WILPINJONG COAL PROJECT

APPENDIX M

Soils, Rural Land Capability and Agricultural Suitability Assessment



APPENDIX M

WILPINJONG COAL PROJECT SOILS, RURAL LAND CAPABILITY AND AGRICULTURAL SUITABILITY ASSESSMENT

PREPARED BY RESOURCE STRATEGIES PTY LTD

MAY 2005 Project No. WIL-04-01\3.15 Document No. APPENDIX M-K.DOC

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Secti</u>	<u>on</u>	<u>Page</u>
M1	INTRODUCTION	M-1
M2	GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THE PROJECT AREA	M-1
M3	METHODOLOGY	M-2
	M3.1 FIELD SURVEY M3.2 LABORATORY SOIL TESTING	M-2 M-3
M4	SOILS OF THE PROJECT AREA	M-3
	 M4.1 SOIL LANDSCAPES M4.2 GREAT SOIL GROUPS M4.2.1 Red Podzolic M4.2.2 Yellow Podzolic M4.2.3 Earthy Sands M4.2.4 Brown Earths M4.2.5 Yellow Solodic M4.2.6 Lithosols M4.2.7 Alluvials 	M-3 M-5 M-5 M-6 M-6 M-6 M-6 M-6
M5	RURAL LAND CAPABILITY ASSESSMENT	M-7
	 M5.1 LAND CAPABILITY CLASS SYSTEM M5.2 LAND CAPABILITY CLASSES OF THE PROJECT AREA M5.2.1 Class IV M5.2.2 Class V M5.2.3 Class VI M5.2.4 Class VII M5.2.5 Class VIII 	M-7 M-7 M-7 M-8 M-8 M-8
M6	AGRICULTURAL SUITABILITY ASSESSMENT	M-8
	 M6.1 AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM M6.2 AGRICULTURAL SUITABILITY OF THE PROJECT AREA M6.2.1 Class 3 M6.2.2 Class 4 M6.2.3 Class 5 	M-8 M-9 M-9 M-9 M-9
M7	SOIL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT	M-9
	 M7.1 CONCEPTUAL SOIL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES M7.2 SOIL SUITABILITY FOR REHABILITATION PURPOSES AND MANAGEMENT PRACTICES M7.3 MEASURES TO ENSURE THE LONG-TERM VIABILITY OF SOIL RESOURCES AND TO 	M-10 6 M-11
	MANAGE SOIL SALINITY	M-11
M8	REFERENCES	M-12

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

LIST OF TABLES

Table M-1	Soil Landscapes of the Project Area
Table M-2	Typical Characteristics of the Predominant Soils of the Barigan Creek Soil Landscape
Table M-3	Typical Characteristics of the Predominant Soils of the Ulan Soil Landscape
Table M-4	Soil and Water Salinity Criteria (ANZECC, 2000)
Table M-5	Conceptual Soil Resource Management Strategies
Table M-6	Topsoil Resource Availability

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure M-1	Regional Location
Figure M-2	Project Area and Soil Sampling Sites
Figure M-3	Soil Landscapes and Soils of the Project Area
Figure M-4	Rural Land Capability
Figure M-5	Agricultural Suitability

LIST OF ATTACHMENTS

Attachment MA Soil Profile Descriptions

Attachment MB Soil Analyses

M1 INTRODUCTION

The proposed Wilpinjong Coal Project (herein referred to as the Project) is situated approximately 40 kilometres (km) north-east of Mudgee in central New South Wales (NSW) (Figure M-1).

This report has been prepared to assess the soils, rural land capability and agricultural suitability of the Project area and immediate surrounds. Specifically, the objectives of this report are to:

- detail the soil resources within the Project area;
- specify the rural land capability of the Project area in accordance with the standard NSW eight class system (Cunningham *et al.*, undated);
- detail the agricultural suitability of the Project area in accordance with the five class system (Riddler, 1996);
- assess the potential impacts of the Project on soil and land resources and formulate soil resource management measures; and
- provide land resource information useful for the development of the Project rehabilitation strategy.

The soil resources, land capability and agricultural suitability of the Project area have previously been assessed in the following publications/studies:

- Soil Landscapes of the Dubbo 1:250,000 Sheet (DLWC, 1998).
- 1:100,000 Land Capability Series Sheet 8833 Gulgong (Soil Conservation Service of NSW, 1982).
- Agricultural Land Classification of Mudgee Shire (unpublished) (NSW Agriculture, undated).

A field survey of the lands within the Project area was conducted by JAMMEL Environmental & Planning Services Pty Ltd in July 2004 in accordance with the Department of Land and Water Conservation (DLWC) *Soil and Landscape Issues in Environmental Impact Assessment* guidelines (2000) in order to confirm and supplement the previous mapping and assessments detailed in this report.

M2 GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THE PROJECT AREA

The Project area includes the lands predominantly within the Mining Lease Application (MLA 1) area (Figure M-2). The Project would include:

- development and operation of an open cut mine within the MLA 1 area to produce coal for domestic electricity generation and export markets;
- selective highwall mining of the Ulan Seam within the MLA 1 area;
- a Coal Handling and Preparation Plant and mine facilities area;
- water management infrastructure including the relocation of Cumbo Creek;
- water supply bores and associated pump and pipeline system;
- placement of mine waste rock (i.e. overburden, interburden/partings and coarse rejects) predominantly within mined-out voids;
- placement of tailings within a combination of out-of-pit and in-pit tailings storages;
- development and rehabilitation of final mine landforms and establishment of woodland vegetation in areas adjacent to the Project;
- a mine access road, temporary construction camp access road, internal access roads and haul roads;
- closure of Wilpinjong Road and Bungulla Road;
- realignment of two sections of Ulan-Wollar Road (including the relocation of two road-rail crossings);

- relocation of the existing 11 kilovolt (kV) electricity transmission line;
- an on-site temporary construction camp to accommodate up to 100 people during the construction phase;
- a rail spur and rail loop;
- coal handling and train loading infrastructure;
- transportation of product coal to market via train; and
- Enhancement and Conservation Areas.

An outline of the extent of the Project open cut mine and contained infrastructure within the Project area is shown on Figure M-2. In addition, minor disturbance would be associated with the development of ancillary infrastructure such as road realignments, relocation of the existing 11 kV electricity transmission line, the on-site temporary construction camp and water supply bores and associated pump and pipeline system. Further details are provided in Section 2 of Volume 1 of the Environmental Impact Statement.

Geologically, the Project area and surrounds comprises mainly Permian and Triassic sediments. The Permian sediments contain the coal measures and also comprise sandstone, conglomerate, coal, tuff and some older basalt. They are overlain by the harder Triassic sandstones of the Narrabeen Group that form the rugged ranges and plateaus of the Goulburn River National Park, Munghorn Gap Nature Reserve and other sandstone-capped ranges bounding the Project area. The broad valley floor of the Project area has developed in the softer Permian sediments. By contrast, the Narrabeen sandstone areas resist erosion forming narrow valleys and gorges with much shallower soils.

The largest drainage features in the Project area are Wilpinjong Creek and Cumbo Creek. A number of minor creeks and watercourses drain the Project area into Wilpinjong Creek from south to north including Bens, Narrow, Spring and Planters Creeks in succession from east to west.

The general landscape in the Project area is cleared and predominantly grazed by cattle and sheep. Only limited cropping occurs. Most native vegetation is restricted to the sandstone plateaus and hill slopes along the MLA 1 boundary.

M3 METHODOLOGY

M3.1 FIELD SURVEY

A field survey of the Project area was conducted by JAMMEL Environmental & Planning Services Pty Ltd between 21 and 30 July 2004. This survey provides information which augments existing soil landscape mapping of the Project area (DLWC, 1998). The field survey focussed mainly on areas where surface disturbance is proposed within the MLA 1 boundary.

Observations were made to identify boundaries between the various soil types and soil landscapes within the Project area. A total of 46 sites were sampled to a depth of 1 m, or to depth of refusal.¹ The locations of the soil sampling sites are shown on Figure M-2. Representative soil samples from each soil type and horizon were analysed for physical and chemical properties (Section M3.2). Soil profiles of the sampled sites were also described (Attachment MA).

The survey also included inspection of Project areas to confirm and augment existing rural land capability and agricultural suitability mapping including aerial photographic interpretations where necessary.

¹ Samples were taken to identify and describe the surface soil A and B horizons (i.e. <1 m). The geochemical characteristics of substrate material (e.g. sandstone) are assessed in Appendix C of the Environmental Impact Statement (EGi, 2005).</p>

M3.2 LABORATORY SOIL TESTING

Chemical analyses were undertaken by a National Association of Testing Authorities, Australia (NATA) accredited soil laboratory. These results have been used for preliminary assessment of soil suitability for rehabilitation purposes (Section M7.2).

Composite samples representing each soil type horizon encountered underwent laboratory analyses. Sampling of the A horizon for laboratory analysis did not differentiate between the $A_1 \& A_2$ sub-horizons of the topsoil as it would all be stripped for re-use. Analytical results (physical and chemical) are presented in Attachment MB.

M4 SOILS OF THE PROJECT AREA

M4.1 SOIL LANDSCAPES

The soil landscapes of the Project area are based on those delineated by the Soil Landscapes of the Dubbo 1:250,000 Sheet (DLWC, 1998) and the soil types encountered during the field survey.

The Soil Landscapes of the Dubbo 1:250,000 Sheet (DLWC, 1998) identifies three main soil landscapes within the Project area *viz*. Barigan Creek, Ulan and Lees Pinch (Figure M-3). The Barigan Creek and Ulan soil landscapes cover the majority of the Project area. The landform characteristics, lithology, typical soils and limitations of these landscapes are summarised in Table M-1. The typical characteristics of the predominant soils of the Barigan Creek and Ulan soil landscapes are also provided in Tables M-2 and M-3.

