Emma Barnet - FW: Please STOP the Proposed Recycling Facility at Moorebankbb

From: labiba g <labibag75@hotmail.com>

Date: 1/03/2013 10:13 AM

Subject: FW: Please STOP the Proposed Recycling Facility at Moorebankbb

I am writing this email to OBJECT to the proposed Moorebank Recycling facility.

My three children have asthma as do many children and older adults. I do not want any recycling plant processing building and construction material operating right at the end of my street as this is detrimental to both human health and environment. I believe that this recycling plant will bring with it many illnesses such as asthma, lung cancer, heart disease, type 2 diabetes and development issues in children amongst other major illnesses due to the environmental effects that it has on the community as a whole.

The impacts of dust, noise, pollution and heavy vehicle traffic (324 movement per day) will be unbearable and is not the lifestyle that I anticipated when purchasing my land in Georges Fair in August 2012. I paid top dollar for my land and I will be spending a lot of money whilst building my dream home in Georges Fair.

I believe that their has been no formal Environmental Assessment submitted .

I spoke to Emma Barnet on 25/2/2013 from planning & infrastructure and asked if any environmental assessment was made on how this proposal would impact on both the environment and the surrounding RESIDENTIAL neighbourhood and I was told that no assessment was made yet. Emma's response was that the assessment would be done after exhibition of the proposal.

The details of the development sent last year to neighbours in George Fair show that the activities will not be carried out in an enclosed facility and will involve 324 heavy truck movements daily with 500,000 tonnes per annum of building and construction waste processing .

A recycling plant such as the one proposed with heavy industrial activity should be relocated to a suitable industrial zone. This heavy industrial activity appears totally incompatible with the residential, recreational and environmental land uses which now surround the development. In particular Council's efforts to reconnect the community to the river foreshore with the establishment of publicly owned bike paths and picnic areas along the foreshore will be dealt a severe blow as this heavy industrial type development will encroach right up to this public asset.


Emma Barnet - Submission Details for Labiba Georgi (object)

From: Labiba Georgi <labibag75@hotmail.com> **To:** <emma.barnet@planning.nsw.gov.au>

Date: 5/03/2013 2:54 PM

Subject: Submission Details for Labiba Georgi (object)

CC: <assessments@planning.nsw.gov.au>



Confidentiality Requested: no

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation: no

Name: Labiba Georgi

Email: labibag75@hotmail.com

Address: 5 Torrens Court

Wattle Grove, NSW 2173

Content:

My three children have asthma as do many children and older adults. I do not want any recycling plant processing building and construction material operating right at the end of my street as this is detrimental to human health. I believe that this recycling plant will bring with it many illnesses such as asthma, lung cancer, heart disease, type 2 diabetes and development issues in children amongst other major illnesses.

The impacts of dust, noise and heavy vehicle traffic (324 movement per day) will be unbearable and is not the lifestyle that I anticipated when purchasing my land in Georges Fair in August 2012. I paid top dollar for my land and I will be spending a lot of money whilst building my dream home in Georges Fair.

I believe that their has been no formal Environmental Assessment submitted .

I spoke to Emma Barnet on 25/2/2013 from planning & infrastructure and asked if any environmental assessment was made on how this proposal would impact on both the environment and the surrounding RESIDENTIAL neighbourhood and I was told that no assessment was made yet. Emma's response was that the assessment would be done after exhibition of the proposal.

The details of the development sent last year to neighbours in George Fair show that the activities will not be carried out in an enclosed facility and will involve 324 heavy truck movements daily with 500,000 tonnes per annum of building and construction waste processing.

A recycling plant such as the one proposed with heavy industrial activity should be relocated to a suitable industrial zone. This heavy industrial activity appears totally incompatible with the residential, recreational and environmental land uses which now surround the development. In particular Council's efforts to reconnect the community to the river foreshore with the establishment of publicly owned bike paths and picnic areas along the foreshore will be dealt a severe blow as this heavy industrial type development will encroach right up to this public asset.

Kind Regards Labiba Georgi

IP Address: cpe-121-216-33-147.lnse1.ken.bigpond.net.au - 121.216.33.147 Submission: Online Submission from Labiba Georgi (object)

https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com?action=view_diary&id=55618

Submission for Job: #100 MP 05_0157, Moorebank Waste Facility https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com?action=view_job&id=100

Site: #91 Moorebank Waste Facility; , Moorebank

https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com?action=view_site&id=91

Labiba Georgi

E: labibag75@hotmail.com

Powered by AffinityLive: Work. Smarter.

