

Emma Barnet - Objection to Proposed Materials Recycling Facility - Moorebank (05_0157)

From: [REDACTED]
To: <emma.barnet@planning.nsw.gov.au>, Chris Lowry <CLowry@investa.com.au>, <lcc@liverpool.nsw.gov.au>, Member for Menai Melanie Gibbons MP <menai@parliament.nsw.gov.au>, <office@hazzard.minister.nsw.gov.au>
Date: 3/7/2013 11:43 AM
Subject: Objection to Proposed Materials Recycling Facility - Moorebank (05_0157)

Emma

I object to the proposed Moorebank Recycler.

The site is currently vacant. Just north of the proposed site Benedicts operate a sand mining, land filling and concrete recycling centre. It is obvious the land has

been substantially disturbed.

Background reports provided with the environmental assessment from Moorebank Recyclers are way out of date to allow a proper consideration of the application in todays real world of Georges Fair estate.

Why was Investa able to develop housing so close to what was proposed to be the largest Recycler in Sydney. Now that over 1100 lots have been developed and over half owner occupied by a community of residents, it is imprudent to allow the proposal for Moorebank Recyclers to go ahead. Governments would have known about this for years. They must have known. Two operators have been battling out access issues in the NSW Courts for years over, along with Liverpool City Council. It does not make any sense whatsoever to allow the construction of the Recycler on the basis of the environment, the noise pollution, the visual deterrence, and the ill health that will be caused by the emissions/dusts from the Recycler.

As a member of a new community and a resident of Georges Fair, I strongly object to the proposed Moorebank Recyclers or any such similar business occurring on this parcel of what was rural land adjacent to the Georges River.

It is completely incompatible with what has been created by Investa for families in Moorebank.

We should not be a social experiment for the Department of Planning and Infrastructure, to years down the track, make a statement such as "well that didn't quite work out as we had planned".

The proposal is completely incompatible with the residential developments underway, and will lead to major environmental and health related issues.

The flora and fauna assessment revealed very outdated data. Data from before the first plod of soil was ever turned for housing which is well underway. A community exists just 100 meters away from the proposed Recycler.

The environmental assessment should not legally be allowed to utilise the Brickmakers

Drive to access the proposed site. 324 truck journeys per day will destroy the road, will destroy the communities sense of peace and quiet and will create a major nuisance with regards to compression breaking the narrow road.

As the RTA has required that no direct access will be provided from Newbridge Road, the only other access is via Brickmakers Drive. This should be stopped immediately.

New reports are required confirming that there is no evidence of widespread or gross contamination that would restrict future residential development, and directly harm existing residential development. The new reports should be by an independent, not submitted by the Moorebank Recycler!!

The community is wanting the Marina constructed as advertised by Investa. No person in their right mind would invest in developing a Marina near a Recycler. No person in their right mind would want to live near a Recycler capable of crushing 500,000 tonnes of material per annum. I would not have purchased in the area at all. My family had options and we chose Georges Fair because of the family feel and peace and tranquility. Not because we wanted to be next to a Recycler. All along the contract stage we were assured that the Benedict sand recycler would be closing and a Marina built in its place along with further housing and commercial spots. We (all of us that have purchased in this Community) have been misled and deceived as to the true proposals on foot for years.

I vehemently object to this proposal. Hopefully sanity prevails and the proposal is stopped for the reasons I've mentioned.

--

regards
[REDACTED]

Emma Barnet - Submission Details for [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
To: <emma.barnet@planning.nsw.gov.au>
Date: 3/8/2013 10:31 PM
Subject: Submission Details for [REDACTED]
CC: <assessments@planning.nsw.gov.au>

 Department of Planning

Confidentiality Requested: yes

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation: no

Address:

Moorebank, NSW
2170

Content:

The proposed materials recycling facility at Moorebank was a surprise to me. Horrifying.

When I purchased [REDACTED] in 2010 at Georges Fair from Investa, there was absolutely nothing on their (Georges Fair nor the Investa) website that described a proposed recycling plant capable of 324 (20 tonne) truck movements per day just meters from my street Christiansen Boulevard. In fact there was nothing on Clarendon's website, the company that built my home. In fact, there was nothing even on Liverpool City Council's website about a 500,000 tonne recycling facility to be built only 12 months after I've moved in to my family home, with my wife and two young children. What I was told by Jacki Ari, the Land seller employed by Investa, at Georges Fair, was that the Benedict Sand Recycler was to be decommissioned and a Marina was to be built in its place nearby on the Georges River. The interested parties have known all along what would be happening and have duped all the residents.

This smacks of corruption in the McDonald sense, the Obeid sense and in every sense, just plain deceptive and misleading of the interests at play (Liverpool Council, Investa and the Builders of this estate).

Why on earth would not one of these bodies informed me and all the other purchasers that this was at least on the cards. You know why, because nobody would have purchased at the price we have. Would you spend close to 1 million dollars to be living near the biggest proposed recycling plant in Sydney? The honest answer must be "No".

Obviously this deal between the Recycler and State Government has been going on for decades.