Landscape	Landform	Lithology	Typical Soils	Limitations
Barigan Creek	Lower slopes of sandstone plateau escarpments. Undulating low rises and flats.	Illawarra Coal Measures and Shoalhaven Group Shale, sandstone, siltstone, conglomerate, chert.	Yellow podzolic soils and red podzolic soils.	Moderate erosion hazard with surface soils subject to structural degradation with mechanical disturbance. Imperfectly to moderately drained.
Ulan	Low undulating rises and creek flats.	Undifferentiated and Illawarra Coal Measures Shale, sandstone, conglomerate, chert, coal and torbanite.	Yellow podzolic, yellow solodic/ solonetz, yellow and brown earths, and earthy sands.	Moderate to high erosion hazard and susceptible to soil structure degradation. Imperfectly drained on the lower slopes and depressions.
Lees Pinch	Sandstone plateau and hillslopes with boulder debris.	Narrabeen Group and Illawarra Coal Measures Sandstone, Wollar sandstone, conglomeratic sandstone, chert, shale coal, torbanite.	Shallow siliceous sands, shallow acid soils, yellow earths, yellow podzolic soils.	Steep slopes have high erosion hazard when cover is low. Low to very low waterholding capacity and high permeability.

Table M-1Soil Landscapes of the Project Area

After: DLWC (1998)

Table M-2 Typical Characteristics of the Predominant Soils of the Barigan Creek Soil Landscape

Characteristics	Yellow Podzolic Soils	Red Podzolic Soils
Dominance	Dominant	Common
Landform element	Lower slopes, flats	Side slopes, crests
Surface condition	Gravelly	Hardsetting
Drainage	Imperfectly drained	Moderately well-drained
Soil permeability	Slow	Moderate
Watertable depth	Seasonal water logging	None
Available waterholding capacity	Moderate	Low
Depth to bedrock	>60 cm	<60 cm
Flood hazard	Slight	None
pH (topsoil)	Slightly acid to neutral	Slightly acid to neutral
Fertility (chemical)	Low	Low
Expected nutrient deficiencies	Nitrogen, Phosphorus	Nitrogen, Phosphorus
Soil salinity	Isolated areas of salting evident	No salting
Erodibility (topsoil)	Moderate	High
Erodibility (subsoil)	Moderate	Moderate
Erosion hazard	Moderate	Moderate
Structural degradation hazard	High	High
Shrink-swell potential	Low to moderate	Low to moderate
Mass movement hazard	Not evident	Not evident

After: DLWC (1998)

Table M-3 Typical Characteristics of the Predominant Soils of the Ulan Soil Landscape

Characteristics	Yellow Podzolic Soils	Yellow Solodic Soils
Dominance	Common	Common
Landform element	Mid to lower slopes, flats	Drainage lines and depressions
Surface condition	Gravelly or hardsetting	Hardsetting
Drainage	Imperfectly drained	Imperfectly to poorly drained
Soil permeability	Slow	Low to very low
Watertable depth	Occasionally seasonal water logging	Perched and seasonal
Available waterholding capacity	Moderate	Moderate
Depth to bedrock	60 to >100 cm	>100 cm
Flood hazard	Nil	Slight
pH (topsoil)	Slightly acidic	Slightly acidic
Fertility (chemical)	Low	Low
Expected nutrient deficiencies	Nitrogen, Phosphorus, Sulphur	Nitrogen, Phosphorus, Sulphur
Soil salinity	Low	Some salting evident as salt scalds
Erodibility (topsoil)	Moderate	Moderate
Erodibility (subsoil)	High	High to very high
Erosion hazard	Moderate	High
Structural degradation hazard	High	High
Shrink-swell potential	Low	Low
Mass movement hazard	Not evident	Not evident

After: DLWC (1998) The characteristics of the soil landscapes as provided in Tables M-1, M-2 and M-3 are determined from 1:250,000 scale mapping (DLWC, 1998) which relied upon correlations that may exist between soils and underlying geology, landforms and vegetation. Due to the inherent variability of soil systems, soils that do not necessarily reflect the typical characteristics of the soil landscapes mapped at the 1:250,000 scale (DLWC, 1998) have been identified during the field survey undertaken for the Project.

M4.2 GREAT SOIL GROUPS

The soils of the Project area described in this section are based on the Great Soil Group System (Stace *et al.*, 1968). The Great Soil Group system is a wide classification of soils based on soil morphological and chemical properties. Each Great Soil Group represents a range of soils in the field.

The major soil types encountered in the Project area are described in the following sub-sections and include red podzolic, yellow podzolic, earthy sands, brown earths, yellow solodic soils, lithosols and alluvials. The delineated areas where each Great Soil Group occurs within the Project area are shown on Figure M-3.

Soil salinity for the major soil types were characterised using the soil and water salinity criteria provided in Table M-4. The analytical results (physical and chemical) are presented in Attachment MB.

Soil or Water Salinity Rating	Average Root Zone Salinity (EC _{se})*
Very low	<0.95 dS/m
Low	0.95-1.9 dS/m
Medium	1.9-4.5 dS/m
High	4.5-7.7 dS/m
Very High	7.7-12.2 dS/m
Extreme	>12.2 dS/m

 Table M-4

 Soil and Water Salinity Criteria (ANZECC, 2000)

After: ANZECC (2000) * 1 dS/m = 1,000 μS/cm

M4.2.1 Red Podzolic

A total of 20 red podzolic soil samples were taken from across the Project area. Red podzolic soils occur over the majority of the Project area on the lower to mid slopes.

Red podzolic soils feature a brownish-greyish A horizon overlaying a red B horizon of much higher clay content. The A horizon is usually weakly structured, whilst the B horizon consists of polyhedral or blocky pedology. A distinct pale A_2 horizon is usually present and the profile is slightly acidic. Soil salinity was generally low to very low, with the exception of one soil sample which exhibited medium soil salinity. Fertility is generally low (with the A horizon retaining some organic matter) and decreases further with depth.

M4.2.2 Yellow Podzolic

A total of 17 yellow podzolic soil samples were taken across the Project area. Yellow podzolic soils generally occur on lower slopes and minor drainage lines across the Project area. The soil pH is neutral to slightly acidic. These soils show a high occurrence of water logging which in some cases results in isolated extreme surface soil salinity (Sites 44 and 46). With the exception of these two saline soil samples, soil salinity for yellow podzolic soils was low.

Yellow podzolic soils are identified by their strongly differentiated profiles with light, medium textured A horizons overlaying a yellow-brown clayey B horizon. The A₂ horizon is usually noticeably leached. Reddish or greyish mottling is common in the B horizon. These soils are of limited fertility, with the A horizon providing moderate accumulation of organic matter.

M4.2.3 Earthy Sands

Three earthy sand soil samples were taken from the Project area. Earthy sands occur along Bens Creek and in small patches at the base of the sandstone escarpments in the east of the Project area.

Earthy sands are characterised by uniform profiles of coherent, clayey sands that are predominantly red in colour but in some cases yellow. These soils are usually deep and are characterised by uniform sand texture and a massive, single-grained structure. Their earthy appearance occurs from coating and bridging of sand grains by clayey materials.

The pH of the earthy sands is neutral to slightly acidic. The soil samples exhibited high soil salinity in the A horizon and very low soil salinity in the B horizon.

M4.2.4 Brown Earths

Two brown earth soil samples were taken from the Project area. Brown earths occur in small patches along the eastern bank of Cumbo Creek. These soil profiles are found intermittently along Cumbo Creek.

Brown earths show little profile differentiation. The profile is a uniform yellow, reddish-brown colour generally becoming more yellow or red with depth. Brown earths range in texture from light loams to clays. The soil pH is neutral to slightly acidic and soil salinity medium to very low.

M4.2.5 Yellow Solodic

Solodic soils occur in the south-east of the Project area amongst the yellow podzolic soils which occur on lower slopes. The land where solodic soils occur in the Project area is still fully timbered and has not been cleared.

Solodic soils are characterised by strong texture contrast profiles with light textured surface soils overlying tough, hard and dense B horizon, which are usually unstable to wetting processes. The boundary between the A and B horizons is abrupt. There is also a characteristic bleached A₂ horizon. The B horizons generally range from sandy clays to medium clays and vary in colour from grey, grey-brown, yellow-brown to red-brown (the duller colour being more common) and are frequently mottled. These soils have low fertility, poor trafficability when wet, and are erodible. The soil pH is slightly acidic and the A horizon is of very low salinity. The B horizon however exhibited high soil salinity.

M4.2.6 Lithosols

Lithosols occur on the higher plateaus and sandstone escarpments associated with the Munghorn Gap Nature Reserve and the steeper slopes to the south and east of the Project area.

Lithosols are stony or gravelly soils with a thin A_1 horizon of organic matter generally occurring on upper slope and hill-top areas. Pedological development is low, consisting of weathering of underlying rocks and the gradual addition of organic matter in the A_1 horizon.

M4.2.7 Alluvials

Alluvial soils identified within the Project area generally occur along Cumbo Creek. Shallow localised alluvial sediments also occur in Spring, Planters, Bens and Narrow Creeks which drain to Wilpinjong Creek².

Alluvial soils have no true pedological horizons other than an A horizon and are often weakly developed. They generally occur on flats or valley bottoms where bed-load sedimentation has occurred. The sedimentary layers of these soils can vary greatly in a number of characteristics including texture, stoniness, depth, colour and carbonate content. The soil pH is neutral and soil salinity low. Nutrient supply is good as there is usually a reasonable supply of primary rock minerals.

² Source: Hydrogeological Assessment of the Proposed Wilpinjong Open Cut Coal Mine (Geoterra, 2004).

M5 RURAL LAND CAPABILITY ASSESSMENT

M5.1 LAND CAPABILITY CLASS SYSTEM

The rural land capability assessment has been conducted in accordance with the standard NSW eight class system (Cunningham *et al*, undated). The system is based on the assessment of biophysical soil properties, with categories of land based on limitations such as erosion hazard, climate and slope. It recognises three types of landuse *viz*.:

- Land suitable for cultivation (Classes I to III).
- Land suitable for grazing (Classes IV to VI).
- Land not suitable for rural production (Classes VII and VIII).

Rural land capability assessment based on the 1:100,000 Land Capability Series Sheet 8833 – Gulgong (Soil Conservation Service of NSW, 1982) identified four classes within the Project area (Classes IV to VII). Field survey undertaken by JAMMEL Environmental & Planning Services Pty Ltd in July 2004 delineated areas mapped as Class VI capability with isolated occurrences of surface soil salinity in the north of the Project area. These saline soil areas were localised where water logging and salt concentration has occurred. The land capability classes identified in the Project area are described in Section M5.2.

