

Emma Barnet - Fwd: Re: Opposition to Moorebank Building Waste (Project Number 05 0157)

From: plan_comment
To: Emma Barnet
Date: 3/14/2013 3:10 PM
Subject: Fwd: Re: Opposition to Moorebank Building Waste (Project Number 05 0157)
Attachments: Re: Opposition to Moorebank Building Waste (Project Number 05 0157); Opposing the Materials Recycling Facility – Moorebank Application 05_0157; Moorebank Recyclers - DISPUTE LETTER Lot 4144 Georges Fair; FW: Moorebank Recycling Plant; Moorebank Recyclers Proposal (05_0157) on A Current Affair - 13 March 2013; Project Number 05 0157 - DP1065574; 05-0157 Moorebank Recyclers.docx; Objection to project application number 05_0157; Letter of objection to building waste processing unit. ; Re: PAC enquiry - regarding Moorebank Recyclers Proposal (05_0157); PAC enquiry - regarding Moorebank Recyclers Proposal (05_0157); FW: FW: opposition to project no: 05 0157; FW: opposition to project no: 05 0157; MOOREBANK RECYCLING FACILITY & INTERMODAL TERMINAL; FW: plan_comment@planning.nsw.gov.au; Opposition to Moorebank Building waste processing plant ; OPPOSITION TO MOOREBANK BUILDING WASTE PROCESSING PLANT - PROJECT APPLICATION NUMBER 05_0157; Attention: Emma Barnet - Opposition to Moorebank Waste Plant Project; ; Opposition to Moorebank building waste facility; FW: Opposition to Moorebank Recycling plant; Opposition to the Moorebank Recycling Plant; Project No: 05 0157 - Morebank Waste Processing; Proposed Material Recycling Facility-Moorebank (05_0157); Opposition to Moorebank Building Waste Processing Plant; Opposed: Lot 6 DP 1065574 Moorebank Waste Processing Project

To whom it may concern,

I provide the attached letter in opposition to the proposed waste management plant in Moorebank. I would like to add further to Point 6 of this letter, that whilst we would envisage an increase in traffic, how could the area sustain further traffic with the current traffic crisis.

The traffic throughout Sydney is increasing dramatically and with development continuing out west, this due to cause major traffic chaos around Moorebank.

People have taken the opportunity to move into an area which has the promise of peace and quiet and generally brings value into the Liverpool municipality which has over the years been the focus of the media due to increase in crime and other illegal activity. The Georges Fair development has been marketed primarily as a new appealing area offering new stylish housing at a premium price. The new buyers in the area have been forced to meet a set criteria within the development, sustaining large costs in meeting the design guidelines including a mixture of facades, specific fencing, restrictions to setbacks, use of various sustainable materials, limited builders etc. only to then have an industrial facility placed nearby, creating traffic issues, noise issues, safety issues & pollution issues which will ultimately drive nearby property values down in addition to affecting the quality of life to those residents nearby.

Liverpool council should have an interest in rejecting the proposal, given the approval of the Georges Fair development in this area. Surely this is a conflict of interest - offering new premium quality housing at high prices, only then to taint the newly rejuvenated landscape that has become Georges Fair.

Georges Fair has appealed and does appeal to a demographic being the younger family and/ or retirees looking to reside in a peaceful and secure area. The proposal will take away all this estate has to offer and conflict with all of the marketing points which have made Georges Fair a success for the developer, Liverpool Council and surrounding residents. Existing properties have also benefited from Georges Fair as property prices have risen significantly over recent years. Liverpool Council would surely benefit from increased property value and increased land rates and a better perception of the area in general.

In summary, I object to the proposed recycling plant as it will reduce property values, place local residents at risk due to increased traffic and heavy vehicle movement, air pollution (despite proposed controls) noise levels and a general increase in traffic congestion which is already problematic. The proposal is more suited to an industrial area.

Regards,
 Michael Clarke