Emma Barnet - Please STOP this Proposed Recycling Facility at Moorebank

From: labiba g <labibag75@hotmail.com>

To: "plan_comment@planning.nsw.gov.au" <plan_comment@planning.nsw.gov.au>

Date: 26/02/2013 5:59 PM

Subject: Please STOP this Proposed Recycling Facility at Moorebank

Name: Labiba Georgi

Project Application Number: 05_0157

I am writing this email to OBJECT to the proposed Moorebank Recycling facility.

My three children have asthma as do many children and older adults. I do not want any recycling plant processing building and construction material operating right at the end of my street as this is detrimental to human health. I believe that this recycling plant will bring with it many illnesses such as asthma, lung cancer, heart disease, type 2 diabetes and development issues in children amongst other major illnesses.

The impacts of dust, noise and heavy vehicle traffic (324 movement per day) will be unbearable and is not the lifestyle that I anticipated when purchasing my land in Georges Fair in August 2012. I paid top dollar for my land and I will be spending a lot of money whilst building my dream home in Georges Fair.

I believe that their has been no formal Environmental Assessment submitted .

I spoke to Emma Barnet on 25/2/2013 and asked if any environmental assessment was made on how this proposal would impact on both the environment and the surrounding RESIDENTIAL neighbourhood and I was told that no assessment was made yet. Emma's response was that the assessment would be done after exhibition of the proposal.

The details of the development sent last year to neighbours in George Fair show that the activities will not be carried out in an enclosed facility and will involve 324 heavy truck movements daily with 500,000 tonnes per annum of building and construction waste processing.

A recycling plant such as the one proposed with heavy industrial activity should be relocated to a suitable industrial zone. This heavy industrial activity appears totally incompatible with the residential, recreational and environmental land uses which now surround the development. In particular Council's efforts to reconnect the community to the river foreshore with the establishment of publicly owned bike paths and picnic areas along the foreshore will be dealt a severe blow as this heavy industrial type development will encroach right up to this public asset.

Emma Barnet - FW: Please STOP the Proposed Recycling Facility at Moorebankbb

From: labiba g <labibag75@hotmail.com>

Date: 1/03/2013 10:13 AM

Subject: FW: Please STOP the Proposed Recycling Facility at Moorebankbb

I am writing this email to OBJECT to the proposed Moorebank Recycling facility.

My three children have asthma as do many children and older adults. I do not want any recycling plant processing building and construction material operating right at the end of my street as this is detrimental to both human health and environment. I believe that this recycling plant will bring with it many illnesses such as asthma, lung cancer, heart disease, type 2 diabetes and development issues in children amongst other major illnesses due to the environmental effects that it has on the community as a whole.

The impacts of dust, noise, pollution and heavy vehicle traffic (324 movement per day) will be unbearable and is not the lifestyle that I anticipated when purchasing my land in Georges Fair in August 2012. I paid top dollar for my land and I will be spending a lot of money whilst building my dream home in Georges Fair.

I believe that their has been no formal Environmental Assessment submitted .

I spoke to Emma Barnet on 25/2/2013 from planning & infrastructure and asked if any environmental assessment was made on how this proposal would impact on both the environment and the surrounding RESIDENTIAL neighbourhood and I was told that no assessment was made yet. Emma's response was that the assessment would be done after exhibition of the proposal.

The details of the development sent last year to neighbours in George Fair show that the activities will not be carried out in an enclosed facility and will involve 324 heavy truck movements daily with 500,000 tonnes per annum of building and construction waste processing .

A recycling plant such as the one proposed with heavy industrial activity should be relocated to a suitable industrial zone. This heavy industrial activity appears totally incompatible with the residential, recreational and environmental land uses which now surround the development. In particular Council's efforts to reconnect the community to the river foreshore with the establishment of publicly owned bike paths and picnic areas along the foreshore will be dealt a severe blow as this heavy industrial type development will encroach right up to this public asset.

Emma Barnet - Fwd: Please STOP this Proposed Recycling Facility at Moorebank

From: Silvia Nada <nadas@stmarks.nsw.edu.au>

To: "plan_comment@planning.nsw.gov.au" <plan_comment@planning.nsw.gov.au>

Date: 26/02/2013 8:48 PM

Subject: Fwd: Please STOP this Proposed Recycling Facility at Moorebank

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: labiba g < <u>labibag75@hotmail.com</u>> **Date:** 26 February 2013 5:59:29 PM AEDT

To: "plan_comment@planning.nsw.gov.au" <plan_comment@planning.nsw.gov.au>

Subject: Please STOP this Proposed Recycling Facility at Moorebank

Name: Labiba Georgi

Project Application Number: 05_0157

I am writing this email to OBJECT to the proposed Moorebank Recycling facility.

