is in an area that floods. In the event of a flood, unsafe building materials and material stockpiles may cause an environmental disaster throughout Moorebank and the entire Georges River Basin.
4. I oppose the building waste processing plant because the NSW Government has indicated there will be "a return of local planning powers to local communities" ('NSW govt scraps 3A planning provision', *SMH*, 4/4/2011). The local community is opposed to this development. Councillors of the Liverpool City Council have voted unanimously against this development (*Minutes, Liverpool City Council meeting*, 15/6/2011).
5. I oppose the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is incompatible with the current and planned residential and recreational uses of the area. The proposed concrete processing facility is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate, with a high proportion of residents having young families. The area is zoned E2 Environmental Conservation. It should not be used for a concrete processing plant. In close proximity are schools, parklands, the Georges River itself, cycleways, a proposed waterside marina, a library and a golf club. The land is more suitable for recreational facilities or a reserve. Even the owners of the Benedict Recycling plant at Moorebank (which borders the proposed facility) have accepted that the area is no longer suitable for a building waste processing plant and have started to shut down *their* operations to create their own housing estate and marina.
6. I oppose the building waste processing plant because of increased traffic. The developer states the proposed facility will result in an extra 324 truck movements a day, which is "very low and will have no impact on the arterial road network" (developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club). Increased traffic will have a significant impact on arterial roads including Nuwarra Road, Newbridge Road and Governor Macquarie Drive. There is already significant traffic in the area which will be made worse by the 'Intermodal', which will cause an extra 1.5 million truck movements in the first year. Truck movements will, primarily be in peak hours, further impacting on already high congestion. The residents of Moorebank and other areas should not experience any additional noise, pollution and congestion from this proposed facility, given the likelihood of the 'Intermodal'.
7. I oppose the building waste processing plant because of the negative impact on land values. Residents of the Moorebank precinct in particular have spent significant amounts of money on land and homes. The proposed development will have a severe impact on land values and residents will not be compensated.
8. I oppose the building waste processing plant because there was a lack of community consultation at a meeting held by representatives of the developers on 31/5/2011. The organisers did not notify a significant number of local residents and future residents about the meeting. The organisers refused to answer questions from local residents on health risks. The organisers did not allow time to note down and respond to residents' concerns.
9. I oppose the building waste processing plant because it is dangerous to residents for a concrete processing plant to be located in this area of metropolitan Sydney. There are pre-existing waste processing plants operated by Benedict Recycling and Smorgan Steel Recycling at Chipping Norton. Benedict Recycling is planning to build another plant at Heathcote. There is no need for so many waste processing plants in such close proximity to each other.

As a local community member, I OPPOSE the building waste processing plant. I call on councillors, the NSW Parliament, the Minister for Planning, the Planning Assessment Commission, the NSW Department of Planning and Infrastructure and the Premier of NSW to REJECT this proposal.

FULL NAME:

SIGNATURE:

ADDRESS:

DATE:

Peter Beckmann

9 PARSONS R.

BARDON RIDGE 2234 16/3/13

OPPOSITION TO MOOREBANK BUILDING WASTE PROCESSING PLANT

I **oppose** the proposed Moorebank Waste Processing Project (Project Number 05 0157) at Lot 6 DP 1065574, Newbridge Road, Moorebank.

1. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is far too close to existing and proposed residential homes. The proposed development is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate. Trucks using the proposed facility will travel even closer to residential homes. Trucks will likely short cut through Georges Fair, passing parks and local residents, using roads not designed for massive trucks.
2. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the risks from asbestos and toxins. At the community consultation meeting held on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club, the representatives of the developer refused to comment on whether asbestos would be processed at the facility and whether toxins would be released. There is a link between silica dust and lung cancer ('Occupational Exposure to Silica and Lung Cancer', *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers and Prevention Journal*, Volume 19, 2010). There is no known cure for silicosis ('A Brief Review of Silicosis in the US', *Environ Health Insights*, Volume 4, East Carolina University, 2010). The developer plans to install sweepers "where trucks and people will travel" and that there are "unsealed areas" in the development. Their own documentation refers to "likely dust from the recycling facility" (pg 3, developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club). This is an unacceptable health risk for local residents.
3. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the potential for flooding. The proposed development is in an area that floods. In the event of a flood, unsafe building materials and material stockpiles may cause an environmental disaster throughout Moorebank and the entire Georges River Basin.
4. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the NSW Government has indicated there will be "a return of local planning powers to local communities" ('NSW govt scraps 3A planning provision', *SMH*, 4/4/2011). The local community is opposed to this development. Councillors of the Liverpool City Council have voted unanimously against this development (*Minutes, Liverpool City Council meeting*, 15/6/2011).
5. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is incompatible with the current and planned residential and recreational uses of the area. The proposed concrete processing facility is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate, with a high proportion of residents having young families. The area is zoned E2 Environmental Conservation. It should not be used for a concrete processing plant. In close proximity are schools, parklands, the Georges River itself, cycleways, a proposed waterside marina, a library and a golf club. The land is more suitable for recreational facilities or a reserve. Even the owners of the Benedict Recycling plant at Moorebank (which borders the proposed facility) have accepted that the area is no longer suitable for a building waste processing plant and have started to shut down *their* operations to create their own housing estate and marina.
6. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of increased traffic. The developer states the proposed facility will result in an extra 324 truck movements a day, which is "very low and will have no impact on the arterial road network" (developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club). Increased traffic will have a significant impact on arterial roads including Nuwarra Road, Newbridge Road and Governor Macquarie Drive. There is already significant traffic in the area which will be made worse by the 'Intermodal', which will cause an extra 1.5 million truck movements in the first year. Truck movements will primarily be in peak hours, further impacting on already high congestion. The residents of Moorebank and other areas should not experience any additional noise, pollution and congestion from this proposed facility, given the likelihood of the 'Intermodal'.
7. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the negative impact on land values. Residents of the Moorebank precinct in particular have spent significant amounts of money on land and homes. The proposed development will have a severe impact on land values and residents will not be compensated.
8. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because there was a lack of community consultation at a meeting held by representatives of the developers on 31/5/2011. The organisers did not notify a significant number of local residents and future residents about the meeting. The organisers refused to answer questions from local residents on health risks. The organisers did not allow time to note down and respond to residents' concerns.
9. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because it is dangerous to residents for a concrete processing plant to be located in this area of metropolitan Sydney. There are pre-existing waste processing plants operated by Benedict Recycling and Smorgan Steel Recycling at Chipping Norton. Benedict Recycling is planning to build another plant at Heathcote. There is no need for so many waste processing plants in such close proximity to each other.

As a local community member, I **OPPOSE** the building waste processing plant. I call on councillors, the NSW Parliament, the Minister for Planning, the Planning Assessment Commission, the NSW Department of Planning and Infrastructure and the Premier of NSW to **REJECT** this proposal.

FULL NAME:

SIGNATURE:

ADDRESS:

DATE:

Christine Buscilli

[Signature]

6 Ballanda Place Banger

21/3/13

OPPOSITION TO MOOREBANK BUILDING WASTE PROCESSING PLANT

I **oppose** the proposed Moorebank Waste Processing Project (Project Number 05 0157) at Lot 6 DP 1065574, Newbridge Road, Moorebank.

1. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is far too close to existing and proposed residential homes. The proposed development is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate. Trucks using the proposed facility will travel even closer to residential homes. Trucks will likely short cut through Georges Fair, passing parks and local residents, using roads not designed for massive trucks.
2. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the risks from asbestos and toxins. At the community consultation meeting held on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club, the representatives of the developer refused to comment on whether asbestos would be processed at the facility and whether toxins would be released. There is a link between silica dust and lung cancer ('Occupational Exposure to Silica and Lung Cancer', *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers and Prevention Journal*, Volume 19, 2010). There is no known cure for silicosis ('A Brief Review of Silicosis in the US', *Environ Health Insights*, Volume 4, East Carolina University, 2010). The developer plans to install sweepers "where trucks and people will travel" and that there are "unsealed areas" in the development. Their own documentation refers to "likely dust from the recycling facility" (pg 3, developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club). This is an unacceptable health risk for local residents.
3. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the potential for flooding. The proposed development is in an area that floods. In the event of a flood, unsafe building materials and material stockpiles may cause an environmental disaster throughout Moorebank and the entire Georges River Basin.
4. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the NSW Government has indicated there will be "a return of local planning powers to local communities" ('NSW govt scraps 3A planning provision', *SMH*, 4/4/2011). The local community is opposed to this development. Councillors of the Liverpool City Council have voted unanimously against this development (*Minutes, Liverpool City Council meeting, 15/6/2011*).
5. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is incompatible with the current and planned residential and recreational uses of the area. The proposed concrete processing facility is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate, with a high proportion of residents having young families. The area is zoned E2 Environmental Conservation. It should not be used for a concrete processing plant. In close proximity are schools, parklands, the Georges River itself, cycleways, a proposed waterside marina, a library and a golf club. The land is more suitable for recreational facilities or a reserve. Even the owners of the Benedict Recycling plant at Moorebank (which borders the proposed facility) have accepted that the area is no longer suitable for a building waste processing plant and have started to shut down *their* operations to create their own housing estate and marina.
6. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of increased traffic. The developer states the proposed facility will result in an extra 324 truck movements a day, which is "very low and will have no impact on the arterial road network" (developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club). Increased traffic will have a significant impact on arterial roads including Nuwarra Road, Newbridge Road and Governor Macquarie Drive. There is already significant traffic in the area which will be made worse by the 'Intermodal', which will cause an extra 1.5 million truck movements in the first year. Truck movements will primarily be in peak hours, further impacting on already high congestion. The residents of Moorebank and other areas should not experience any additional noise, pollution and congestion from this proposed facility, given the likelihood of the 'Intermodal'.
7. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the negative impact on land values. Residents of the Moorebank precinct in particular have spent significant amounts of money on land and homes. The proposed development will have a severe impact on land values and residents will not be compensated.
8. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because there was a lack of community consultation at a meeting held by representatives of the developers on 31/5/2011. The organisers did not notify a significant number of local residents and future residents about the meeting. The organisers refused to answer questions from local residents on health risks. The organisers did not allow time to note down and respond to residents' concerns.
9. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because it is dangerous to residents for a concrete processing plant to be located in this area of metropolitan Sydney. There are pre-existing waste processing plants operated by Benedict Recycling and Smorgan Steel Recycling at Chipping Norton. Benedict Recycling is planning to build another plant at Heathcote. There is no need for so many waste processing plants in such close proximity to each other.

As a local community member, I **OPPOSE** the building waste processing plant. I call on councillors, the NSW Parliament, the Minister for Planning, the Planning Assessment Commission, the NSW Department of Planning and Infrastructure and the Premier of NSW to **REJECT** this proposal.

FULL NAME:

SIGNATURE:

ADDRESS:

DATE:

Louise Hollie

L Hollie

10 Crosby Ave
Beaumont Hills

17/3/13

OPPOSITION TO MOOREBANK BUILDING WASTE PROCESSING PLANT

I oppose the proposed Moorebank Waste Processing Project (Project Number 05 0157) at Lot 6 DP 1065574, Newbridge Road, Moorebank.

1. I oppose the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is far too close to existing and proposed residential homes. The proposed development is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate. Trucks using the proposed facility will travel even closer to residential homes. Trucks will likely short cut through Georges Fair, passing parks and local residents, using roads not designed for massive trucks.
2. I oppose the building waste processing plant because of the risks from asbestos and toxins. At the community consultation meeting held on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club, the representatives of the developer refused to comment on whether asbestos would be processed at the facility and whether toxins would be released. There is a link between silica dust and lung cancer ('Occupational Exposure to Silica and Lung Cancer', *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers and Prevention Journal*, Volume 19, 2010). There is no known cure for silicosis ('A Brief Review of Silicosis in the US', *Environ Health Insights*, Volume 4, East Carolina University, 2010). The developer plans to install sweepers "where trucks and people will travel" and that there are "unsealed areas" in the development. Their own documentation refers to "likely dust from the recycling facility" (pg 3, developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club). This is an unacceptable health risk for local residents.
3. I oppose the building waste processing plant because of the potential for flooding. The proposed development is in an area that floods. In the event of a flood, unsafe building materials and material stockpiles may cause an environmental disaster throughout Moorebank and the entire Georges River Basin.
4. I oppose the building waste processing plant because the NSW Government has indicated there will be "a return of local planning powers to local communities" ('NSW govt scraps 3A planning provision', *SMH*, 4/4/2011). The local community is opposed to this development. Councillors of the Liverpool City Council have voted unanimously against this development (*Minutes, Liverpool City Council meeting*, 15/6/2011).
5. I oppose the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is incompatible with the current and planned residential and recreational uses of the area. The proposed concrete processing facility is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate, with a high proportion of residents having young families. The area is zoned E2 Environmental Conservation. It should not be used for a concrete processing plant. In close proximity are schools, parklands, the Georges River itself, cycleways, a proposed waterside marina, a library and a golf club. The land is more suitable for recreational facilities or a reserve. Even the owners of the Benedict Recycling plant at Moorebank (which borders the proposed facility) have accepted that the area is no longer suitable for a building waste processing plant and have started to shut down *their* operations to create their own housing estate and marina.
6. I oppose the building waste processing plant because of increased traffic. The developer states the proposed facility will result in an extra 324 truck movements a day, which is "very low and will have no impact on the arterial road network" (developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club). Increased traffic will have a significant impact on arterial roads including Nuwarra Road, Newbridge Road and Governor Macquarie Drive. There is already significant traffic in the area which will be made worse by the 'Intermodal', which will cause an extra 1.5 million truck movements in the first year. Truck movements will primarily be in peak hours, further impacting on already high congestion. The residents of Moorebank and other areas should not experience any additional noise, pollution and congestion from this proposed facility, given the likelihood of the 'Intermodal'.
7. I oppose the building waste processing plant because of the negative impact on land values. Residents of the Moorebank precinct in particular have spent significant amounts of money on land and homes. The proposed development will have a severe impact on land values and residents will not be compensated.
8. I oppose the building waste processing plant because there was a lack of community consultation at a meeting held by representatives of the developers on 31/5/2011. The organisers did not notify a significant number of local residents and future residents about the meeting. The organisers refused to answer questions from local residents on health risks. The organisers did not allow time to note down and respond to residents' concerns.
9. I oppose the building waste processing plant because it is dangerous to residents for a concrete processing plant to be located in this area of metropolitan Sydney. There are pre-existing waste processing plants operated by Benedict Recycling and Smorgan Steel Recycling at Chipping Norton. Benedict Recycling is planning to build another plant at Heathcote. There is no need for so many waste processing plants in such close proximity to each other.

As a local community member, I OPPOSE the building waste processing plant. I call on councillors, the NSW Parliament, the Minister for Planning, the Planning Assessment Commission, the NSW Department of Planning and Infrastructure and the Premier of NSW to REJECT this proposal.

FULL NAME:

SIGNATURE:

ADDRESS:

DATE:

WICKI CRUTCH

W. Crutch

822 RICHMOND RD,
BERKSHIRE PARK
NSW 2705

16/5/13

OPPOSITION TO MOOREBANK BUILDING WASTE PROCESSING PLANT

I oppose the proposed Moorebank Waste Processing Project (Project Number 05 0157) at Lot 6 DP 1065574, Newbridge Road, Moorebank.