M5.2 LAND CAPABILITY CLASSES OF THE PROJECT AREA

The land capability classes specific to the Project area are detailed below and shown on Figure M-4.

M5.2.1 Class IV

Land not capable of being regularly cultivated but suitable for grazing with occasional cultivation with soil conservation practices such as pasture improvement, stock control, application of fertiliser and minimal cultivation for the establishment or re-establishment of permanent pasture (Cunningham et al., undated).

The majority of the valley floor in the Project area is considered to be Class IV capability. Slopes within the mapped area generally range between 1 to 6% with the soil types being generally yellow podzolic or red podzolic. These soils are of typically of low fertility with moderate to high erosion potential. Other limitations of these areas include shallow soil depth and localised surface soil salinity caused by water logging and evapo-concentration of salts.

The land is considered incapable of regular cultivation of annual crops because of these limitations, however it would be capable of occasional cultivation for the establishment of permanent pasture, provided soil conservation practices were employed.

M5.2.2 Class V

Land not capable of being regularly cultivated but suitable for grazing with occasional cultivation and structural soil conservation works such as absorption banks, diversion banks and contour ripping, together with the practices as in Class IV (ibid.).

Class V capability land is generally associated with mid slopes of the Project area which typically range from 1 to 15%. The main limitations of these areas include shallow soil depth, erosion hazard and evidence of localised surface soil salinity caused by water logging and evapo-concentration of salts.

The land is not considered capable of regular cultivation of annual crops because of the limitations described above, however capability would extend to occasional cultivation with the use of structural soil conservation works.

M5.2.3 Class VI

Land not capable of being regularly cultivated but suitable for grazing with soil conservation practices including limitation of stock, broadcasting of seed and fertiliser, prevention of fire and destruction of vermin. This class may require some structural works (ibid.).

Class VI land is generally associated with the mid slopes and areas of gully and sheet erosion within the Project area. The predominant soil types within Class VI capability are red podzolic and alluvials along Cumbo Creek. Fertility of these soil types is generally low, with moderate to high erosion potential.

Class VI land is also characterised by seepages within Class IV land. Areas of saline Class VI land were identified within the Project area including a seepage into Cumbo Creek in the south-east, and four isolated seepages along the northern boundary of MLA 1. The seeps are associated with the watercourses which drain the Project area. The main limitation of these areas is intermittent water logging and surface soil salinity.

The land is not considered capable of regular cultivation of annual crops because of these limitations, and is not suitable for grazing. Occasional cultivation would only be possible if major structural soil conservation works were undertaken.

M5.2.4 Class VII

Land best protected by green timber (ibid.).

Class VII capability land is restricted to the vegetated upper slopes and hill-tops to the east and west of the Project area. Class VII land is generally characterised by steeper slopes with moderate to high erosion potential. Class VII land is predominantly associated with lithosols occurring on the steeper slopes.

M5.2.5 Class VIII

Cliffs, lakes or swamps and other lands incapable of sustaining agricultural or pastoral production (ibid.).

Class VIII capability land is restricted to the elevated plateaus and escarpments associated with the Munghorn Gap Nature Reserve and Goulburn River National Park to the south and north of the Project area, respectively. Stony and gravelly soils (ie. lithosols) predominantly occur along these steeper slopes.

M6 AGRICULTURAL SUITABILITY ASSESSMENT

This agricultural suitability assessment draws on information available from the publications/studies sourced in this report, the Project area field survey (Section M3.1) and aerial photograph interpretation where necessary. It complements the soil resource information (Section M4) along with rural land capability assessment (Section M5) to provide an overall appraisal of the land resource.

M6.1 AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM

The agricultural suitability assessment was conducted in accordance with the five class system (Riddler, 1996), which classifies land according to its productivity for a wide range of agricultural activities.

Based on the Agricultural Land Classification of Mudgee Shire (unpublished) (NSW Agriculture, undated), the Project area comprises Classes 3 and 5 agricultural land (Figure M-5). Field survey undertaken by JAMMEL Environmental & Planning Services Pty Ltd in July 2004 also delineated Class 4 agricultural land. The agricultural land definitions for the respective classes within the Project area are detailed in Section M6.2.

M6.2 AGRICULTURAL SUITABILITY OF THE PROJECT AREA

The agricultural suitability classes specific to the Project area are detailed below and shown on Figure M-5.

M6.2.1 Class 3

Grazing land or land well suited to pasture improvement. It may be cultivated or cropped in rotation with pasture. The overall production level is moderate because of edaphic or environmental constraints. Erosion hazard, soil structural breakdown and other factors including climate may limit the capacity for cultivation, and soil conservation or drainage works may be required (ibid.).

Class 3 agricultural suitability land is predominant on the valley floor and lower slopes of the Project area. Cattle and sheep grazing on pastures currently dominate landuse in Class 3 areas. Erosion hazard, soil structural breakdown and climatic factors limit the capacity for cultivation.

Class 3 areas also include isolated occurrences of surface soil salinity in the north of the Project area where water logging and salt concentration occurs.

M6.2.2 Class 4

Land suitable for grazing but not for cultivation. Agriculture is based on native pastures or improved pastures established using minimum tillage techniques. Production may be seasonally high but the overall production level is low as a result of major environmental constraints (ibid.).

Class 4 agricultural land is associated with the lower slopes in the south-east of the Project area. Class 4 lands in the Project area are generally characterised by solodic soils and lower fertility land.

M6.2.3 Class 5

Land unsuitable for agriculture or at best suited to only light grazing. Agricultural production is very low to zero as a result of severe constraints, including economic factors, which preclude land improvement (ibid.).

Class 5 agricultural land is associated with the escarpments and lower hills within and adjacent the Project area. Class 5 areas are generally characterised by steeper slopes and lower fertility land.

M7 SOIL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

General soil resource management practices where surface development is proposed within the Project area should involve the stripping and stockpiling of soil resources prior to any mine-related disturbance, other than clearing vegetation. The general strategy should be undertaken for those disturbance areas to be rehabilitated progressively, or at the completion of mining activities.

The objectives of the soil resource management strategies are to:

- Optimise the recovery of topsoil and subsoil available for rehabilitation.
- Identify and quantify potential soil resource.
- Manage topsoil and subsoil reserves so as not to degrade the resource.
- Assist in development of soil stripping and stockpiling procedures.
- Establish effective methods for utilising available soil reserves in future rehabilitation works.

M7.1 CONCEPTUAL SOIL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES

Conceptual soil resource management strategies proposed for the disturbance areas within the Project area are detailed in Table M-5.

Prior to Commencement of Soil Stripping Activities	During Soil Stripping and Stockpiling Activities	Prior to and During Rehabilitation Activities
 Quantification of soil resources (see below). Characterisation of the suitability of material for rehabilitation purposes (Section M7.2). 	 Minimise over-clearing. Selective stockpiling of soil according to soil type (ie. Great Soil Group, topsoil, subsoil) and soil salinity. 	 Implementation of amelioration measures to ensure the long- term viability of the soil resources and manage surface soil salinity (Section M7.3). Management of soil suitability for
 Formulation of stripping and stockpiling guidelines including the nomination of appropriate depths, scheduling, and location of areas to be stripped and stockpile locations (detailed in the Mining Operations Plan). 	 Storage of soils in a manner that does not compromise the long- term viability of the resource (Section M7.3). 	 Management of soil suitability for rehabilitation (Section M7.2). Progressive rehabilitation of final landforms as soon as practicable after completion or when areas are no longer required.

Table M-5 Conceptual Soil Resource Management Strategies

Soil stripping activities would occur within the proposed open cut mine and contained infrastructure areas (some 1,920 ha). Soil type mapping indicates these disturbance areas would predominantly occur on yellow podzolic and red podzolic soils and some areas where earthy sands, brown earths, alluvials and lithosols occur. Disturbance of areas where solodic soils occur would be minimal.

Table M-6 indicates the approximate area each soil type occupies within the Project disturbance area, the recommended stripping depth and approximate volume of topsoil material that would be available for rehabilitation purposes.

Preliminary material balance calculations based on the recommended topsoil stripping depths outlined in Table M-6 indicate an approximate topsoil volume of 3,300,000 m³ would be available from the Project disturbance areas. Should rehabilitation activities require additional topsoil material, red podzolic soils could be stripped up to a further 30 centimetres (cm) before intersection with the B horizon. Subsoil³ across the Project disturbance areas would also be suitable for selective use as a subsoil medium for plant growth. Trials of various surface treatments (including subsoil and topsoil depths) should be conducted during the Project life.

Quantification of soil resources available for rehabilitation works, stripping and re-application schedules and stockpiling inventories should be included as part of the Mining Operations Plan (MOP) in accordance with the requirements of the Department of Primary Industries-Mineral Resources during mining operations.

Soil Type	Recommended Stripping Depth (cm)	Stripping Area (ha)	Volume (m ³)
Red Podzolic	15	1,080	1,620,000
Yellow Podzolic	20	585	1,170,000
Brown Earth	25	13	32,500
Alluvial	30	30	90,000
Earthy Sand	30	125	375,000
Lithosol	0	85	0
Yellow Solodic	0	2	0
Total	N/A	1,920	3,287,500

Table M-6 Topsoil Resource Availability

³ Including topsoil resource unsuitable for topdressing purposes (i.e. yellow solodic soils).

M7.2 SOIL SUITABILITY FOR REHABILITATION PURPOSES AND MANAGEMENT PRACTICES

Chemical and physical assessment of the soil properties within the Project disturbance area (Attachment MB) indicate that the soil resource in Table M-6 would be suitable for rehabilitation purposes provided appropriate management practices are implemented and relevant amelioration measures are applied where necessary.

All soils throughout the Project disturbance area were found to be neutral to slightly acidic and phosphorus levels were all low. The cation exchange capacity (CEC) for most soil types was moderate to low. These levels have the potential to limit structural stability and nutrient availability for plant growth. Soil nutritional issues can be addressed through the application of an appropriate fertiliser prior to re-application on completed landforms during rehabilitation activities.