My three children have asthma as do many children and older adults. I do not want any recycling plant processing building and construction material operating right at the end of my street as this is detrimental to human health. I believe that this recycling plant will bring with it many illnesses such as asthma, lung cancer, heart disease, type 2 diabetes and development issues in children amongst other major illnesses.

The impacts of dust, noise and heavy vehicle traffic (324 movement per day) will be unbearable and is not the lifestyle that I anticipated when purchasing my land in Georges Fair in August 2012. I paid top dollar for my land and I will be spending a lot of money whilst building my dream home in Georges Fair.

I believe that their has been no formal Environmental Assessment submitted . I spoke to Emma Barnet on 25/2/2013 and asked if any environmental assessment was made on how this proposal would impact on both the environment and the surrounding RESIDENTIAL neighbourhood and I was told that no assessment was made yet. Emma's response was that the assessment would be done after exhibition of the proposal.

The details of the development sent last year to neighbours in George Fair show that the activities will not be carried out in an enclosed facility and will involve 324 heavy truck movements daily with 500,000 tonnes per annum of building and construction waste processing.

A recycling plant such as the one proposed with heavy industrial activity should be relocated to a suitable industrial zone. This heavy industrial activity appears totally incompatible with the residential, recreational and environmental land uses which now surround the development. In particular Council's efforts to reconnect the community to the river foreshore with the establishment of publicly owned bike paths and picnic areas along the foreshore will be dealt a severe blow as this heavy industrial type development will encroach right up to this public asset.

Emma Barnet - Please STOP this Proposed Recycling Facility at Moorebankb

From: labiba g <labibag75@hotmail.com>

To: "gm@liverpool.nsw.gov.au" <gm@liverpool.nsw.gov.au>, "cllrhadchiti@liver...

Date: 27/02/2013 9:32 AM

Subject: Please STOP this Proposed Recycling Facility at Moorebankb

Name: Labiba Georgi

Project Application Number: 05_0157

I am writing this email to OBJECT to the proposed Moorebank Recycling facility.

My three children have asthma as do many children and older adults. I do not want any recycling plant processing building and construction material operating right at the end of my street as this is detrimental to human health. I believe that this recycling plant will bring with it many illnesses such as asthma, lung cancer, heart disease, type 2 diabetes and development issues in children amongst other major illnesses.

The impacts of dust, noise and heavy vehicle traffic (324 movement per day) will be unbearable and is not the lifestyle that I anticipated when purchasing my land in Georges Fair in August 2012. I paid top dollar for my land and I will be spending a lot of money whilst building my dream home in Georges Fair.

I believe that their has been no formal Environmental Assessment submitted .

I spoke to Emma Barnet on 25/2/2013 from planning & infrastructure and asked if any environmental assessment was made on how this proposal would impact on both the environment and the surrounding RESIDENTIAL neighbourhood and I was told that no assessment was made yet. Emma's response was that the assessment would be done after exhibition of the proposal.

The details of the development sent last year to neighbours in George Fair show that the activities will not be carried out in an enclosed facility and will involve 324 heavy truck movements daily with 500,000 tonnes per annum of building and construction waste processing.

A recycling plant such as the one proposed with heavy industrial activity should be relocated to a suitable industrial zone. This heavy industrial activity appears totally incompatible with the residential, recreational and environmental land uses which now surround the development. In particular Council's efforts to reconnect the community to the river foreshore with the establishment of publicly owned bike paths and picnic areas along the foreshore will be dealt a severe blow as this heavy industrial type development will encroach right up to this public asset.

Kind Regards Labiba Georgi

Emma Barnet - Please STOP this Propsed Recycling Facility at Moorebank

From: labiba g <labibag75@hotmail.com>

To: "plan_comment@planning.nsw.gov.au" <plan_comment@planning.nsw.gov.au>

Date: 26/02/2013 5:16 PM

Subject: Please STOP this Propsed Recycling Facility at Moorebank

Name: Labiba Georgi

Project Application Number: 05_0157

I am writing this email to OBJECT to the proposed Moorebank Recycling facility.

The details of the development sent to neighbours in George Fair show that the activities will not be carried out in an enclosed facility and will involve 324 heavy truck movements daily with 500,000 tonnes per annum of building and construction waste processing.