1. I oppose the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is far too close to existing and proposed residential homes. The proposed development is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate. Trucks using the proposed facility will travel even closer to residential homes. Trucks will likely short cut through Georges Fair, passing parks and local residents, using roads not designed for massive trucks.
2. I oppose the building waste processing plant because of the risks from asbestos and toxins. At the community consultation meeting held on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club, the representatives of the developer refused to comment on whether asbestos would be processed at the facility and whether toxins would be released. There is a link between silica dust and lung cancer ('Occupational Exposure to Silica and Lung Cancer', *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers and Prevention Journal*, Volume 19, 2010). There is no known cure for silicosis ('A Brief Review of Silicosis in the US', *Environ Health Insights*, Volume 4, East Carolina University, 2010). The developer plans to install sweepers "where trucks and people will travel" and that there are "unsealed areas" in the development. Their own documentation refers to "likely dust from the recycling facility" (pg 3, *developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club*). This is an unacceptable health risk for local residents.
3. I oppose the building waste processing plant because of the potential for flooding. The proposed development is in an area that floods. In the event of a flood, unsafe building materials and material stockpiles may cause an environmental disaster throughout Moorebank and the entire Georges River Basin.
4. I oppose the building waste processing plant because the NSW Government has indicated there will be "a return of local planning powers to local communities" ('NSW govt scraps 3A planning provision', *SMH*, 4/4/2011). The local community is opposed to this development. Councillors of the Liverpool City Council have voted unanimously against this development (*Minutes, Liverpool City Council meeting, 15/6/2011*).
5. I oppose the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is incompatible with the current and planned residential and recreational uses of the area. The proposed concrete processing facility is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate, with a high proportion of residents having young families. The area is zoned E2 Environmental Conservation. It should not be used for a concrete processing plant. In close proximity are schools, parklands, the Georges River itself, cycleways, a proposed waterside marina, a library and a golf club. The land is more suitable for recreational facilities or a reserve. Even the owners of the Benedict Recycling plant at Moorebank (which borders the proposed facility) have accepted that the area is no longer suitable for a building waste processing plant and have started to shut down *their* operations to create their own housing estate and marina.
6. I oppose the building waste processing plant because of increased traffic. The developer states the proposed facility will result in an extra 324 truck movements a day, which is "very low and will have no impact on the arterial road network" (*developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club*). Increased traffic will have a significant impact on arterial roads including Nuwarra Road, Newbridge Road and Governor Macquarie Drive. There is already significant traffic in the area which will be made worse by the 'Intermodal', which will cause an extra 1.5 million truck movements in the first year. Truck movements will primarily be in peak hours, further impacting on already high congestion. The residents of Moorebank and other areas should not experience any additional noise, pollution and congestion from this proposed facility, given the likelihood of the 'Intermodal'.
7. I oppose the building waste processing plant because of the negative impact on land values. Residents of the Moorebank precinct in particular have spent significant amounts of money on land and homes. The proposed development will have a severe impact on land values and residents will not be compensated.
8. I oppose the building waste processing plant because there was a lack of community consultation at a meeting held by representatives of the developers on 31/5/2011. The organisers did not notify a significant number of local residents and future residents about the meeting. The organisers refused to answer questions from local residents on health risks. The organisers did not allow time to note down and respond to residents' concerns.
9. I oppose the building waste processing plant because it is dangerous to residents for a concrete processing plant to be located in this area of metropolitan Sydney. There are pre-existing waste processing plants operated by Benedict Recycling and Smorgan Steel Recycling at Chipping Norton. Benedict Recycling is planning to build another plant at Heathcote. There is no need for so many waste processing plants in such close proximity to each other.

As a local community member, I OPPOSE the building waste processing plant. I call on councillors, the NSW Parliament, the Minister for Planning, the Planning Assessment Commission, the NSW Department of Planning and Infrastructure and the Premier of NSW to REJECT this proposal.

FULL NAME:

SIGNATURE:

ADDRESS:

DATE:

B. CHITALL



222 Richmond Rd
Berkshire Park

19/5/2013

OPPOSITION TO MOOREBANK BUILDING WASTE PROCESSING PLANT

I **oppose** the proposed Moorebank Waste Processing Project (Project Number 05 0157) at Lot 6 DP 1065574, Newbridge Road, Moorebank.

1. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is far too close to existing and proposed residential homes. The proposed development is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate. Trucks using the proposed facility will travel even closer to residential homes. Trucks will likely short cut through Georges Fair, passing parks and local residents, using roads not designed for massive trucks.
2. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the risks from asbestos and toxins. At the community consultation meeting held on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club, the representatives of the developer refused to comment on whether asbestos would be processed at the facility and whether toxins would be released. There is a link between silica dust and lung cancer (*'Occupational Exposure to Silica and Lung Cancer'*, *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers and Prevention Journal*, Volume 19, 2010). There is no known cure for silicosis (*'A Brief Review of Silicosis in the US'*, *Environ Health Insights*, Volume 4, East Carolina University, 2010). The developer plans to install sweepers "where trucks and people will travel" and that there are "unsealed areas" in the development. Their own documentation refers to "likely dust from the recycling facility" (pg 3, *developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club*). This is an unacceptable health risk for local residents.
3. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the potential for flooding. The proposed development is in an area that floods. In the event of a flood, unsafe building materials and material stockpiles may cause an environmental disaster throughout Moorebank and the entire Georges River Basin.
4. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the NSW Government has indicated there will be "a return of local planning powers to local communities" (*'NSW govt scraps 3A planning provision'*, *SMH*, 4/4/2011). The local community is opposed to this development. Councillors of the Liverpool City Council have voted unanimously against this development (*Minutes, Liverpool City Council meeting, 15/6/2011*).
5. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is incompatible with the current and planned residential and recreational uses of the area. The proposed concrete processing facility is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate, with a high proportion of residents having young families. The area is zoned E2 Environmental Conservation. It should not be used for a concrete processing plant. In close proximity are schools, parklands, the Georges River itself, cycleways, a proposed waterside marina, a library and a golf club. The land is more suitable for recreational facilities or a reserve. Even the owners of the Benedict Recycling plant at Moorebank (which borders the proposed facility) have accepted that the area is no longer suitable for a building waste processing plant and have started to shut down *their* operations to create their own housing estate and marina.
6. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of increased traffic. The developer states the proposed facility will result in an extra 324 truck movements a day, which is "very low and will have no impact on the arterial road network" (*developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club*). Increased traffic will have a significant impact on arterial roads including Nuwarra Road, Newbridge Road and Governor Macquarie Drive. There is already significant traffic in the area which will be made worse by the 'Intermodal', which will cause an extra 1.5 million truck movements in the first year. Truck movements will primarily be in peak hours, further impacting on already high congestion. The residents of Moorebank and other areas should not experience any additional noise, pollution and congestion from this proposed facility, given the likelihood of the 'Intermodal'.
7. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the negative impact on land values. Residents of the Moorebank precinct in particular have spent significant amounts of money on land and homes. The proposed development will have a severe impact on land values and residents will not be compensated.
8. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because there was a lack of community consultation at a meeting held by representatives of the developers on 31/5/2011. The organisers did not notify a significant number of local residents and future residents about the meeting. The organisers refused to answer questions from local residents on health risks. The organisers did not allow time to note down and respond to residents' concerns.
9. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because it is dangerous to residents for a concrete processing plant to be located in this area of metropolitan Sydney. There are pre-existing waste processing plants operated by Benedict Recycling and Smorgan Steel Recycling at Chipping Norton. Benedict Recycling is planning to build another plant at Heathcote. There is no need for so many waste processing plants in such close proximity to each other.

As a local community member, I **OPPOSE** the building waste processing plant. I call on councillors, the NSW Parliament, the Minister for Planning, the Planning Assessment Commission, the NSW Department of Planning and Infrastructure and the Premier of NSW to **REJECT** this proposal.


FULL NAME:

SIGNATURE:

ADDRESS:

DATE:

JOHN GANAGAN



6 BRIDLE ST, MOOREBANK 9.3.13

1/1/

OPPOSITION TO MOOREBANK BUILDING WASTE PROCESSING PLANT

I **oppose** the proposed Moorebank Waste Processing Project (Project Number 05 0157) at Lot 6 DP 1065574, Newbridge Road, Moorebank.

1. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is far too close to existing and proposed residential homes. The proposed development is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate. Trucks using the proposed facility will travel even closer to residential homes. Trucks will likely short cut through Georges Fair, passing parks and local residents, using roads not designed for massive trucks.
2. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the risks from asbestos and toxins. At the community consultation meeting held on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club, the representatives of the developer refused to comment on whether asbestos would be processed at the facility and whether toxins would be released. There is a link between silica dust and lung cancer ('Occupational Exposure to Silica and Lung Cancer', *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers and Prevention Journal*, Volume 19, 2010). There is no known cure for silicosis ('A Brief Review of Silicosis in the US', *Environ Health Insights*, Volume 4, East Carolina University, 2010). The developer plans to install sweepers "where trucks and people will travel" and that there are "unsealed areas" in the development. Their own documentation refers to "likely dust from the recycling facility" (pg 3, developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club). This is an unacceptable health risk for local residents.
3. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the potential for flooding. The proposed development is in an area that floods. In the event of a flood, unsafe building materials and material stockpiles may cause an environmental disaster throughout Moorebank and the entire Georges River Basin.
4. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the NSW Government has indicated there will be "a return of local planning powers to local communities" ('NSW govt scraps 3A planning provision', *SMH*, 4/4/2011). The local community is opposed to this development. Councillors of the Liverpool City Council have voted unanimously against this development (*Minutes, Liverpool City Council meeting, 15/6/2011*).
5. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is incompatible with the current and planned residential and recreational uses of the area. The proposed concrete processing facility is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate, with a high proportion of residents having young families. The area is zoned E2 Environmental Conservation. It should not be used for a concrete processing plant. In close proximity are schools, parklands, the Georges River itself, cycleways, a proposed waterside marina, a library and a golf club. The land is more suitable for recreational facilities or a reserve. Even the owners of the Benedict Recycling plant at Moorebank (which borders the proposed facility) have accepted that the area is no longer suitable for a building waste processing plant and have started to shut down *their* operations to create their own housing estate and marina.
6. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of increased traffic. The developer states the proposed facility will result in an extra 324 truck movements a day, which is "very low and will have no impact on the arterial road network" (developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club). Increased traffic will have a significant impact on arterial roads including Nuwarra Road, Newbridge Road and Governor Macquarie Drive. There is already significant traffic in the area which will be made worse by the 'Intermodal', which will cause an extra 1.5 million truck movements in the first year. Truck movements will primarily be in peak hours, further impacting on already high congestion. The residents of Moorebank and other areas should not experience any additional noise, pollution and congestion from this proposed facility, given the likelihood of the 'Intermodal'.
7. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the negative impact on land values. Residents of the Moorebank precinct in particular have spent significant amounts of money on land and homes. The proposed development will have a severe impact on land values and residents will not be compensated.
8. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because there was a lack of community consultation at a meeting held by representatives of the developers on 31/5/2011. The organisers did not notify a significant number of local residents and future residents about the meeting. The organisers refused to answer questions from local residents on health risks. The organisers did not allow time to note down and respond to residents' concerns.
9. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because it is dangerous to residents for a concrete processing plant to be located in this area of metropolitan Sydney. There are pre-existing waste processing plants operated by Benedict Recycling and Smorgan Steel Recycling at Chipping Norton. Benedict Recycling is planning to build another plant at Heathcote. There is no need for so many waste processing plants in such close proximity to each other.