Exchangeable sodium percentage (ESP) levels for yellow podzolic soils in localised saline areas (Sites 44 and 46) were elevated (i.e. greater than 6%) and the salinity levels (EC_{se}) were greater than 4.5 deciSiemens per metre (dS/m). Elevated ESP levels were also measured for red podzolic and brown earths from the eastern side of the Project disturbance areas. The yellow podzolic soils outside of the saline areas did not exhibit elevated ESP levels, however further testing is recommended during stripping activities. In order to manage potential problems during rehabilitation activities associated with elevated ESP levels in these soils (i.e. crusting, reduction in moisture infiltration, inhibition of vegetation establishment) a soil ameliorant may be applied (e.g. gypsum) at an appropriate rate.

Elevated ESP and EC_{se} levels (5.56 dS/cm) were exhibited by the yellow solodic soils (Sites 6 and 42) B horizon which, by its chemical nature, could be unstable when the soil becomes wet. The A horizon also exhibited structural problems in the form of high ESP values. It is therefore recommended that this soil type be buried so as not to have adverse effects on rehabilitation success and that the use of this soil type for topdressing purposes be avoided.

 EC_{se} levels recorded in earthy sands ranged form 0.91 to 6.36 dS/m. These soils have poor structural strength due to their granular structure and weak consistency. Should topsoil stockpiling occur prior to topdressing, a level of leaching would occur reducing the EC_{se} levels due to the physical and chemical characteristics of this soil type. Additionally, use of this soil type to blend with clayey-textured soils would help in creating a good soil medium for plant growth during rehabilitation.

Very low calcium/magnesium ratios were exhibited by all soil types identifying low exchangeable calcium and elevated exchangeable magnesium levels. High magnesium levels (ie. >25% of CEC) can lead to clay dispersion. This potential problem can be overcome by applying a soil ameliorant such as gypsum at an appropriate rate during rehabilitation activities.

Some soil samples exhibiting a slight acidic trend also indicated elevated levels of manganese. Manganese toxicity to plant growth can be overcome by correcting the soil pH with appropriate application of lime.

M7.3 MEASURES TO ENSURE THE LONG-TERM VIABILITY OF SOIL RESOURCES AND TO MANAGE SOIL SALINITY

The following soil stockpile management practices would improve the long-term viability of the soil resource:

- Soil stockpiles to be located outside of active mining areas.
- Stockpiles to be constructed with a rough surface condition to reduce erosion hazard, improve drainage and promote revegetation.
- Stockpiles which are to be inactive for extended periods to be fertilised and seeded to maintain soil structure, organic matter and microbial activity.
- Silt fences to be installed around soil stockpiles to control potential loss of soil where necessary.
- Soil stockpiles to be deep-ripped to establish aerobic conditions, prior to re-application of stockpiled soil for rehabilitation.

For the purposes of addressing potential soil salinity and dispersivity issues it is also recommended that:

- where practicable, saline soil types should be stockpiled separately and then placed in mine waste rock emplacements below the topsoil/subsoil cover layer; and
- gypsum be applied at an appropriate rate to stockpiles of dispersive soil types where necessary.

Details of the above management strategies and practices including timing of implementation and relevant methodology should be included in the MOP.

M8 REFERENCES

- Australian and New Zealand Environment and Conservation Council (ANZECC) (2000) Australian and New Zealand Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water Quality.
- Cunningham, G.M., Higginson, F.R., Riddler, A.M.H. and Emery, K.A. (undated) Systems Used to Classify Rural Lands in New South Wales. Soil Conservation Service of NSW and NSW Department of Agriculture.

Department of Land and Water Conservation (DLWC) (1998) Soil Landscapes of the Dubbo 1:250,000 Sheet.

- Department of Land and Water Conservation (DLWC) (2000) Soil and Landscape Issues in Environmental Impact Assessment.
- Environmental Geochemistry International Pty Ltd (EGi) (2005) Wilpinjong Coal Project Assessment of the Acid Forming Potential and Salinity of Overburden, Coal and Coal Washery Waste.
- NSW Agriculture (undated) Agricultural Land Classification of Mudgee Shire (unpublished). Marked-up field sheets on 1:50,000 Series Sheet prepared by the Central Mapping Authority.
- Riddler, A.M.H. (1996) Agfact SCG Agricultural Suitability Maps Uses and Limitations. NSW Agriculture, Orange.

Soil Conservation Service of NSW (1982) 1:100,000 Land Capability Series Sheet 8833 - Gulgong.

Stace, C.F., Hubble, G.D., Brewer, R., Northcote, K.H., Sleeman, J.R., Mulcahy, M.J. and Hallsworth, E.G. (1968) *A Handbook of Australian Soils*. Rellinn Technical Publications, South Australia. FIGURES





WIL-04-01Soils_002C



WIL-04-01 Soils_003D



WIL-04-01 Soils_004E



WIL-04-01 Soils_005C

ATTACHMENT MA

SOIL PROFILE DESCRIPTIONS

(JAMMEL Environmental and Planning Services Pty Ltd, 2004)

s	ite No:	1	2	3	4
	ates (AGD 66)	S 32 ⁰ 19.370'	S 32 ⁰ 19.670'	S 32 ⁰ 20.129'	S 32 ⁰ 20.563'
e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e		E 149 ⁰ 54.731'	E 149 ⁰ 54.420'	E 149 ⁰ 54.289'	E 149 ⁰ 54.427'
Runoff:		Medium	Medium	Medium	Rapid/Medium
	meability:	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Slow/Moderate
_	rainage:	Moderate	Slow	Slow	Slow
	andform:	Lower Slope	Mid Slope	Mid Slope	Flat
	Disturbance:	Cleared for grazing	Cleared for grazing	Cleared for grazing	Minor disturbance by logging & track construction
L	anduse:	Grazing	Grazing	Grazing	Opportunistic Grazing
	Slope:	3%	2%	1%	1%
Vegetation:	Dom. spp./stratum:	Voluntary/Native Grasses - Degraded	Native Grasses	Native Grasses	Native Trees
	Height:	Nil	Nil	Nil	Up to 15 m
	% Foliage Cover:	Nil	Nil	Nil	65%
Mi	crorelief:	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Erosion:	Type:	Sheet/Rill	Sheet/Rill	Sheet/Rill	Sheet/Rill
	Degree:	Minor	Minor	Minor	Moderate
Surface Co	parse Fragments:	Yes (Rock Picked)	Yes (Rock Picked)	Yes (Rock Picked)	Nil
Roc	k Outcrop:	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Salini	ty Evidence:	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Soil C	lassification:	Yellow Podzolic	Red Podzolic	Red Podzolic	Red Podzolic
		(Dy2.43)	(Dr3.23)	(Dr3.23)	(Dr2.13)
Horizon:	Depth:	A ₁ 0 - 20	A ₁ 0 - 20	A ₁ 0 - 20	A ₁ 0 - 20
		A ₂ 20 - 45	A ₂ 20 - 55	A ₂ 20 - 60	A ₂ 20 - 60
		B 45 +	B 55+	B 60+	B 60+
	Boundary:	Sharp	Sharp	Sharp	Clear
	Colour:	A ₁ 10yr6/3	A ₁ 7.5yr4/4	A ₁ 7.5yr4/6	A ₁ 7.5yr5/4
		A ₂ 7.5yr8/4 Bleached	A ₂ 10yr7/6 Bleached	A ₂ 7.5yr5/8 Bleached	A ₂ 7.5yr5/6
		B 10yr6/6	B 7.5yr5/6	B 7.5yr8/4	B 10yr7/6
	Mottles:	A ₁ Nil	A ₁ Nil	A ₁ Nil	A ₁ Nil
		A ₂ Nil	A ₂ Nil	A ₂ Nil	A ₂ Nil
		B Yes	B Nil	B Nil	B Nil
	Texture:	A ₁ Sandy Loam	A ₁ Sandy Loam	A ₁ Silty Loam	A ₁ Loam
		A ₂ Sandy Loam	A ₂ Silty Clay	A ₂ Silty Clay	A ₂ Light Clay
		B Sandy Clay	B Silty Clay	B Light Clay	B Medium Clay
	Coarse	A ₁ Nil	A ₁ Nil	A ₁ Nil	A ₁ Nil
	Fragments:	A ₂ Nil	A ₂ Nil	A ₂ Nil	A ₂ Nil
		B Nil	B Nil	B Nil	B Nil
	Structure:		Soil Profile Augured.	No structure identified.	
	Consistency:	A ₁ Weak	A ₁ Weak	A ₁ Weak	A ₁ Hard
		A ₂ Weak	A ₂ Weak	A ₂ Weak	A ₂ Moderate
		B Moderate	B Moderate	B Moderate	B Moderate
	Field pH:	A ₁ 4.5	A ₁ 5.5	A ₁ 5.5	A1 5
		A ₂ 5	A ₂ 6	A ₂ 6	A ₂ 7
		B 7	B 7	B 6.5	B 8
	Segregations:	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil

Wilpinjong Coal Project Soil Profile Descriptions

Coordina	ite No:	5	6	7	8
	ates (AGD 66)	S 32 ⁰ 20.610'	S 32 ⁰ 21.495'	S 32 ⁰ 22.990'	S 32 ⁰ 20.287'
	. ,	E 149 ⁰ 53.994'	E 149 ⁰ 54.591'	E 149 ⁰ 54.490'	E 149 ⁰ 53.879'
Runoff:		Slow	Rapid/Medium	Rapid/Medium	Slow
Perr	meability:	Quick	Slow/Moderate	Slow/Moderate	Quick
Dr	rainage:	Moderate	Very Slow	Slow	Rapid
La	Indform:	Flat	Flat	Mid Slope	Flat
Site D	Disturbance:	Cleared for grazing	Minor disturbance by logging & track construction	Minor disturbance by logging & track construction	Cleared for grazing
La	anduse:	Grazing	Opportunistic Grazing	Opportunistic Grazing	Grazing
(Slope:	1%	1%	1%	< 1%
Vegetation:	Dom. spp./stratum:	Voluntary/Native Grasses	Native Trees	Native Trees	Voluntary/Native Grasses
	Height:	Nil	Greater than 15 m	Up to 10 m	Nil
	% Foliage Cover:	Nil	55%	25%	Nil
Mic	crorelief:	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Erosion:	Type:	Sheet/Rill	Sheet/Rill	Sheet/Rill	Sheet
	Degree:	Minor	Severe	Minor	Minor
Surface Co	arse Fragments:	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Rock	k Outcrop:	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Salinit	y Evidence:	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Soil Cl	assification:	Brown Earth	Yellow Solodic	Red Podzolic	Alluvial
		(Gn2.41)	(Dy3.41)	(Dr4.22)	(Uc4.43)
Horizon:	Depth:	A ₁ 0 - 40	A ₁ 0 - 15	A ₁ 0 - 10	A ₁ 0 – 25
		B 40 – 50 Refusal	A ₂ 15 - 45	A ₂ 10 - 30	A ₂ 25 - 65
			B 45 +	B 30+	B 65 +
-	Boundary:	Clear	Sharp	Sharp	Gradual
	Colour:	A ₁ 7.5yr4/6	A ₁ 7.5yr6/3	A ₁ 7.5yr7/3	A ₁ 7.5yr4/4
		B 7.5r6/6	A ₂ 7.5yr8/3 Bleached	A ₂ 7.5yr8/3 Bleached	A ₂ 7.5yr7/4
			B 10yr7/3	B 10yr5/6	B 7.5yr5/6
	Mottles:	A ₁ Nil	A ₁ Nil	A ₁ Nil	A ₁ Nil
		B Nil	A ₂ Nil	A ₂ Nil	A ₂ Nil
			B Yes	B Nil	B Yes
	Texture:	A ₁ Loam	A ₁ Silty Loam	A ₁ Silty Loam	A ₁ Silty Loam
		B Loam	A ₂ Silty Loam	A ₂ Silty Loam	A ₂ Sandy Loam
			B Sandy Clay	B Light Clay	B Silty Clay
	Coarse	A ₁ Nil	A ₁ Nil	A ₁ Nil	A ₁ Nil
	Fragments:	B Nil	A ₂ Nil	A ₂ Nil	A ₂ Nil
			B Nil	B Yes 5 – 15 mm	B Nil
	Structure:	Soil profile augured.	A ₁ Granular	A ₁ Polyhedral	A ₁ Granular
		No structure identified.	A ₂ Granular	A ₂ Polyhedral	A ₂ Granular
			B Polyhedral	B Polyhedral	B Granular
-	A		A ₁ Weak	A ₁ Weak	A ₁ Weak
	Consistency:	A ₁ Weak			
	Consistency:	A ₁ Weak B Moderate	A ₂ Weak	A ₂ Weak	A ₂ Weak
		B Moderate	A ₂ Weak B Moderate	B Moderate	B Weak
	Consistency: Field pH:	B Moderate A ₁ 5.5	A ₂ Weak B Moderate A ₁ 5	B Moderate A ₁ 6.5	B Weak A ₁ 6
		B Moderate	A ₂ Weak B Moderate	B Moderate	B Weak

5	Site No:	9	10	11	12
Coordinates (AGD 66)		S 32 ⁰ 19.506'	S 32 ⁰ 19.589'	S 32 ⁰ 19.963'	S 32 ⁰ 20.210'
		E 149 ⁰ 54.245'	E 149 ⁰ 53.867'	E 149 ⁰ 53.895'	E 149 ⁰ 53.647'
	Runoff:	Medium	Medium	Low	Medium
Per	rmeability:	Moderate	Moderate	Quick	Moderate
D	rainage:	Moderate	Slow	Moderate	Moderate
La	andform:	Mid Slope	Mid Slope	Flat	Flat
Site [Disturbance:	Cleared for grazing	Cleared for grazing / cultivation	Cleared for grazing	Cleared for grazing
L	anduse:	Grazing	Grazing	Grazing	Grazing
	Slope:	2%	1%	< 1%	1%
Vegetation:	Dom. spp./stratum:	Native Grasses	Native Grasses	Grasses Saline spp.	Native Grasses
	Height:	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
	% Foliage Cover:	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Mi	icrorelief:	Nil	Nil		Nil
Erosion:	Туре:	Sheet/Rill	Sheet/Rill	Sheet	Sheet/Rill
	Degree:	Minor	Minor	Minor	Minor
Surface Co	barse Fragments:	Nil	Yes (Rocked Picked)	Nil	Nil
Roc	k Outcrop:	Nil	Nil	Nil	Yes
Salini	ty Evidence:	Nil	Nil	Yes - Adjacent to Cumbo Creek	Nil
Soil C	lassification:	Brown Earth	Red Podzolic	Alluvial	Red Podzolic
		(Gn2.43)	(Dr5.43)	(Uc4.22)	(Dr2.63)
Horizon:	Depth:	A ₁ 0 - 25	A ₁ 0 - 20	A ₁ 0 - 25	A ₁ 0 - 25
		B 25 +	A ₂ 20 - 50	B 25 +	A ₂ 25 - 75
			B 50+		B 75+
	Boundary:	Gradual	Sharp	Uniform	Sharp
	Colour:	A ₁ 7.5yr4/4	A ₁ 7.5yr5/4	A ₁ 10yr2/2	A ₁ 7.5yr5/6
		B 5yr5/8	A ₂ 7.5yr6/8 Bleached B 10yr5/6	B 10yr4/1	A ₂ 7.5yr7/8 Bleached B 7.5yr6/4
	Mottles:	A ₁ Nil	A ₁ Nil	A ₁ Nil	A ₁ Nil
		B Nil	A ₂ Yes	B Nil	A ₂ Nil
			B Yes		B Nil
	Texture:	A ₁ Silty Loam	A ₁ Silty Loam	A ₁ Silty Loam	A ₁ Loam
		B Sandy Loam	A ₂ Light Clay	B Silty Loam	A ₂ Light Clay
			B Silty Clay		B Light Clay
	Coarse	A ₁ Nil	A ₁ Nil	A ₁ Nil	A ₁ Nil
	Fragments:	B Nil	A ₂ Nil	B Nil	A ₂ Nil
			B Nil		B Nil
	Structure:	A1 Granular	A ₁ Polyhedral	A1 Granular	Soil profile augured.
		B Granular	A ₂ Polyhedral	B Granular	No structure
			B Polyhedral		identified.
	Consistency:	A ₁ Weak	A ₁ Weak	A ₁ Weak	A ₁ Weak
		B Weak	A ₂ Moderate	A ₂ Weak	A ₂ Weak
			B Moderate	B Weak	B Weak
	Field pH:	A ₁ 6.5	A ₁ 4	A ₁ 7.5	A ₁ 5.5
		B 8	A ₂ 5.5	B 8.5	A ₂ 5.5
			B 7		B 6
	Segregations:	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil

	Site No:	13	14	15	16
Coordin	ates (AGD 66)	S 32 ⁰ 20.609'	S 32 ⁰ 20.472'	S 32 ⁰ 20.961'	S 32 ⁰ 21.373'
、 <i>,</i> ,		E 149 ⁰ 53.193'	E 149 ⁰ 52.932'	E 149 ⁰ 52.911'	E 149 ⁰ 52.354'
	Runoff:	Rapid/Medium	Medium/Rapid	Medium	Medium
Pe	rmeability:	Slow/Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Quick
	Prainage:	Slow	Moderate	Moderate	Rapid
	andform:	Mid Slope	Mid Slope	Mid Slope	Flat
	Disturbance:	Cleared for grazing	Cleared for grazing	Cleared for grazing	Cleared for grazing
	anduse:	Grazing	Grazing	Grazing	Grazing
	Slope:	2%	2%	2%	2%
Vegetation:	Dom. spp./stratum:	Native Grasses	Voluntary/Native Pasture	Native Pasture	Voluntary/Native Pasture
	Height:	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
	% Foliage Cover:	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
М	icrorelief:	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Erosion:	Туре:	Sheet/Rill	Sheet/Rill	Sheet/Rill	Sheet/Rill
21001011.	Degree:	Severe	Minor	Minor	Minor
Surface C	oarse Fragments:	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
	ck Outcrop:	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
	ity Evidence:	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
	Classification:	Red Podzolic	Red Podzolic	Red Podzolic	Earthy Sands
3011 C	assincation.	(Dr2.63)	(Dr3.13)	(Dr3.13)	(Uc4.21)
Horizon:	Depth:		· · · · · ·	· · · · ·	A 0 – 25
Horizon:	Depth.	A ₁ 0 - 45	A ₁ 0 - 20	A ₁ 0 - 15	A 0 – 25 B 25 – 50 Refusal
		A ₂ 45 - 90	A ₂ 20 - 60	A ₂ 15 - 40	B 25 – 50 Reiusai
	Development	B 90 +	B 60 +	B 40 +	Orrectional
	Boundary:	Sharp	Clear	Clear	Gradual
	Colour:	A ₁ 7.5yr8/3	A ₁ 7.5yr4/4	A ₁ 7.5yr4/4	A 7.5yr5/6 (Yellowish Brown)
		A ₂ 10yr6/4 B 7.5yr5/6	A ₂ 7.5yr5/6 B 7.5yr7/6	A ₂ 7.5yr5/6 B 7.5yr7/6	B 7.5yr7/8 (Yellow Orange)
	Mottles:	A ₁ Nil	A ₁ Nil	A ₁ Nil	Nil
		A ₂ Nil	A ₂ Nil	A ₂ Nil	
		B Nil	B Nil	B Nil	
	Texture:	A₁ Silt Clay	A ₁ Loam	A ₁ Loam	A Sandy Loam
		A ₂ Gravel Clay	A ₂ Loam	A ₂ Loam	B Sandy Loam
		B Light Clay	B Light Clay	B Light Clay	,
	Coarse	A ₁ Nil	A ₁ Nil	A ₁ Nil	A Nil
	Fragments:	A ₂ Nil	A ₂ Nil	A ₂ Nil	B Nil
		B Nil	B Nil	B Nil	
	Structure:	A ₁ Polyhedral		No structure identified.	A Granular
		A ₂ Polyhedral			B Granular
		B Polyhedral			
	Consistency:	A ₁ Hard	A ₁ Weak	A ₁ Weak	A Weak
		A ₂ Moderate	A ₂ Moderate	A ₂ Moderate	B Weak
		B Moderate	B Moderate	B Moderate	
	Field pH:	A ₁ 6	A ₁ 5	A ₁ 5	A 5
		A ₂ 6.5	A ₂ 5.5	A ₂ 6	В 6
		B 7	B 6	B 6.5	