My objection is described below:

1. Irrespective what the Recycler says: There is NO need for the project. It should be stopped by State Government and Local Government should rally on the support of their rate payers. There are many recycling facilities in Sydney's basin. It does not need another one with such environmental, health, aesthetic drawbacks. The project will employ only a handful of people when it is up and running yet operate 6 days per week in a family residential zoned community, devaluing property values, environmental damaging the Georges River and its flora and fauna, create great risk of injury to residents by the estimated 324 truck runs per day.
2. Visual Impact: It's a monster. Despite the trees. 30 meter high stack piles of bitumen, bricks, road base and other construction material with the real possibility of asbestos fibres. The sand piles currently can be seen from the Elizabeth Drive in Liverpool on the way down from Cowpasture Rd, along Elizabeth Drive heading into Liverpool. They look like icebergs. It's disgusting to know that our children are living here. The asbestos issue will land the Recycler in Court, and they'll get a small fine. But they won't care.
3. Air Quality: Real danger with the unknown particles throughout the air with open roof crushing occurring from 7am-630pm 6 days per week. What will we be breathing and wearing. It needs to be stopped.
4. Noise: The noise when they built the round-about was horrendous on the corner of Brickmakers Drive and Christiansen Boulevard. The dirt, the smell, the trucks. It's only going to magnify 1000 per cent. With 324 truck runs daily. Huge crushers to crunch the construction material into recyclable waste.
5. Traffic and transport is already pretty bad in the Georges fair estate. Brickmakers Drive we thought was built for the

residents, only to find out now it was built for the trucks to access the recycling site. Residents have Brickmakers Drive or Maddocks Rd to exit onto Newbridge or Nuwarra Road respectively. Half the houses are not even constructed as yet. The problem will become exponential once the recycling facility begins. The Brickmakers drive and the trucks will be considered a place of work for the Recycler endangering the residents with accidents. Claims will be made against the recycler. I want Brickmakers Drive closed for heavy truck vehicles. It should not be permissible to be a place of work for the Recycler.

You must understand, I would not have purchased and built in the Georges Fair estate if I had known that the Moorebank Recycler was even a chance of operating. I was advised by Jacki Ari, the Benedict Sand Yard would be decommissioned and a Marina built in its place, elevating the property value, making it a beautiful place to raise a young family so close to the heart of Liverpool.

It is a disgrace that we have all been deceived.

Local Government Councillors, Local Members for Parliament (Menai), the Premier, you all need to hang your heads in shame for allowing this debacle to carry on for 20 years and get to Environmental Assessment stage and you should stand up for the people that put you in your seat of power. Say "No" to the Moorebank Recycling Facility. It smells of corruption. Stop it being built for the objections I've raised.

[REDACTED]

Submission for Job: #100 MP 05_0157, Moorebank Waste Facility
https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com?action=view_job&id=100

Site: #91 Moorebank Waste Facility; , Moorebank
https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com?action=view_site&id=91

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Powered by [AffinityLive](#): Work. Smarter.

Barry O'Farrell

Sent: Thursday, 21 March 2013 12:00 PM
To: <office@hazzard.minister.nsw.gov.au>, Member for Menai Melanie Gibbons MP
<menai@parliament.nsw.gov.au>, <lcc@liverpool.nsw.gov.au>,
<sam.haddad@planning.nsw.gov.au>, <office@premier.nsw.gov.au>,
<mayor@liverpool.nsw.gov.au>
Subject: The lies told to residents by the chap who runs the Moorebank Recycler operation which seems to be a State significant project??
Attachments: Maxine's School Assembly Speech.pdf

See link below:

<http://www.liverpoolchampion.com.au/story/1373724/suburb-not-the-place-for-recycling-plant/?cs=1465>

Also my daughter, today, presented a short piece on the Moorebank Recycler proposal to her school assembly for grades 3 - 6 in primary school. She's 8 years old.

Kids are innocent. Even even 8 year olds know the difference between good planning laws and poor planning laws.

This proposal, according to the proponents EA will not be the boom business to the local community or State at all. It is a self-serving business proposal that will only benefit Moorebank Recyclers.

I am seeking the Premier and Minister for Planning and Infrastructure' to intervene and reject the Recycling proposal on the basis of strong and vehement community opposition in view of its pollution, noise, dust, noise, health, ecological and environmental impacts.

I am also seeking the Mayor and General Manager of Liverpool City Council to draft a new LEP, repealing schedule 1, clause 11 which was permitted by the corruption laden previous Council to operate in land that has been zoned E2. Those Councillors were dismissed and an Administrator appointed. That was Gabrielle Kibble. She is now Chair of the Planning Assessment Commission. I have been advised that Ms Kibble was the Administrator when the LEP 2008 came into effect. If this is correct, she would have known about the E2 zoning and still permitted a waste recovery function to slip in under clause 11 of Schedule 1.

Another resident in Georges Fair Mr Mark Sutton has already forwarded the Minister for planning these links: for those of you, whom have not seen it, please take a few minutes

21/03/2013

out of your busy day to review the stories sent in to you previously. Concrete Recyclers Pty Ltd is the same as Moorebank Recyclers Pty Ltd.

.....From Mark Sutton to the Minister for Planning & Infrastructure, Brad Hazzard MP.....

I urge you to view the recent coverage of this issue on *A Current Affair*:

<http://aca.ninemsn.com.au/article/8625573/housing-dream-shattered>

In addition, this matter has been covered in local newspapers:

<http://liverpool-leader.whereilive.com.au/news/story/residents-protest-moorebank-recycling-plant/>

The company behind the proposal has been shown as not to be able to manage the environmental and health issues associated with one of their pre-existing plants:

<http://parramatta-advertiser.whereilive.com.au/news/story/in-a-dust-up-over-pollution/#.UT2c0kip5S0.mailto>



--

Regards