As a local community member, I **OPPOSE** the building waste processing plant. I call on councillors, the NSW Parliament, the Minister for Planning, the Planning Assessment Commission, the NSW Department of Planning and Infrastructure and the Premier of NSW to **REJECT** this proposal.

FULL NAME:

SIGNATURE:

ADDRESS:

DATE:

Scott Lee



7 Harvey Mynster St
Moorebank

16/3/13

OPPOSITION TO MOOREBANK BUILDING WASTE PROCESSING PLANT

I **oppose** the proposed Moorebank Waste Processing Project (Project Number 05 0157) at Lot 6 DP 1065574, Newbridge Road, Moorebank.

1. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is far too close to existing and proposed residential homes. The proposed development is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate. Trucks using the proposed facility will travel even closer to residential homes. Trucks will likely short cut through Georges Fair, passing parks and local residents, using roads not designed for massive trucks.
2. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the risks from asbestos and toxins. At the community consultation meeting held on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club, the representatives of the developer refused to comment on whether asbestos would be processed at the facility and whether toxins would be released. There is a link between silica dust and lung cancer ('Occupational Exposure to Silica and Lung Cancer', *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers and Prevention Journal*, Volume 19, 2010). There is no known cure for silicosis ('A Brief Review of Silicosis in the US', *Environ Health Insights*, Volume 4, East Carolina University, 2010). The developer plans to install sweepers "where trucks and people will travel" and that there are "unsealed areas" in the development. Their own documentation refers to "likely dust from the recycling facility" (pg 3, *developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club*). This is an unacceptable health risk for local residents.
3. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the potential for flooding. The proposed development is in an area that floods. In the event of a flood, unsafe building materials and material stockpiles may cause an environmental disaster throughout Moorebank and the entire Georges River Basin.
4. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the NSW Government has indicated there will be "a return of local planning powers to local communities" ('NSW govt scraps 3A planning provision', *SMH*, 4/4/2011). The local community is opposed to this development. Councillors of the Liverpool City Council have voted unanimously against this development (*Minutes, Liverpool City Council meeting, 15/6/2011*).
5. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is incompatible with the current and planned residential and recreational uses of the area. The proposed concrete processing facility is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate, with a high proportion of residents having young families. The area is zoned E2 Environmental Conservation. It should not be used for a concrete processing plant. In close proximity are schools, parklands, the Georges River itself, cycleways, a proposed waterside marina, a library and a golf club. The land is more suitable for recreational facilities or a reserve. Even the owners of the Benedict Recycling plant at Moorebank (which borders the proposed facility) have accepted that the area is no longer suitable for a building waste processing plant and have started to shut down *their* operations to create their own housing estate and marina.
6. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of increased traffic. The developer states the proposed facility will result in an extra 324 truck movements a day, which is "very low and will have no impact on the arterial road network" (*developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club*). Increased traffic will have a significant impact on arterial roads including Nuwarra Road, Newbridge Road and Governor Macquarie Drive. There is already significant traffic in the area which will be made worse by the 'Intermodal', which will cause an extra 1.5 million truck movements in the first year. Truck movements will primarily be in peak hours, further impacting on already high congestion. The residents of Moorebank and other areas should not experience any additional noise, pollution and congestion from this proposed facility, given the likelihood of the 'Intermodal'.
7. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the negative impact on land values. Residents of the Moorebank precinct in particular have spent significant amounts of money on land and homes. The proposed development will have a severe impact on land values and residents will not be compensated.
8. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because there was a lack of community consultation at a meeting held by representatives of the developers on 31/5/2011. The organisers did not notify a significant number of local residents and future residents about the meeting. The organisers refused to answer questions from local residents on health risks. The organisers did not allow time to note down and respond to residents' concerns.
9. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because it is dangerous to residents for a concrete processing plant to be located in this area of metropolitan Sydney. There are pre-existing waste processing plants operated by Benedict Recycling and Smorgan Steel Recycling at Chipping Norton. Benedict Recycling is planning to build another plant at Heathcote. There is no need for so many waste processing plants in such close proximity to each other.

As a local community member, I **OPPOSE** the building waste processing plant. I call on councillors, the NSW Parliament, the Minister for Planning, the Planning Assessment Commission, the NSW Department of Planning and Infrastructure and the Premier of NSW to **REJECT** this proposal.

FULL NAME:

SIGNATURE:

ADDRESS:

DATE:

Wendy Lee

Wendy Lee

7 Honey myrtle St

moorebank

16/3/13

OPPOSITION TO MOOREBANK BUILDING WASTE PROCESSING PLANT

I **oppose** the proposed Moorebank Waste Processing Project (Project Number 05 0157) at Lot 6 DP 1065574, Newbridge Road, Moorebank.

1. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is far too close to existing and proposed residential homes. The proposed development is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate. Trucks using the proposed facility will travel even closer to residential homes. Trucks will likely short cut through Georges Fair, passing parks and local residents, using roads not designed for massive trucks.
2. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the risks from asbestos and toxins. At the community consultation meeting held on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club, the representatives of the developer refused to comment on whether asbestos would be processed at the facility and whether toxins would be released. There is a link between silica dust and lung cancer ('Occupational Exposure to Silica and Lung Cancer', *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers and Prevention Journal*, Volume 19, 2010). There is no known cure for silicosis ('A Brief Review of Silicosis in the US', *Environ Health Insights*, Volume 4, East Carolina University, 2010). The developer plans to install sweepers "where trucks and people will travel" and that there are "unsealed areas" in the development. Their own documentation refers to "likely dust from the recycling facility" (pg 3, developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club). This is an unacceptable health risk for local residents.
3. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the potential for flooding. The proposed development is in an area that floods. In the event of a flood, unsafe building materials and material stockpiles may cause an environmental disaster throughout Moorebank and the entire Georges River Basin.
4. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the NSW Government has indicated there will be "a return of local planning powers to local communities" ('NSW govt scraps 3A planning provision', *SMH*, 4/4/2011). The local community is opposed to this development. Councillors of the Liverpool City Council have voted unanimously against this development (*Minutes, Liverpool City Council meeting, 15/6/2011*).
5. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is incompatible with the current and planned residential and recreational uses of the area. The proposed concrete processing facility is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate, with a high proportion of residents having young families. The area is zoned E2 Environmental Conservation. It should not be used for a concrete processing plant. In close proximity are schools, parklands, the Georges River itself, cycleways, a proposed waterside marina, a library and a golf club. The land is more suitable for recreational facilities or a reserve. Even the owners of the Benedict Recycling plant at Moorebank (which borders the proposed facility) have accepted that the area is no longer suitable for a building waste processing plant and have started to shut down *their* operations to create their own housing estate and marina.
6. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of increased traffic. The developer states the proposed facility will result in an extra 324 truck movements a day, which is "very low and will have no impact on the arterial road network" (developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club). Increased traffic will have a significant impact on arterial roads including Nuwarra Road, Newbridge Road and Governor Macquarie Drive. There is already significant traffic in the area which will be made worse by the 'Intermodal', which will cause an extra 1.5 million truck movements in the first year. Truck movements will primarily be in peak hours, further impacting on already high congestion. The residents of Moorebank and other areas should not experience any additional noise, pollution and congestion from this proposed facility, given the likelihood of the 'Intermodal'.
7. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the negative impact on land values. Residents of the Moorebank precinct in particular have spent significant amounts of money on land and homes. The proposed development will have a severe impact on land values and residents will not be compensated.
8. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because there was a lack of community consultation at a meeting held by representatives of the developers on 31/5/2011. The organisers did not notify a significant number of local residents and future residents about the meeting. The organisers refused to answer questions from local residents on health risks. The organisers did not allow time to note down and respond to residents' concerns.
9. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because it is dangerous to residents for a concrete processing plant to be located in this area of metropolitan Sydney. There are pre-existing waste processing plants operated by Benedict Recycling and Smorgan Steel Recycling at Chipping Norton. Benedict Recycling is planning to build another plant at Heathcote. There is no need for so many waste processing plants in such close proximity to each other.