	Site No:	17	18	19	20
Coordinates (AGD 66)		S 32 ⁰ 20.920'	S 32 ⁰ 20.494'	S 32 ⁰ 20.072'	S 32 ⁰ 19.923'
		E 149 ⁰ 52.523'	E 149 ⁰ 52.629'	E 149 ⁰ 53.341'	E 149 ⁰ 52.771'
	Runoff:	Medium	Medium	Low	Medium
Pe	rmeability:	Quick	Moderate	Quick	Slow/Moderate
	Prainage:	Rapid	Moderate	Rapid	Slow
	andform:	Mid Slope	Flat	Ridge	Flat
	Disturbance:	Cleared for grazing	Cleared for grazing	Cleared for grazing	Cleared for grazing
	anduse:	Grazing	Grazing	Grazing	Grazing
	Slope:	2%	1%	3%	1%
Vegetation:	Dom.	Voluntary/Native	Voluntary/Native	Voluntary/Native	Voluntary/Native
vegetation.	spp./stratum:	Pasture & Exotics	Pasture & Legumes	Pasture	Pasture & Legumes
	Height:	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
	% Foliage Cover:	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Μ	icrorelief:	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Erosion:	Туре:	Sheet/Rill	Sheet/Gully	Sheet/Rill	Sheet/Gully
	Degree:	Minor	Minor	Minor	Moderate
Surface Co	oarse Fragments:	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Roc	k Outcrop:	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Salini	ity Evidence:	Nil	Nil	Nil	Saline Areas
Soil C	lassification:	Earthy Sands	Yellow Podzolic	Red Podzolic	Yellow Podzolic
		(Uc4.21)	(Dy3.82)	(Dr4.63)	(Dy3.82)
Horizon:	Depth:	A 0-40	A ₁ 0 - 10	A ₁ 0 - 30	A ₁ 0 - 15
		B 40+	A ₂ 10 - 35	A ₂ 30 - 50	A ₂ 15 - 30
			B 35 +	B 50+	B 30+
	Boundary:	Gradual	Sharp	Clear	Clear
	Colour:	A 7.5yr5/6	A ₁ 7.5yr3/3	A ₁ 5yr4/6	A ₁ 7.5yr3/3
		(Yellowish Brown)	A ₂ 10yr7/6 Bleached	A ₂ 5yr5/8	A ₂ 10yr7/6 Bleached
		B 7.5yr7/8 (Yellow Orange)	B 10yr6/6	B 5y/r4/8	B 10yr6/6
	Mottles:	Nil	A ₁ Nil	A ₁ Nil	A ₁ Nil
			A ₂ Nil	A ₂ Nil	A ₂ Nil
			B Yes	B Nil	B Yes
	Texture:	A Sandy Loam	A ₁ Silty Loam	A ₁ Loamy Clay	A ₁ Silty Loam
		B Sandy Loam	A ₂ Sandy Loam	A ₂ Silty Clay	A ₂ Sandy Loam
			B Light Clay	B Medium Clay	B Light Clay
	Coarse	A Nil	A ₁ Nil	A ₁ Nil	A ₁ Nil
	1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1			
	Fragments:	B Nil	A ₂ Yes 20%	A ₂ Nil	A ₂ Yes 20%
	Fragments:	B Nil	A ₂ Yes 20% B Yes 15%	A ₂ Nil B Nil	A ₂ Yes 20% B Yes 15%
	Fragments: Structure:		B Yes 15%	B Nil	B Yes 15%
		A Granular	B Yes 15%		B Yes 15%
	Structure:	A Granular B Granular	B Yes 15% Soil profile	B Nil augured. No structure	B Yes 15% identified.
		A Granular B Granular A Weak	B Yes 15% Soil profile A ₁ Weak	B Nil augured. No structure A ₁ Weak	B Yes 15% identified. A ₁ Weak
	Structure:	A Granular B Granular	B Yes 15% Soil profile A ₁ Weak A ₂ Weak	B Nil augured. No structure A ₁ Weak A ₂ Weak	B Yes 15% identified. A ₁ Weak A ₂ Weak
	Structure: Consistency:	A Granular B Granular A Weak B Weak	B Yes 15% Soil profile A ₁ Weak A ₂ Weak B Moderate	B Nil augured. No structure A ₁ Weak A ₂ Weak B Weak	B Yes 15% identified. A ₁ Weak A ₂ Weak B Moderate
	Structure:	A Granular <u>B Granular</u> A Weak B Weak A 5	B Yes 15% Soil profile A ₁ Weak A ₂ Weak B Moderate A ₁ 5.5	B Nil e augured. No structure A ₁ Weak A ₂ Weak B Weak A ₁ 5	B Yes 15% identified. A ₁ Weak A ₂ Weak B Moderate A ₁ 5.5
	Structure: Consistency:	A Granular B Granular A Weak B Weak	B Yes 15% Soil profile A ₁ Weak A ₂ Weak B Moderate	B Nil augured. No structure A ₁ Weak A ₂ Weak B Weak	B Yes 15% identified. A ₁ Weak A ₂ Weak B Moderate

s	ite No:	21	22	23	24
Coordinates (AGD 66)		S 32 ⁰ 19.769'	S 32 ⁰ 19.877'	S 32 ⁰ 20.371'	S 32 ⁰ 21.052'
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		E 149 ⁰ 52.390'	E 149 ⁰ 52.325'	E 149 ⁰ 52.037'	E 149 ⁰ 51.648'
F	Runoff:	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium
Per	meability:	Slow/Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate
Di	rainage:	Slow	Well Drained	Well Drained	Slow
La	andform:	Flat	Mid Slope	Ridge	Flat
Site D	Disturbance:	Cleared for grazing	Cleared for grazing	Minor disturbance by logging & track construction	Cleared for grazing/Intensive agriculture
La	anduse:	Grazing	Grazing	Opportunistic Grazing	Horticulture
	Slope:	1%	2%	11%	2%
Vegetation:	Dom. spp./stratum:	Voluntary/Native Pasture	Native Grasses	Native Trees	Voluntary/Native Pasture
	Height:	Nil	Nil	Greater than 15 m	Nil
	% Foliage Cover:	Nil	Nil	60%	Nil
Mi	crorelief:	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Erosion:	Type:	Sheet/Gully	Sheet/Gully	Sheet/Gully	Sheet/Rill
	Degree:	Moderate	Minor	Moderate	Minor
Surface Co	arse Fragments:	Nil	Nil	Yes	Nil
Roc	k Outcrop:	Nil	Nil	Yes Sandstone/ conglomerate	Nil
Salinit	ty Evidence:	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Soil C	lassification:	Yellow Podzolic (Dy3.82)	Red Podzolic (Dr4.36)	Red Podzolic (Dr 3.21)	Yellow Podzolic (Dy2.42)
Horizon:	Depth:	A ₁ 0 - 15	A ₁ 0 - 25	A ₁ 0 - 35	A ₁ 0 - 20
		A ₂ 15 - 30	A ₂ 25 - 50	A ₂ 35 - 45	A ₂ 20 - 40
		B 30 +	B 50 +	B 45 +	B 40 +
	Boundary:	Clear	Sharp	Clear	Sharp
	Colour:	A ₁ 7.5yr3/3	A ₁ 7.5yr44	A ₁ 2.5yr5/4	A ₁ 10yr3/4
		A ₂ 10yr7/6 Bleached	A ₂ 7.5yr4/6	A ₂ 5yr7/4 Bleached	A ₂ 10yr8/4 Bleached
		B 10yr6/6	B 7.5yr4/6	B 2.5yr4.6	B 10yr7/6
	Mottles:	A ₁ Nil	A ₁ Nil	A ₁ Nil	A ₁ Nil
		A ₂ Nil	A ₂ Nil	A ₂ Nil	A ₂ Nil
		B Yes	B Nil	B Yes	B Yes
	Texture:	A ₁ Silty Loam	A ₁ Silty Loam	A ₁ Sand	A ₁ Loam
		A ₂ Sandy Loam	A ₂ Sandy Loam	A ₂ Sand	A ₂ Clay Loam
		B Light Clay	B Sandy Clay	B Sandy Loam	B Sandy Light Clay
	Coarse	A ₁ Nil	A ₁ Nil	A ₁ Yes 5-10 mm	A ₁ Nil
	Fragments:	A ₂ Yes 20 %	A ₂ Yes 5-10 mm	A ₂ Yes 5-10 mm	A ₂ Nil
		B Yes 15%	B Yes 5-10 mm	B Nil	B Nil
Structure:		Soil profile augured.	No structure identified.	A₁ Granular A₂ Granular B Granular	Soil profile augured No structure identified.
	Consistency	A ₁ Weak	A ₁ Weak	A ₁ Weak	A ₁ Weak
	Consistency:	A ₁ Weak A ₂ Weak	A ₁ Weak A ₂ Weak	A ₁ Weak A ₂ Weak	A ₁ Weak A ₂ Weak
		B Moderate	B Weak	B Weak	B Moderate
	Field pH:	A ₁ 5.5	A ₁ 5.5	A ₁ 5.5	
	rieiu pri.				
		N - 6			
		A ₂ 6 B 7	A ₂ 5 B 5.5	A ₂ 5 B 5.5	A ₂ 6.5 B 5.5