I believe that their has been no formal Environmental Assessment submitted . I spoke to Emma Barnet on 25/2/2013 and asked if any environmental assessment was made on how this propsal would impact on both the environment and the surrounding RESIDENTIAL neighbourhood and I was told that no assessment was made yet. Emma's reponse was that the assessment would be done after exibition of the proposal.

I cannot understand how such a proposal which has such a damaging and hazordous effect on the community and the neighboring residents could

The impacts of dust, noise and heavy vehicle traffic (324 movement per day) will be unbearable and is not the lifestyle that I ". A consistent view emerging from various forums is that such a heavy industrial activity should be relocated to a suitable industrial zone. To many, this heavy industrial activity appears totally incompatible with the residential, recreational and environmental land uses which now surround the development. In particular Council's efforts to reconnect the community to the river foreshore with the establishment of publicly owned bike paths and picnic areas along the foreshore will be dealt a severe blow as this heavy industrial type development will encroach right up to this public asset.

OPPOSITION TO MOOREBANK BUILDING WASTE PROCESSING PLANT

I <u>oppose</u> the proposed Moorebank Waste Processing Project (Project Number 05 0157) at Lot 6 DP 1065574, Newbridge Road, Moorebank.

- I oppose the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is far too close to existing
 and proposed residential homes. The proposed development is just 250 metres from the Georges Fair housing
 estate. Trucks using the proposed facility will travel even closer to residential homes. Trucks will likely short cut
 through Georges Fair, passing parks and local residents, using roads not designed for massive trucks.
- 2. I oppose the building waste processing plant because of the risks from asbestos and toxins. At the community consultation meeting held on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club, the representatives of the developer refused to a link between silica dust and lung cancer ('Occupational Exposure to Silica and Lung Cancer', Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers and Prevention Journal, Volume 19, 2010). There is no known cure for silicosis ('A developer plans to install sweepers "where trucks and people will travel" and that there are "unsealed areas" in the information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club). This is an unacceptable health risk for local
- 3. I oppose the building waste processing plant because of the potential for flooding. The proposed development is in an area that floods. In the event of a flood, unsafe building materials and material stockpiles may cause an environmental disaster throughout Moorebank and the entire Georges River Basin.
- 4. I <u>oppose</u> the building waste processing plant because the NSW Government has indicated there will be "a return of local planning powers to local communities" ('NSW govt scraps 3A planning provision', SMH, 4/4/2011). The local community is opposed to this development. Councillors of the Liverpool City Council have voted unanimously against this development (Minutes, Liverpool City Council meeting, 15/6/2011).
- 5. I oppose the building waste processing plant because the proposed development is incompatible with the current and planned residential and recreational uses of the area. The proposed concrete processing facility is The area is zoned E2 Environmental Conservation. It should not be used for a concrete processing plant. In and a golf club. The land is more suitable for recreational facilities or a reserve. Even the owners of the Benedict suitable for a building waste processing plant and have started to shut down their operations to create their own
- 6. I oppose the building waste processing plant because of increased traffic. The developer states the proposed facility will result in an extra 324 truck movements a day, which is "very low and will have no impact on the arterial road network" (developer's information distributed on 31/5/2011 at New Brighton Golf Club). Increased traffic will brive. There is already significant traffic in the area which will be made worse by the 'Intermodal', which will cause an extra 1.5 million truck movements in the first year. Truck movements will primarily be in peak hours, further additional noise, pollution and congestion from this proposed facility, given the likelihood of the 'Intermodal'.
- 7. I oppose the building waste processing plant because of the negative impact on land values. Residents of the Moorebank precinct in particular have spent significant amounts of money on land and homes. The proposed development will have a severe impact on land values and residents will not be compensated.
- 8. I <u>oppose</u> the building waste processing plant because there was a lack of community consultation at a meeting held by representatives of the developers on 31/5/2011. The organisers did not notify a significant number of local on health risks. The organisers did not allow time to note down and respond to residents' concerns.
- 9. I oppose the building waste processing plant because it is dangerous to residents for a concrete processing plant to be located in this area of metropolitan Sydney. There are pre-existing waste processing plants operated by another plant at Heathcote. There is no need for so many waste processing plants in such close proximity to each other.

As a local community member, I <u>OPPOSE</u> the building waste processing plant. I call on councillors, he NSW Parliament, the Minister for Planning, the Planning Assessment Commission, the NSW Department of Planning and Infrastructure and the Premier of NSW to <u>REJECT</u> this proposal.

FULL NAME:

SIGNATURE:

ADDRESS:

DATE:

cabiba. Georgo

9.8

5 Torrens (ourt Wattle Grove

11/3/2013