As a local community member, I **OPPOSE** the building waste processing plant. I call on councillors, the NSW Parliament, the Minister for Planning, the Planning Assessment Commission, the NSW Department of Planning and Infrastructure and the Premier of NSW to **REJECT** this proposal.

FULL NAME:

SIGNATURE:

ADDRESS:

DATE:

Daniel
Tramonte



10 Honey Mergite
Street Moorebank 16/3/13

OPPOSITION TO MOOREBANK BUILDING WASTE PROCESSING PLANT

I **oppose** the proposed Moorebank Waste Processing Project (Project Number 05 0157) at Lot 6 DP 1065574, Newbridge Road, Moorebank.

1. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is far too close to existing and proposed residential homes. The proposed development is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate. Trucks using the proposed facility will travel even closer to residential homes. Trucks will likely short cut through Georges Fair, passing parks and local residents, using roads not designed for massive trucks.
2. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the risks from asbestos and toxins. At the community consultation meeting held on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club, the representatives of the developer refused to comment on whether asbestos would be processed at the facility and whether toxins would be released. There is a link between silica dust and lung cancer ('Occupational Exposure to Silica and Lung Cancer', *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers and Prevention Journal*, Volume 19, 2010). There is no known cure for silicosis ('A Brief Review of Silicosis in the US', *Environ Health Insights*, Volume 4, East Carolina University, 2010). The developer plans to install sweepers "where trucks and people will travel" and that there are "unsealed areas" in the development. Their own documentation refers to "likely dust from the recycling facility" (pg 3, *developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club*). This is an unacceptable health risk for local residents.
3. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the potential for flooding. The proposed development is in an area that floods. In the event of a flood, unsafe building materials and material stockpiles may cause an environmental disaster throughout Moorebank and the entire Georges River Basin.
4. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the NSW Government has indicated there will be "a return of local planning powers to local communities" ('NSW govt scraps 3A planning provision', *SMH*, 4/4/2011). The local community is opposed to this development. Councillors of the Liverpool City Council have voted unanimously against this development (*Minutes, Liverpool City Council meeting, 15/6/2011*).
5. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is incompatible with the current and planned residential and recreational uses of the area. The proposed concrete processing facility is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate, with a high proportion of residents having young families. The area is zoned E2 Environmental Conservation. It should not be used for a concrete processing plant. In close proximity are schools, parklands, the Georges River itself, cycleways, a proposed waterside marina, a library and a golf club. The land is more suitable for recreational facilities or a reserve. Even the owners of the Benedict Recycling plant at Moorebank (which borders the proposed facility) have accepted that the area is no longer suitable for a building waste processing plant and have started to shut down *their* operations to create their own housing estate and marina.
6. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of increased traffic. The developer states the proposed facility will result in an extra 324 truck movements a day, which is "very low and will have no impact on the arterial road network" (*developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club*). Increased traffic will have a significant impact on arterial roads including Nuwarra Road, Newbridge Road and Governor Macquarie Drive. There is already significant traffic in the area which will be made worse by the 'Intermodal', which will cause an extra 1.5 million truck movements in the first year. Truck movements will primarily be in peak hours, further impacting on already high congestion. The residents of Moorebank and other areas should not experience any additional noise, pollution and congestion from this proposed facility, given the likelihood of the 'Intermodal'.
7. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the negative impact on land values. Residents of the Moorebank precinct in particular have spent significant amounts of money on land and homes. The proposed development will have a severe impact on land values and residents will not be compensated.
8. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because there was a lack of community consultation at a meeting held by representatives of the developers on 31/5/2011. The organisers did not notify a significant number of local residents and future residents about the meeting. The organisers refused to answer questions from local residents on health risks. The organisers did not allow time to note down and respond to residents' concerns.
9. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because it is dangerous to residents for a concrete processing plant to be located in this area of metropolitan Sydney. There are pre-existing waste processing plants operated by Benedict Recycling and Smorgan Steel Recycling at Chipping Norton. Benedict Recycling is planning to build another plant at Heathcote. There is no need for so many waste processing plants in such close proximity to each other.

As a local community member, I **OPPOSE** the building waste processing plant. I call on councillors, the NSW Parliament, the Minister for Planning, the Planning Assessment Commission, the NSW Department of Planning and Infrastructure and the Premier of NSW to **REJECT** this proposal.

FULL NAME:

SIGNATURE:

ADDRESS: 26 Malinga

DATE:

Nicole
De Nobrega

N. De Nobrega

Crescent Moorebank
2170 NSW

2/4/2013

OPPOSITION TO MOOREBANK BUILDING WASTE PROCESSING PLANT

I oppose the proposed Moorebank Waste Processing Project (Project Number 05 0157) at Lot 6 DP 1065574, Newbridge Road, Moorebank.

1. I oppose the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is far too close to existing and proposed residential homes. The proposed development is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate. Trucks using the proposed facility will travel even closer to residential homes. Trucks will likely short cut through Georges Fair, passing parks and local residents, using roads not designed for massive trucks.
2. I oppose the building waste processing plant because of the risks from asbestos and toxins. At the community consultation meeting held on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club, the representatives of the developer refused to comment on whether asbestos would be processed at the facility and whether toxins would be released. There is a link between silica dust and lung cancer ('Occupational Exposure to Silica and Lung Cancer', *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers and Prevention Journal*, Volume 19, 2010). There is no known cure for silicosis ('A Brief Review of Silicosis in the US', *Environ Health Insights*, Volume 4, East Carolina University, 2010). The developer plans to install sweepers "where trucks and people will travel" and that there are "unsealed areas" in the development. Their own documentation refers to "likely dust from the recycling facility" (pg 3, *developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club*). This is an unacceptable health risk for local residents.
3. I oppose the building waste processing plant because of the potential for flooding. The proposed development is in an area that floods. In the event of a flood, unsafe building materials and material stockpiles may cause an environmental disaster throughout Moorebank and the entire Georges River Basin.
4. I oppose the building waste processing plant because the NSW Government has indicated there will be "a return of local planning powers to local communities" ('NSW govt scraps 3A planning provision', *SMH*, 4/4/2011). The local community is opposed to this development. Councillors of the Liverpool City Council have voted unanimously against this development (*Minutes, Liverpool City Council meeting, 15/6/2011*).
5. I oppose the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is incompatible with the current and planned residential and recreational uses of the area. The proposed concrete processing facility is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate, with a high proportion of residents having young families. The area is zoned E2 Environmental Conservation. It should not be used for a concrete processing plant. In close proximity are schools, parklands, the Georges River itself, cycleways, a proposed waterside marina, a library and a golf club. The land is more suitable for recreational facilities or a reserve. Even the owners of the Benedict Recycling plant at Moorebank (which borders the proposed facility) have accepted that the area is no longer suitable for a building waste processing plant and have started to shut down *their* operations to create their own housing estate and marina.
6. I oppose the building waste processing plant because of increased traffic. The developer states the proposed facility will result in an extra 324 truck movements a day, which is "very low and will have no impact on the arterial road network" (*developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club*). Increased traffic will have a significant impact on arterial roads including Nuwarra Road, Newbridge Road and Governor Macquarie Drive. There is already significant traffic in the area which will be made worse by the 'Intermodal', which will cause an extra 1.5 million truck movements in the first year. Truck movements will primarily be in peak hours, further impacting on already high congestion. The residents of Moorebank and other areas should not experience any additional noise, pollution and congestion from this proposed facility, given the likelihood of the 'Intermodal'.
7. I oppose the building waste processing plant because of the negative impact on land values. Residents of the Moorebank precinct in particular have spent significant amounts of money on land and homes. The proposed development will have a severe impact on land values and residents will not be compensated.
8. I oppose the building waste processing plant because there was a lack of community consultation at a meeting held by representatives of the developers on 31/5/2011. The organisers did not notify a significant number of local residents and future residents about the meeting. The organisers refused to answer questions from local residents on health risks. The organisers did not allow time to note down and respond to residents' concerns.
9. I oppose the building waste processing plant because it is dangerous to residents for a concrete processing plant to be located in this area of metropolitan Sydney. There are pre-existing waste processing plants operated by Benedict Recycling and Smorgan Steel Recycling at Chipping Norton. Benedict Recycling is planning to build another plant at Heathcote. There is no need for so many waste processing plants in such close proximity to each other.