s	ite No:	25	26	27	28
Coordina	ates (AGD 66):	S 32 ⁰ 20.594'	S 32 ⁰ 20.594'	S 32 ⁰ 19.685'	S 32 ⁰ 19.466'
		E 149 ⁰ 51.733'	E 149 ⁰ 51.733'	E 149 ⁰ 51.944'	E 149 ⁰ 51.747'
F	Runoff:	Rapid	Rapid	Medium	Rapid
Per	meability:	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate
	rainage:	Slow	Slow	Moderate	Well Drained
	indform:	Flat	Flat	Mid Slope	Mid Slope
Site D	Disturbance:	Cleared for grazing/Cropping	Cleared for grazing/Cropping	Cleared for grazing	Cleared for grazing
La	anduse:	Grazing	Grazing	Grazing	Grazing
	Slope:	2%	1%	2%	1%
Vegetation:	Dom. spp./stratum:	Voluntary/Native Pasture	Voluntary/Native Pasture	Voluntary/Native Pasture	Voluntary/Native Pasture
	Height:	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
	% Foliage Cover:	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Mi	crorelief:	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Erosion:	Type:	Sheet/Rill	Sheet/Rill	Sheet/Rill	Sheet/Rill
	Degree:	Moderate	Moderate	Minor	Minor
Surface Co	arse Fragments:	Nil	Nil	Nil	Yes
	k Outcrop:	Nil	Nil	Nil	Yes - Sandstone
	y Evidence:	Nil	Saline Area	Nil	Nil
	lassification:	Yellow Podzolic (Dy3.43)	Yellow Podzolic (Dy3.43)	Yellow Podzolic (Dy3.43)	Red Podzolic (Dr3.32)
Horizon:	Depth:	A ₁ 0 - 20	A ₁ 0 - 30	A ₁ 0 - 30	A ₁ 0 - 20
110112011.	Deptil.	A ₁ 0 - 20 A ₂ 20 - 40	A ₁ 0 - 30 A ₂ 30 - 60	A ₁ 0 - 50 A ₂ 30 - 40 Refusal	A ₁ 0 - 20 A ₂ 20 - 30
		B 40 +	B 60 +		B 30 - 45 Refusal
	Boundary:	Sharp	Clear		Clear
	Colour:	A ₁ 10yr3/4	A ₁ 10yr4/4		A ₁ 5yr5/3
	Colour.	A ₂ 10yr8/4 Bleached	A ₂ 7.5yr6/6 Bleached		A ₂ 5yr5/6
		B 10yr6/6	B 10yr8/6		B 2.5yr4/8
	Mottles:	A ₁ Nil	A ₁ Nil		A ₁ Nil
	Wotties.	A ₂ Nil	A ₂ Nil		A ₂ Nil
		B Yes	B Yes		B Yes
	Texture:	A ₁ Loam	A ₁ Loam		A ₁ Loam
	TOXICIO.	A ₂ Clay Loam	A ₂ Silty Loam		A ₂ Silty Loam
		B Light Clay	B Silty Clay		B Light Clay
	Coarse				
	Fragments:	A ₁ Nil	A ₁ Nil		A ₂ Nil
	-	B Nil	B Nil		B Yes 5 - 10 mm
	Structure:	D Nii	Soil profile augured.	No structure identified	B 1030 10 mm
	Consistency:	A ₁ Weak	A ₁ Weak		A ₁ Weak
	Consistency.	A ₁ Weak	A ₁ Weak		A ₁ Weak
		B Moderate	B Moderate		B Moderate
	Field pH:	A ₁ 5	A ₁ 5.5		A ₁ 5.5
	י ופוט או וי	A ₁ 5 A ₂ 5.5	A ₁ 5.5 A ₂ 6.0		A ₁ 5.5 A ₂ 5.5
		A ₂ 5.5 B 6.0	A ₂ 0.0 B 5.0		A ₂ 5.5 B 5.5
		0.0	5 5.0		0 0.0

S	Site No:	29	30	31	32
Coordinates (AGD 66)		S 32 ⁰ 18.962'	S 32 ⁰ 18.772'	S 32 ⁰ 19.928'	S 32 ⁰ 21.095'
		E 149 ⁰ 51.127'	E 149 ⁰ 50.539'	E 149 ⁰ 51.177'	E 149 ⁰ 50.864'
Runoff:		Rapid	Low	Medium	Rapid/Medium
Per	meability:	Moderate/Slow	Moderate/Quick	Moderate	Slow/Moderate
D	rainage:	Low	Low	Moderate	Moderate
	andform:	Mid Slope	Flat	Mid Slope	Lower Slope
Site D	Disturbance:	Cleared for grazing	Cleared for grazing	Cleared for grazing /Cropping	Cleared for grazing
La	anduse:	Grazing	Grazing	Cropping	Grazing
	Slope:	2%	2%	1%	2%
Vegetation:	Туре:	Voluntary/Native Grasses	Voluntary/Native Grasses	Stubble / Grazing	Voluntary/Native Grasses
	Height:	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
	% Foliage Cover:	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Mi	crorelief:	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Erosion:	Type:	Sheet/Rill	Sheet/Gully	Sheet/Gully	Rill/Gully
	Degree:	Minor	Moderate	Minor	Minor
Surface Co	parse Fragments:	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
	k Outcrop:	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
	ty Evidence:	Nil	Saline Area	Nil	Nil
	lassification:	Yellow Podzolic (Dy3.43)	Yellow Podzolic (Dy3.43)	Red Podzolic (Dr2.23)	Yellow Podzolic (Dy2.43)
Horizon:	Depth:	A ₁ 0 - 20	A ₁ 0 - 20	A ₁ 0 - 25	A ₁ 0 - 10
110112011.	Bopin.	A ₂ 20 - 50	A ₂ 20 - 40	A ₂ 25 - 45	A ₂ 10 - 20
		B 50 +	B 40 +	B 45 +	B 20 +
	Boundary:	Clear	Clear	Clear	Clear
	Colour:	A ₁ 7.5yr4/3	A ₁ 7.5yr4/3	A ₁ 7.5yr4/4	A ₁ 10yr4/3
	Colour	A_2 7.5yr7/3 Bleached	A ₂ 7.5yr7/3 Bleached	A ₂ 7.5yr6/8Bleached	A ₂ 10yr7/3 Bleached
		B 7.5yr6/6	B 7.5yr6/6	B 7.5yr4/8	B 10yr6/8
	Mottles:	A ₁ Nil	A ₁ Nil	A ₁ Nil	A ₁ Nil
	wotties.	A ₂ Nil	A ₂ Nil	A ₂ Nil	A ₂ Nil
		B Yes	B Yes	B Nil	B Yes
	Texture:	A ₁ Loam	A ₁ Sandy Loam	A ₁ Sandy Loam	A ₁ Silty Loam
	TOXICIO.	A ₂ Silty Loam	A ₂ Silty Loam	A ₂ Sandy Loam	A ₂ Silty Loam
		B Light Clay	B Light Clav	B Light Clay	B Light Clay
	Coarse	A ₁ Nil			
	Fragments:	A ₁ Nil	A ₁ Nil	A ₂ Nil	A ₁ Nil
	0	B Nil	B Nil	B Nil	B Nil
	Structure:			No structure identified.	
	Consistency:	A ₁ Weak	A ₁ Weak	A ₁ Weak	A ₁ Weak
	Consistency.	A ₁ Weak A ₂ Weak	A ₁ Weak	A ₁ Weak	A_1 Weak A_2 Weak
		B Moderate	B Moderate	B Moderate	B Moderate
	Field of the				
	Field pH:	A ₁ 4.5	A ₁ 5.5	A ₁ 5	A ₁ 5
		Λ. Ε	A . A	A. 66	N. E 5
		A ₂ 5 B 6	A ₂ 6 B 7	A ₂ 5.5 B 6	A ₂ 5.5 B 6

5	Site No:	33	34	35	36
Coordin	ates (AGD 66)	S 32 ⁰ 20.383'	S 32 ⁰ 20.208'	S 32 ⁰ 20.198'	S 32 ⁰ 19.769'
		E 149 ⁰ 50.888'	E 149 ⁰ 50.597'	E 149 ⁰ 50.371'	E 149 ⁰ 50.707'
Runoff:		Rapid	Rapid/Medium	Medium	Medium
Pe	rmeability:	Moderate	Slow/Moderate	Moderate	Moderate
D)rainage:	Slow	Slow	Well Drained	Moderate
	andform:	Flat	Flat	Mid Slope	Mid Slope
Site I	Disturbance:	Cleared for grazing	Cleared for grazing	Cleared for grazing	Cleared for grazing
L	anduse:	Grazing	Grazing	Grazing	Grazing
	Slope:	1%	1%	4%	3%
Vegetation:	Dom. spp./stratum:	Voluntary/Native Grasses	Sub Clover & Broad Leaves	Native Grasses	Native Grasses
	Height:	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
	% Foliage Cover:	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
М	icrorelief:	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Erosion:	Type:	Sheet/Rill	Sheet/Rill	Sheet/Rill	Sheet/Gully
	Degree:	Moderate	Minor	Moderate	Minor
Surface Co	oarse Fragments:	Nil	Nil	Yes - Sandstone/ Conglomerate	Nil
Roc	ck Outcrop:	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Salini	ity Evidence:	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Soil C	Classification:	Red Podzolic	Yellow Podzolic	Red Podzolic	Red Podzolic
		(Dr4.23))	(Dy3.41)	(Dr4.23)	(Dr4.23)
Horizon:	Depth:	A ₁ 0 - 25	A ₁ 0 - 20	A ₁ 0 - 20	A ₁ 0 - 20
		A ₂ 25 - 60	A ₂ 20 - 45	A ₂ 20 - 40	A ₂ 20 - 40 Refusal
		B 60+	B 45 +	B 40+	
	Boundary:	Clear	Clear	Clear	
	Colour:	A ₁ 7.5yr4/4	A ₁ 10yr7/3	A ₁ 10yr4/3	
		A ₂ 7.5yr8/6 Bleached	A ₂ 10yr7/3 Bleached	A ₂ 10yr7/4Bleached	
		B 10yr7/4	B 2.5yr7/3	B 10yr5/6	
	Mottles:	A ₁ Nil	A ₁ Nil	A ₁ Nil	
		A ₂ Nil	A ₂ Nil	A ₂ Nil	
		B Nil	B Yes	B Yes	
	Texture:	A ₁ Silty Loam	A ₁ Loam	A ₁ Silty Loam	
		A ₂ Silty Loam	A ₂ Sand Loam	A ₂ Silty Loam	
		B Silty Clay	B Sandy Clay	B Silty Clay	
	Coarse	A ₁ Nil	A ₁ Nil	A ₁ Nil	
	Fragments:	A ₂ Nil	A ₂ Nil	A ₂ Nil	
		B Nil	B Nil	B Nil	
	Structure:	No structure	No structure	A ₁	
		identified.	identified.	A ₂	
				B Yes 5 - 10 mm	
	Consistency:	A ₁ Weak	A ₁ Weak	A ₁ Weak	
		A ₂ Weak	A ₂ Weak	A ₂ Weak	
		B Moderate	B Moderate	B Moderate	
	Field pH:	A ₁ 4.5	A ₁ 4.5	A ₁ 5	
		A ₂ 5	A ₂ 5	A ₂ 6	
		B 5.5	B 5	B 6.5	
	Segregations:	Nil	Nil	Nil	

