

Submission Details for [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]

To: <emma.barnet@planning.nsw.gov.au>

CC: <assessments@planning.nsw.gov.au>

Date: Wednesday - 20 March 2013 3:52 PM

Subject: Submission Details for [REDACTED]

Attachments: Objection letter.pdf; Mime.822

Department of Planning

Confidentiality Requested: yes

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation: no

Address:
[REDACTED]Beverly Hills, NSW
2209

Content:

OBJECTION TO MOOREBANK WASTE FACILITY (project number MP 05_0157)

I strongly oppose to the development of Lot 6 DP 1065574 as Moorebank Recycler Construction Materials Recycling Plant. The fact that this proposal has advanced all the way to exhibition stage is appalling and shocking, considering the following factors:-

1. This proposal of construction materials recycling plant is NOT in line with the rest of the development surrounding this area. From the map in the proponent's submission, it is so obvious that this terrible polluting proposed recycling plant is completely surrounded by critical flora, dense residential community, recreation golf course and upcoming marina. The submission misled everyone in saying it is inline with Bowral Moorebank Structure Plan. Liverpool Council has envisioned this section of Moorebank for rejuvenation and phasing out of polluting industry. But somehow, this environmentally sensitive piece of land was granted to Concrete recycler. This construction materials recycling plant is hemmed in by good, sustainable developments (Georges Fair, Brighton Golf course, Marina) but if this proposal gets approved, all the good will be completely destroyed.
2. This proposed recycling plant EA does not even address the main key point raised by the DG, namely the site access, complete with proper written evidence from land owners. Site access is fundamental to the submission of EA, as instructed by DG. Neither the local Liverpool Council or RTA approve any access to this site. Records can be obtained from Council and RTA. This EA should have been rejected outright by DoP as the proponent blatantly ignores this instruction. This again indicates the lack of responsibility and ownership of the proponent to even ignore DG's written document. It is unimaginable if the proposal goes through, the recycling plant built and then the proponent just ignore everything they have promised especially ignoring the hazards.
3. This proposal is NOT a major project under part 3A. This should be evaluated under the local council. Even though the DG may have been misled in 2008 to grant this proposal as Major project Part 3A, NOW is the time the Planning Minister, PAC and DoP correct this error. If DoP proceeds to approve this proposal, it does not mean that two wrongs equal to a right. How can this proposal be considered a major project, when only about 45 permanent positions will be employed upon completion? The so-called economic activity is not even contributing to the lives of 1300 families in the immediate surrounding, at Georges Fair residential area and upcoming Mirvac development at Brighton Golf Club. The only entity benefitting from this recycling plant is the proponent Concrete Recycler, who will earn millions, at the expense of the surrounding community health deterioration and suffering.
4. The submission by the proponent is terribly misleading regarding public consultation. All the questions raised during the one and only public meeting were blatantly ignored and not addressed at all, especially regarding hazardous asbestos in construction materials. The rosy pictures, speculative graphs and conjectured numbers in the proponent's submission are no different from James Hardie early claims, which in the end resulted in loss lives. Will the Planning Minister, PAC and DoP be so heartless and cruel as to ignore the lives of surrounding residents, closest being 200m from the site? Will NSW government be responsible for the lives of children of young families living at Georges Fair, surrounding Moorebank and

Milperra areas decades later when someone suffers from mesothelioma?

I appeal to the good sense of Hon Bradley Hazzard MP, Planning Minister, PAC and DoP to reject this construction material recycling plant proposal once and for all. Please come to Georges Fair residential site and see for yourselves the proximity of this proposed polluting plant. Visiting this growing residential site will show how the proponent purposely misled DoP with conjectures in their Environmental Assessment submission.
Thank you.

From,
Deeply troubled Georges Fair soon-to-be resident

Abbreviations:
EA - Environmental Assessment
PAC - Planning Assessment Commission
DoP - Department of Planning and Infrastructure

IP Address: - 210.80.194.50
Submission: Online Submission from [REDACTED]
https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com?action=view_diary&id=56893

Submission for Job: #100 MP 05_0157, Moorebank Waste Facility
https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com?action=view_job&id=100

Site: #91 Moorebank Waste Facility; , Moorebank
https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com?action=view_site&id=91

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Powered by [AffinityLive](#): Work. Smarter.