As a local community member, I OPPOSE the building waste processing plant. I call on councillors, the NSW Parliament, the Minister for Planning, the Planning Assessment Commission, the NSW Department of Planning and Infrastructure and the Premier of NSW to REJECT this proposal.

FULL NAME:

SIGNATURE:

ADDRESS:

DATE:

KERRY DAWSON

Kerry Dawson

13 Fairway Close
Moorebank

28/3/13

2170

OPPOSITION TO MOOREBANK BUILDING WASTE PROCESSING PLANT

I **oppose** the proposed Moorebank Waste Processing Project (Project Number 05 0157) at Lot 6 DP 1065574, Newbridge Road, Moorebank.

1. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is far too close to existing and proposed residential homes. The proposed development is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate. Trucks using the proposed facility will travel even closer to residential homes. Trucks will likely short cut through Georges Fair, passing parks and local residents, using roads not designed for massive trucks.
2. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the risks from asbestos and toxins. At the community consultation meeting held on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club, the representatives of the developer refused to comment on whether asbestos would be processed at the facility and whether toxins would be released. There is a link between silica dust and lung cancer (*'Occupational Exposure to Silica and Lung Cancer', Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers and Prevention Journal, Volume 19, 2010*). There is no known cure for silicosis (*'A Brief Review of Silicosis in the US', Environ Health Insights, Volume 4, East Carolina University, 2010*). The developer plans to install sweepers "where trucks and people will travel" and that there are "unsealed areas" in the development. Their own documentation refers to "likely dust from the recycling facility" (pg 3, *developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club*). This is an unacceptable health risk for local residents.
3. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the potential for flooding. The proposed development is in an area that floods. In the event of a flood, unsafe building materials and material stockpiles may cause an environmental disaster throughout Moorebank and the entire Georges River Basin.
4. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the NSW Government has indicated there will be "a return of local planning powers to local communities" (*'NSW govt scraps 3A planning provision', SMH, 4/4/2011*). The local community is opposed to this development. Councillors of the Liverpool City Council have voted unanimously against this development (*Minutes, Liverpool City Council meeting, 15/6/2011*).
5. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is incompatible with the current and planned residential and recreational uses of the area. The proposed concrete processing facility is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate, with a high proportion of residents having young families. The area is zoned E2 Environmental Conservation. It should not be used for a concrete processing plant. In close proximity are schools, parklands, the Georges River itself, cycleways, a proposed waterside marina, a library and a golf club. The land is more suitable for recreational facilities or a reserve. Even the owners of the Benedict Recycling plant at Moorebank (which borders the proposed facility) have accepted that the area is no longer suitable for a building waste processing plant and have started to shut down *their* operations to create their own housing estate and marina.
6. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of increased traffic. The developer states the proposed facility will result in an extra 324 truck movements a day, which is "very low and will have no impact on the arterial road network" (*developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club*). Increased traffic will have a significant impact on arterial roads including Nuwarra Road, Newbridge Road and Governor Macquarie Drive. There is already significant traffic in the area which will be made worse by the 'Intermodal', which will cause an extra 1.5 million truck movements in the first year. Truck movements will primarily be in peak hours, further impacting on already high congestion. The residents of Moorebank and other areas should not experience any additional noise, pollution and congestion from this proposed facility, given the likelihood of the 'Intermodal'.
7. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the negative impact on land values. Residents of the Moorebank precinct in particular have spent significant amounts of money on land and homes. The proposed development will have a severe impact on land values and residents will not be compensated.
8. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because there was a lack of community consultation at a meeting held by representatives of the developers on 31/5/2011. The organisers did not notify a significant number of local residents and future residents about the meeting. The organisers refused to answer questions from local residents on health risks. The organisers did not allow time to note down and respond to residents' concerns.
9. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because it is dangerous to residents for a concrete processing plant to be located in this area of metropolitan Sydney. There are pre-existing waste processing plants operated by Benedict Recycling and Smorgan Steel Recycling at Chipping Norton. Benedict Recycling is planning to build another plant at Heathcote. There is no need for so many waste processing plants in such close proximity to each other.

As a local community member, I **OPPOSE** the building waste processing plant. I call on councillors, the NSW Parliament, the Minister for Planning, the Planning Assessment Commission, the NSW Department of Planning and Infrastructure and the Premier of NSW to **REJECT** this proposal.

FULL NAME:

SIGNATURE:

ADDRESS:

DATE:

Joseph Cossey



124 Longstaff Avenue
Chipping Norton


7.9.2013

OPPOSITION TO MOOREBANK BUILDING WASTE PROCESSING PLANT

I oppose the proposed Moorebank Waste Processing Project (Project Number 05 0157) at Lot 6 DP 1065574, Newbridge Road, Moorebank.

- 1. I oppose the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is far too close to existing and proposed residential homes. The proposed development is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate. Trucks using the proposed facility will travel even closer to residential homes. Trucks will likely short cut through Georges Fair, passing parks and local residents, using roads not designed for massive trucks.
- 2. I oppose the building waste processing plant because of the risks from asbestos and toxins. At the community consultation meeting held on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club, the representatives of the developer refused to comment on whether asbestos would be processed at the facility and whether toxins would be released. There is a link between silica dust and lung cancer (*'Occupational Exposure to Silica and Lung Cancer', Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers and Prevention Journal, Volume 19, 2010*). There is no known cure for silicosis (*'A Brief Review of Silicosis in the US', Environ Health Insights, Volume 4, East Carolina University, 2010*). The developer plans to install sweepers "where trucks and people will travel" and that there are "unsealed areas" in the development. Their own documentation refers to "likely dust from the recycling facility" (pg 3, *developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club*). This is an unacceptable health risk for local residents.
- 3. I oppose the building waste processing plant because of the potential for flooding. The proposed development is in an area that floods. In the event of a flood, unsafe building materials and material stockpiles may cause an environmental disaster throughout Moorebank and the entire Georges River Basin.
- 4. I oppose the building waste processing plant because the NSW Government has indicated there will be "a return of local planning powers to local communities" (*'NSW govt scraps 3A planning provision', SMH, 4/4/2011*). The local community is opposed to this development. Councillors of the Liverpool City Council have voted unanimously against this development (*Minutes, Liverpool City Council meeting, 15/6/2011*).
- 5. I oppose the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is incompatible with the current and planned residential and recreational uses of the area. The proposed concrete processing facility is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate, with a high proportion of residents having young families. The area is zoned E2 Environmental Conservation. It should not be used for a concrete processing plant. In close proximity are schools, parklands, the Georges River itself, cycleways, a proposed waterside marina, a library and a golf club. The land is more suitable for recreational facilities or a reserve. Even the owners of the Benedict Recycling plant at Moorebank (which borders the proposed facility) have accepted that the area is no longer suitable for a building waste processing plant and have started to shut down *their* operations to create their own housing estate and marina.
- 6. I oppose the building waste processing plant because of increased traffic. The developer states the proposed facility will result in an extra 324 truck movements a day, which is "very low and will have no impact on the arterial road network" (*developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club*). Increased traffic will have a significant impact on arterial roads including Nuwarra Road, Newbridge Road and Governor Macquarie Drive. There is already significant traffic in the area which will be made worse by the 'Intermodal', which will cause an extra 1.5 million truck movements in the first year. Truck movements will primarily be in peak hours, further impacting on already high congestion. The residents of Moorebank and other areas should not experience any additional noise, pollution and congestion from this proposed facility, given the likelihood of the 'Intermodal'.
- 7. I oppose the building waste processing plant because of the negative impact on land values. Residents of the Moorebank precinct in particular have spent significant amounts of money on land and homes. The proposed development will have a severe impact on land values and residents will not be compensated.
- 8. I oppose the building waste processing plant because there was a lack of community consultation at a meeting held by representatives of the developers on 31/5/2011. The organisers did not notify a significant number of local residents and future residents about the meeting. The organisers refused to answer questions from local residents on health risks. The organisers did not allow time to note down and respond to residents' concerns.
- 9. I oppose the building waste processing plant because it is dangerous to residents for a concrete processing plant to be located in this area of metropolitan Sydney. There are pre-existing waste processing plants operated by Benedict Recycling and Smorgan Steel Recycling at Chipping Norton. Benedict Recycling is planning to build another plant at Heathcote. There is no need for so many waste processing plants in such close proximity to each other.

