

Submission Details for [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
To: <emma.barnet@planning.nsw.gov.au>
CC: <assessments@planning.nsw.gov.au>
Date: Thursday - 4 April 2013 11:20 AM
Subject: Submission Details for [REDACTED]
Attachments: img005.pdf; Mime.822

Department of Planning

Confidentiality Requested: yes

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation: no

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
Address:

[REDACTED]
Moorebank, NSW
2170

Content:
To whom it may concern,

I totally oppose the proposed Moorebank Waste Processing Plant (Project Number 05_0157) at Lot 6 (DP 1065574), Newbridge Road, Moorebank. My reasons for opposition against the proposed development are contained within the PDF attached below.

Thank you.

IP Address: - 119.12.198.157
Submission: Online Submission from [REDACTED]
https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com?action=view_diary&id=58365

Submission for Job: #100 MP 05_0157, Moorebank Waste Facility
https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com?action=view_job&id=100

Site: #91 Moorebank Waste Facility; , Moorebank
https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com?action=view_site&id=91

OPPOSITION TO MOOREBANK BUILDING WASTE PROCESSING PLANT

I **oppose** the proposed Moorebank Waste Processing Project (Project Number 05 0157) at Lot 6 DP 1065574, Newbridge Road, Moorebank.

1. I **oppose the building waste processing plant** because the proposed development is far too close to existing and proposed residential homes. The proposed development is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate. Trucks using the proposed facility will travel even closer to residential homes. Trucks will likely short cut through Georges Fair, passing parks and local residents, using roads not designed for massive trucks.
2. I **oppose the building waste processing plant** because of the risks from asbestos and toxins. At the community consultation meeting held on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club, the representatives of the developer refused to comment on whether asbestos would be processed at the facility and whether toxins would be released. There is a link between silica dust and lung cancer (*'Occupational Exposure to Silica and Lung Cancer', Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers and Prevention Journal, Volume 19, 2010*). There is no known cure for silicosis (*'A Brief Review of Silicosis in the US', Environ Health Insights, Volume 4, East Carolina University, 2010*). The developer plans to install sweepers "where trucks and people will travel" and that there are "unsealed areas" in the development. Their own documentation refers to "likely dust from the recycling facility" (pg 3, *developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club*). This is an unacceptable health risk for local residents.
3. I **oppose the building waste processing plant** because of the potential for flooding. The proposed development is in an area that floods. In the event of a flood, unsafe building materials and material stockpiles may cause an environmental disaster throughout Moorebank and the entire Georges River Basin.
4. I **oppose the building waste processing plant** because the NSW Government has indicated there will be "a return of local planning powers to local communities" (*'NSW govt scraps 3A planning provision', SMH, 4/4/2011*). The local community is opposed to this development. Councillors of the Liverpool City Council have voted unanimously against this development (*Minutes, Liverpool City Council meeting, 15/6/2011*).
5. I **oppose the building waste processing plant** because the proposed development is incompatible with the current and planned residential and recreational uses of the area. The proposed concrete processing facility is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing estate, with a high proportion of residents having young families. The area is zoned E2 Environmental Conservation. It should not be used for a concrete processing plant. In close proximity are schools, parklands, the Georges River itself, cycleways, a proposed waterside marina, a library and a golf club. The land is more suitable for recreational facilities or a reserve. Even the owners of the Benedict Recycling plant at Moorebank (which borders the proposed facility) have accepted that the area is no longer suitable for a building waste processing plant and have started to shut down *their* operations to create their own housing estate and marina.
6. I **oppose the building waste processing plant** because of increased traffic. The developer states the proposed facility will result in an extra 324 truck movements a day, which is "very low and will have no impact on the arterial road network" (*developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club*). Increased traffic will have a significant impact on arterial roads including Nuwarra Road, Newbridge Road and Governor Macquarie Drive. There is already significant traffic in the area which will be made worse by the 'Intermodal', which will cause an extra 1.5 million truck movements in the first year. Truck movements will primarily be in peak hours, further impacting on already high congestion. The residents of Moorebank and other areas should not experience any additional noise, pollution and congestion from this proposed facility, given the likelihood of the 'Intermodal'.
7. I **oppose the building waste processing plant** because of the negative impact on land values. Residents of the Moorebank precinct in particular have spent significant amounts of money on land and homes. The proposed development will have a severe impact on land values and residents will not be compensated.
8. I **oppose the building waste processing plant** because there was a lack of community consultation at a meeting held by representatives of the developers on 31/5/2011. The organisers did not notify a significant number of local residents and future residents about the meeting. The organisers refused to answer questions from local residents on health risks. The organisers did not allow time to note down and respond to residents' concerns.
9. I **oppose the building waste processing plant** because it is dangerous to residents for a concrete processing plant to be located in this area of metropolitan Sydney. There are pre-existing waste processing plants operated by Benedict Recycling and Smorgan Steel Recycling at Chipping Norton. Benedict Recycling is planning to build another plant at Heathcote. There is no need for so many waste processing plants in such close proximity to each other.

As a local community member, I **OPPOSE** the building waste processing plant. I call on councillors, the NSW Parliament, the Minister for Planning, the Planning Assessment Commission, the NSW Department of Planning and Infrastructure and the Premier of NSW to **REJECT** this proposal.

Opposition to Moorebank Building Waste Processing Plant (Project number 05_0157)

From: [REDACTED]

Date: Thursday - 4 April 2013 12:34 PM

Subject: Opposition to Moorebank Building Waste Processing Plant (Project number 05_0157)

Attachments: img005.pdf; Mime.822

Good Morning,

Please find attached my objection (and said reasons for this objection) to the proposed Moorebank Building Waste Processing Plant (project number 05_0157), as also submitted via the Department of Planning and Infrastructure's website on the 04/04/13. I urge you to fully consider the detrimental effects this proposed development will have not only on the health, safety and wellbeing of local residents, but as well as the significant financial loss to the value of properties without any proposed compensation and also the quiet enjoyment of the residents of the Georges Fair housing estate. Georges Fair residents in particular, and I as one of them, have made a significant financial, time and total life investment in the local community. The effects of this proposed development will also have a significant detrimental effect on the wider Moorebank and adjoining communities by congesting even further already congested local roads and increasing the pollution of the air we all breath. Moorebank has prospered since the start of construction of Georges Fair, and this proposed development (as well as the proposed Intermodal by the federal government) is now totally and utterly out of step with the future of this area. How the state government can encourage people to invest their life savings and the future of their families into this community, and then, allow a proposal for such a large and unnecessary recycling plant right next door is completly beyond me; considering that it has no local resident support, will not provide a significant amount of jobs to members of the local community, and has been rejected by Liverpool Council (and would therefore not go ahead if still for the existence of the insane 3A planning provision, which has since been scrapped). I urge you to totally reject the development application of Moorebank Recyclers Pty Ltd, and therefore protect the wellbeing of the residents of Moorebank and its adjoining communities.

Regards,

[REDACTED]