As a local community member, I OPPOSE the building waste processing plant. I call on councillors, the NSW Parliament, the Minister for Planning, the Planning Assessment Commission, the NSW Department of Planning and Infrastructure and the Premier of NSW to REJECT this proposal.

FULL NAME:	SIGNATURE:	ADDRESS:	DATE:
WARREN DAWSON		13 FAIRWAY CL. MOOREBANK	30/3/2013

OPPOSITION TO MOOREBANK BUILDING WASTE PROCESSING PLANT

I oppose the proposed Moorebank Waste Processing Project (Project Number 05 0157) at Lot 6 DP 1065574, Newbridge Road, Moorebank.

1. I oppose the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is far too close to existing and proposed residential homes. The proposed development is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate. Trucks using the proposed facility will travel even closer to residential homes. Trucks will likely short cut through Georges Fair, passing parks and local residents, using roads not designed for massive trucks.
2. I oppose the building waste processing plant because of the risks from asbestos and toxins. At the community consultation meeting held on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club, the representatives of the developer refused to comment on whether asbestos would be processed at the facility and whether toxins would be released. There is a link between silica dust and lung cancer ('Occupational Exposure to Silica and Lung Cancer', *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers and Prevention Journal*, Volume 19, 2010). There is no known cure for silicosis ('A Brief Review of Silicosis in the US', *Environ Health Insights*, Volume 4, East Carolina University, 2010). The developer plans to install sweepers "where trucks and people will travel" and that there are "unsealed areas" in the development. Their own documentation refers to 'likely dust from the recycling facility' (pg 3, *developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club*). This is an unacceptable health risk for local residents.
3. I oppose the building waste processing plant because of the potential for flooding. The proposed development is in an area that floods. In the event of a flood, unsafe building materials and material stockpiles may cause an environmental disaster throughout Moorebank and the entire Georges River Basin.
4. I oppose the building waste processing plant because the NSW Government has indicated there will be 'a return of local planning powers to local communities' (*NSW govt scraps 3A planning provision*, *SMH*, 4/4/2011). The local community is opposed to this development. Councillors of the Liverpool City Council have voted unanimously against this development (*Minutes, Liverpool City Council meeting*, 15/6/2011).
5. I oppose the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is incompatible with the current and planned residential and recreational uses of the area. The proposed concrete processing facility is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate, with a high proportion of residents having young families. The area is zoned E2 Environmental Conservation. It should not be used for a concrete processing plant. In close proximity are schools, parklands, the Georges River itself, cycleways, a proposed waterside marina, a library and a golf club. The land is more suitable for recreational facilities or a reserve. Even the owners of the Benedict Recycling plant at Moorebank (which borders the proposed facility) have accepted that the area is no longer suitable for a building waste processing plant and have started to shut down their operations to create their own housing estate and marina.
6. I oppose the building waste processing plant because of increased traffic. The developer states the proposed facility will result in an extra 324 truck movements a day, which is 'very low and will have no impact on the arterial road network' (*developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club*). Increased traffic will have a significant impact on arterial roads including Nuwarra Road, Newbridge Road and Governor Macquarie Drive. There is already significant traffic in the area which will be made worse by the 'Intermodal', which will cause an extra 1.5 million truck movements in the first year. Truck movements will primarily be in peak hours, further impacting on already high congestion. The residents of Moorebank and other areas should not experience any additional noise, pollution and congestion from this proposed facility, given the likelihood of the 'Intermodal'.
7. I oppose the building waste processing plant because of the negative impact on land values. Residents of the Moorebank precinct in particular have spent significant amounts of money on land and homes. The proposed development will have a severe impact on land values and residents will not be compensated.
8. I oppose the building waste processing plant because there was a lack of community consultation at a meeting held by representatives of the developers on 31/5/2011. The organisers did not notify a significant number of local residents and future residents about the meeting. The organisers refused to answer questions from local residents on health risks. The organisers did not allow time to note down and respond to residents' concerns.
9. I oppose the building waste processing plant because it is dangerous to residents for a concrete processing plant to be located in this area of metropolitan Sydney. There are pre-existing waste processing plants operated by Benedict Recycling and Smorgen Steel Recycling at Chipping Norton. Benedict Recycling is planning to build another plant at Heathcote. There is no need for so many waste processing plants in such close proximity to each other.

As a local community member, I OPPOSE the building waste processing plant. I call on councillors, the NSW Parliament, the Minister for Planning, the Planning Assessment Commission, the NSW Department of Planning and Infrastructure and the Premier of NSW to REJECT this proposal.

FULL NAME:

SIGNATURE:

ADDRESS:

DATE:

Irene Skiadopoulos

60 Renton Avenue
Moorebank 2170



01/04/2013

OPPOSITION TO MOOREBANK BUILDING WASTE PROCESSING PLANT

I **oppose** the proposed Moorebank Waste Processing Project (Project Number 05 0157) at Lot 6 DP 1065574, Newbridge Road, Moorebank.

1. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is far too close to existing and proposed residential homes. The proposed development is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate. Trucks using the proposed facility will travel even closer to residential homes. Trucks will likely short cut through Georges Fair, passing parks and local residents, using roads not designed for massive trucks.
2. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the risks from asbestos and toxins. At the community consultation meeting held on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club, the representatives of the developer refused to comment on whether asbestos would be processed at the facility and whether toxins would be released. There is a link between silica dust and lung cancer ('Occupational Exposure to Silica and Lung Cancer', *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers and Prevention Journal*, Volume 19, 2010). There is no known cure for silicosis ('A Brief Review of Silicosis in the US', *Environ Health Insights*, Volume 4, East Carolina University, 2010). The developer plans to install sweepers "where trucks and people will travel" and that there are "unsealed areas" in the development. Their own documentation refers to "likely dust from the recycling facility" (pg 3, *developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club*). This is an unacceptable health risk for local residents.
3. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the potential for flooding. The proposed development is in an area that floods. In the event of a flood, unsafe building materials and material stockpiles may cause an environmental disaster throughout Moorebank and the entire Georges River Basin.
4. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the NSW Government has indicated there will be "a return of local planning powers to local communities" ('NSW govt scraps 3A planning provision', *SMH*, 4/4/2011). The local community is opposed to this development. Councillors of the Liverpool City Council have voted unanimously against this development (*Minutes, Liverpool City Council meeting, 15/6/2011*).
5. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is incompatible with the current and planned residential and recreational uses of the area. The proposed concrete processing facility is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate, with a high proportion of residents having young families. The area is zoned E2 Environmental Conservation. It should not be used for a concrete processing plant. In close proximity are schools, parklands, the Georges River itself, cycleways, a proposed waterside marina, a library and a golf club. The land is more suitable for recreational facilities or a reserve. Even the owners of the Benedict Recycling plant at Moorebank (which borders the proposed facility) have accepted that the area is no longer suitable for a building waste processing plant and have started to shut down *their* operations to create their own housing estate and marina.
6. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of increased traffic. The developer states the proposed facility will result in an extra 324 truck movements a day, which is "very low and will have no impact on the arterial road network" (*developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club*). Increased traffic will have a significant impact on arterial roads including Nuwarra Road, Newbridge Road and Governor Macquarie Drive. There is already significant traffic in the area which will be made worse by the 'Intermodal', which will cause an extra 1.5 million truck movements in the first year. Truck movements will primarily be in peak hours, further impacting on already high congestion. The residents of Moorebank and other areas should not experience any additional noise, pollution and congestion from this proposed facility, given the likelihood of the 'Intermodal'.
7. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the negative impact on land values. Residents of the Moorebank precinct in particular have spent significant amounts of money on land and homes. The proposed development will have a severe impact on land values and residents will not be compensated.
8. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because there was a lack of community consultation at a meeting held by representatives of the developers on 31/5/2011. The organisers did not notify a significant number of local residents and future residents about the meeting. The organisers refused to answer questions from local residents on health risks. The organisers did not allow time to note down and respond to residents' concerns.
9. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because it is dangerous to residents for a concrete processing plant to be located in this area of metropolitan Sydney. There are pre-existing waste processing plants operated by Benedict Recycling and Smorgan Steel Recycling at Chipping Norton. Benedict Recycling is planning to build another plant at Heathcote. There is no need for so many waste processing plants in such close proximity to each other.

As a local community member, I **OPPOSE** the building waste processing plant. I call on councillors, the NSW Parliament, the Minister for Planning, the Planning Assessment Commission, the NSW Department of Planning and Infrastructure and the Premier of NSW to **REJECT** this proposal.


FULL NAME:

SIGNATURE:

ADDRESS:

DATE:

John Skaropoulos



60 Renton Avenue
moorebank 2170

01/04/13.

OPPOSITION TO MOOREBANK BUILDING WASTE PROCESSING PLANT

I **oppose** the proposed Moorebank Waste Processing Project (Project Number 05 0157) at Lot 6 DP 1065574, Newbridge Road, Moorebank.

1. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is far too close to existing and proposed residential homes. The proposed development is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate. Trucks using the proposed facility will travel even closer to residential homes. Trucks will likely short cut through Georges Fair, passing parks and local residents, using roads not designed for massive trucks.
2. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the risks from asbestos and toxins. At the community consultation meeting held on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club, the representatives of the developer refused to comment on whether asbestos would be processed at the facility and whether toxins would be released. There is a link between silica dust and lung cancer ('Occupational Exposure to Silica and Lung Cancer', *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers and Prevention Journal*, Volume 19, 2010). There is no known cure for silicosis ('A Brief Review of Silicosis in the US', *Environ Health Insights*, Volume 4, East Carolina University, 2010). The developer plans to install sweepers "where trucks and people will travel" and that there are "unsealed areas" in the development. Their own documentation refers to "likely dust from the recycling facility" (pg 3, developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club). This is an unacceptable health risk for local residents.
3. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the potential for flooding. The proposed development is in an area that floods. In the event of a flood, unsafe building materials and material stockpiles may cause an environmental disaster throughout Moorebank and the entire Georges River Basin.
4. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the NSW Government has indicated there will be "a return of local planning powers to local communities" ('NSW govt scraps 3A planning provision', *SMH*, 4/4/2011). The local community is opposed to this development. Councillors of the Liverpool City Council have voted unanimously against this development (*Minutes, Liverpool City Council meeting*, 15/6/2011).
5. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is incompatible with the current and planned residential and recreational uses of the area. The proposed concrete processing facility is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate, with a high proportion of residents having young families. The area is zoned E2 Environmental Conservation. It should not be used for a concrete processing plant. In close proximity are schools, parklands, the Georges River itself, cycleways, a proposed waterside marina, a library and a golf club. The land is more suitable for recreational facilities or a reserve. Even the owners of the Benedict Recycling plant at Moorebank (which borders the proposed facility) have accepted that the area is no longer suitable for a building waste processing plant and have started to shut down *their* operations to create their own housing estate and marina.
6. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of increased traffic. The developer states the proposed facility will result in an extra 324 truck movements a day, which is "very low and will have no impact on the arterial road network" (developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club). Increased traffic will have a significant impact on arterial roads including Nuwarra Road, Newbridge Road and Governor Macquarie Drive. There is already significant traffic in the area which will be made worse by the 'Intermodal', which will cause an extra 1.5 million truck movements in the first year. Truck movements will primarily be in peak hours, further impacting on already high congestion. The residents of Moorebank and other areas should not experience any additional noise, pollution and congestion from this proposed facility, given the likelihood of the 'Intermodal'.
7. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the negative impact on land values. Residents of the Moorebank precinct in particular have spent significant amounts of money on land and homes. The proposed development will have a severe impact on land values and residents will not be compensated.
8. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because there was a lack of community consultation at a meeting held by representatives of the developers on 31/5/2011. The organisers did not notify a significant number of local residents and future residents about the meeting. The organisers refused to answer questions from local residents on health risks. The organisers did not allow time to note down and respond to residents' concerns.
9. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because it is dangerous to residents for a concrete processing plant to be located in this area of metropolitan Sydney. There are pre-existing waste processing plants operated by Benedict Recycling and Smorgan Steel Recycling at Chipping Norton. Benedict Recycling is planning to build another plant at Heathcote. There is no need for so many waste processing plants in such close proximity to each other.

As a local community member, I **OPPOSE** the building waste processing plant. I call on councillors, the NSW Parliament, the Minister for Planning, the Planning Assessment Commission, the NSW Department of Planning and Infrastructure and the Premier of NSW to **REJECT** this proposal.

FULL NAME:

SIGNATURE:

ADDRESS:

DATE:

BARBARA ANN TREGGAR

B. Treggar

38 Riverview Rd
Pleasure Point
2172

25/3/2013

OPPOSITION TO MOOREBANK BUILDING WASTE PROCESSING PLANT

I **oppose** the proposed Moorebank Waste Processing Project (Project Number 05 0157) at Lot 6 DP 1065574, Newbridge Road, Moorebank.

1. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is far too close to existing and proposed residential homes. The proposed development is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate. Trucks using the proposed facility will travel even closer to residential homes. Trucks will likely short cut through Georges Fair, passing parks and local residents, using roads not designed for massive trucks.
2. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the risks from asbestos and toxins. At the community consultation meeting held on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club, the representatives of the developer refused to comment on whether asbestos would be processed at the facility and whether toxins would be released. There is a link between silica dust and lung cancer ('Occupational Exposure to Silica and Lung Cancer', *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers and Prevention Journal*, Volume 19, 2010). There is no known cure for silicosis ('A Brief Review of Silicosis in the US', *Environ Health Insights*, Volume 4, East Carolina University, 2010). The developer plans to install sweepers "where trucks and people will travel" and that there are "unsealed areas" in the development. Their own documentation refers to "likely dust from the recycling facility" (pg 3, *developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club*). This is an unacceptable health risk for local residents.
3. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the potential for flooding. The proposed development is in an area that floods. In the event of a flood, unsafe building materials and material stockpiles may cause an environmental disaster throughout Moorebank and the entire Georges River Basin.
4. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the NSW Government has indicated there will be "a return of local planning powers to local communities" ('NSW govt scraps 3A planning provision', *SMH*, 4/4/2011). The local community is opposed to this development. Councillors of the Liverpool City Council have voted unanimously against this development (*Minutes, Liverpool City Council meeting, 15/6/2011*).
5. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is incompatible with the current and planned residential and recreational uses of the area. The proposed concrete processing facility is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate, with a high proportion of residents having young families. The area is zoned E2 Environmental Conservation. It should not be used for a concrete processing plant. In close proximity are schools, parklands, the Georges River itself, cycleways, a proposed waterside marina, a library and a golf club. The land is more suitable for recreational facilities or a reserve. Even the owners of the Benedict Recycling plant at Moorebank (which borders the proposed facility) have accepted that the area is no longer suitable for a building waste processing plant and have started to shut down *their* operations to create their own housing estate and marina.
6. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of increased traffic. The developer states the proposed facility will result in an extra 324 truck movements a day, which is "very low and will have no impact on the arterial road network" (*developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club*). Increased traffic will have a significant impact on arterial roads including Nuwarra Road, Newbridge Road and Governor Macquarie Drive. There is already significant traffic in the area which will be made worse by the 'Intermodal', which will cause an extra 1.5 million truck movements in the first year. Truck movements will primarily be in peak hours, further impacting on already high congestion. The residents of Moorebank and other areas should not experience any additional noise, pollution and congestion from this proposed facility, given the likelihood of the 'Intermodal'.
7. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because of the negative impact on land values. Residents of the Moorebank precinct in particular have spent significant amounts of money on land and homes. The proposed development will have a severe impact on land values and residents will not be compensated.
8. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because there was a lack of community consultation at a meeting held by representatives of the developers on 31/5/2011. The organisers did not notify a significant number of local residents and future residents about the meeting. The organisers refused to answer questions from local residents on health risks. The organisers did not allow time to note down and respond to residents' concerns.
9. I **oppose** the building waste processing plant because it is dangerous to residents for a concrete processing plant to be located in this area of metropolitan Sydney. There are pre-existing waste processing plants operated by Benedict Recycling and Smorgan Steel Recycling at Chipping Norton. Benedict Recycling is planning to build another plant at Heathcote. There is no need for so many waste processing plants in such close proximity to each other.

As a local community member, I **OPPOSE** the building waste processing plant. I call on councillors, the NSW Parliament, the Minister for Planning, the Planning Assessment Commission, the NSW Department of Planning and Infrastructure and the Premier of NSW to **REJECT** this proposal.

FULL NAME:

SIGNATURE:

ADDRESS:

DATE:

PETER KEARNS

P. Kearns

46 FITZPATRICK CRESC.
CASULA NSW 2170

4/4/2013