Site	No:	37	38	39	40
Coordinate	es (AGD 66)	S 32 ⁰ 19.284'	S 32 ⁰ 19.638'	Error	S 32 ⁰ 19.696'
		E 149 ⁰ 51.385'	E 149 ⁰ 51.489'		E 149 ⁰ 51.005'
Ru	noff:	Rapid	Rapid	Medium/Rapid	Rapid
Perme	eability:	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate/Slow	Moderate
Drai	nage:	Moderate	Well Drained	Low	Slow
	lform:	Mid Slope	Ridge	Flat	Flat
	turbance:	Cleared for grazing	Cleared for grazing	Cleared for grazing	Cleared for grazin
	duse:	Grazing	Grazing	Grazing	Grazing
	ope:	2%	3%	1%	1%
Vegetation:	Dom. spp./stratum:	Annual Grasses	Annual Grasses	Voluntary/Native Grasses	Voluntary/Native Grasses
	Height:	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
	% Foliage Cover:	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Micro	orelief:	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Erosion:	Type:	Sheet/Rill	Sheet/Rill	Sheet/Rill	Sheet/Rill
	Degree:	Moderate	Minor	Minor	Minor
Surface Coar	se Fragments:	Yes - Sandstone/ Conglomerate	Yes - Sandstone/ Mudstone	Nil	Nil
Rock C	Dutcrop:	Nil	Yes	Nil	Nil
Salinity I	Evidence:	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Soil Clas	sification:	Yellow Podzolic	Red Podzolic	Red Podzolic	Yellow Podzolic
		(Dr3.23)	(Dr4.23)	(Dy3.43)	(Dy3.43)
Horizon:	Depth:	A ₁ 0 - 25	A ₁ 0 - 20	A ₁ 0 - 20	A ₁ 0 - 20
		A ₂ 25 - 50	A ₂ 20 - 50	A ₂ 20 - 50	A ₂ 20 - 35
		B 50+	B 50+	B 50+	B 35+
	Boundary:	Clear	Clear	Clear	Clear
	Colour:	A ₁ 10yr4/4	A ₁ 7.5yr4/6	A ₁ 7.5yr4/3	A ₁ 10yr4/4
		A ₂ 10yr7/6Bleached	A ₂ 7.5yr6/6Bleached	A ₂ 7.5yr7/3 Bleached	A ₂ 10yr5/6Bleache
		B 10yr4/6	B 5yr4/8	B 7.5yr6/6	B 7.5yr5/4
	Mottles:	A ₁ Nil	A ₁ Nil	A ₁ Nil	A ₁ Nil
		A ₂ Nil	A ₂ Nil	A ₂ Nil	A ₂ Nil
		B Yes	B Nil	B Yes	B Yes
	Texture:	A ₁ Silty Loam	A ₁ Sandy Loam	A ₁ Loam	A ₁ Loam
		A ₂ Silty Loam	A ₂ Sand	A ₂ Silty Loam	A ₂ Light Clay
		B Light Clay	B Sandy Clay	B Light Clay	B Medium Cla
	Coarse	A ₁ Nil	A ₁ Nil	A ₁ Nil	A ₁ Nil
	Fragments:	A ₂ Nil	A ₂ Nil	A ₂ Nil	A ₂ Nil
		B Yes 5 - 10 mm	B Nil	B Nil	B Nil
	Structure:		Soil profile augured.		
	Consistency:	A ₁ Weak	A ₁ Weak	A ₁ Weak	A ₁ Weak
		A ₂ Weak	A ₂ Weak	A ₂ Weak	A ₂ Weak
		B Moderate	B Moderate	B Moderate	B Hard
	Field pH:	A ₁ 6	A ₁ 5	A ₁ 4.5	A ₁ 5
		A ₂ 5	A ₂ 6	A ₂ 5	A_2 6
		B 6.5	B 6.5	B 6	B 6.5

Site	No:	41	42	43	44
Coordinate	s (AGD 66)	S 32 ⁰ 19.376'	S 32 ⁰ 20.827'	S 32 ⁰ 20.633'	S 32 ⁰ 19.890'
		E 149 ⁰ 50.654'	E 149 ⁰ 54.211'	E 149 ⁰ 54.724'	E 149 ⁰ 50.959'
Rur	noff:	Medium	Rapid/Medium	Medium	Rapid/Medium
Perme	ability:	Moderate	Slow/Moderate	Moderate	Slow/Moderate
Drair	nage:	Slow	Slow	Slow	Slow
Land	form:	Mid Slope	Flat	Mid Slope	Flat
Site Dist	urbance:	Cleared for grazing	Minor disturbance by logging & track construction	Minor disturbance by logging & track construction	Cleared for grazin
Land	luse:	Grazing	Opportunistic Grazing	Opportunistic Grazing	Grazing
Slo	pe:	2%	1%	2%	1%
Vegetation:	Dom. spp./stratum:	Native Grasses	Native Trees	Native Trees	Degraded Pasture
	Height:	Nil	Greater than 15 m	Greater than 15 m	Nil
	% Foliage Cover:	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Micro	relief:	Nil	Nil	Nil	Scald
Erosion:	Туре:	Sheet/Rill	Sheet/Rill	Sheet/Rill	Sheet/Gully
	Degree:	Moderate	Moderate	Minor	Severe
Surface Coars	se Fragments:	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Rock C	Outcrop:	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Salinity E	Evidence:	Nil	Nil	Nil	Saline Area
Soil Clas	sification:	Yellow Podzolic	Yellow Solodic	Earthy Sand	Yellow Podzolic
		(Dy3.42)	(Dy2.42)	(Dy3.42)	(Dy2.42)
Horizon:	Depth:	A ₁ 0 - 20	A ₁ 0 - 10	A ₁ 0 - 15	A ₁ 0 - 25
		A ₂ 20 - 40	A ₂ 10 - 30	A ₂ 15 - 40	A ₂ 25 - 45
		B 40 +	B 30+	B 40+	B 45 +
	Boundary:	Clear	Clear	Clear	Clear
	Colour:	A ₁ 10yr4/4	A ₁ 10yr6/3	A ₁ 10yr4/4	A ₁ 7.5yr4/6
		A ₂ 10yr5/6Bleached	A ₂ 10yr8/1Bleached	A ₂ 10yr8/6Bleached	A ₂ 7.5yr5/7
		B 7.5yr5/4	B 7.5yr8/3	B 7.5yr7/4	B 7.5yr5/8
	Mottles:	A ₁ Nil	A ₁ Nil	A ₁ Nil	A ₁ Nil
		A ₂ Nil	A ₂ Nil	A ₂ Nil	A ₂ Nil
		B Yes	B Yes	B Yes	B Yes
	Texture:	A ₁ Loam	A ₁ Loam	A ₁ Silty Loam	A ₁ Silty Loam
		A ₂ Sand Loam	A ₂ Sand Loam	A ₂ Sand Loam	A ₂ Light Loam
		B Sandy Clay	B Light Clay	B Sandy Clay	B Medium Clay
	Coarse	A ₁ Nil	A ₁ Nil	A ₁ Nil	A ₁ Nil
	Fragments:	A ₂ Nil	A ₂ Nil	A ₂ Nil	A ₂ Nil
		B Nil	B Nil	B Nil	B Nil
	Structure:	Soil profile	A ₁ Sub Angular	A1 Granular	A ₁ Sub Angular
		augured. No	A ₂ Sub Angular	A ₂ Granular	A ₂ Sub Angular
		structure identified.	B Columnar	B Granular	B Columnar
	Consistency:	A ₁ Weak	A ₁ Weak	A ₁ Weak	A ₁ Weak
		A ₂ Weak	A ₂ Weak	A ₂ Weak	A ₂ Weak
		B Moderate	B Moderate	B Moderate	B Moderate
	Field pH:	A ₁ 6	A ₁ 6	A ₁ 5	A ₁ 5.5
	i ioiu pri.				
		A2 5 5	A ₂ 5.5	A2 5 5	A2 X 5
		A ₂ 5.5 B 6.5	A ₂ 5.5 B 5	A ₂ 5.5 B 6	A ₂ 8.5 B 9

Site	No:	45	46
	s (AGD 66)	S 32 ⁰ 20.850'	S 32 ⁰ 19.995'
ooorainate			E 149 ⁰ 51.955'
Rur	noff:	E 149 ⁰ 50.654' Medium	Rapid/Medium
Perme		Moderate	Slow/Moderate
	age:	Moderate	Slow
	form:	Mid Slope	Flat
	urbance:	Cleared for grazing	Cleared for grazing
Land		Grazing	Grazing
Slo		2%	1%
Vegetation:	Dom. spp./stratum:	Native Grasses	Degraded Pasture
	Height:	Nil	Nil
	% Foliage Cover:	Nil	Nil
Micro	relief:	Nil	Nil
Erosion:	Туре:	Sheet/Rill	Sheet/Rill
	Degree:	Moderate	Moderate
Surface Coars		Nil	Nil
	Outcrop:	Nil	Nil
Salinity E		Nil	Saline Area
Soil Clas		Red Podzolic	Yellow Podzolic
		(Dy3.42)	(Dy2.42)
Horizon:	Depth:	A ₁ 0 - 20	A ₁ 0 - 20
	- op	A ₂ 20 - 45	A ₂ 20 - 40
		B 45 +	B 40 +
	Boundary:	Abrupt	Clear
	Colour:	A ₁ 7.5yr4/6	A ₁ 7.5yr4/4
	Colour.	A ₂ 7.5yr5/7	A ₂ 7.5yr6/5
		B 7.5yr5/8	B 5.5yr5/8
	Mottles:	A ₁ Nil	A ₁ Nil
	Wotties.	A ₂ Nil	A ₂ Nil
		B Yes	B Yes
	Texture:	A ₁ Loam	A ₁ Silty Loam
	Texture.	A ₂ Sand Loam	A ₂ Light Loam
		B Sandy Clay	B Medium Clay
	Coarse		A ₁ Nil
	Fragments:	A ₂ Nil	A ₂ Nil
	_	B Nil	B Nil
	Structure:	Soil profile	A ₁ Sub Angular
		augured. No	A_1 Sub Angular A_2 Sub Angular
		structure identified	B Columnar
	Consistency:	A ₁ Weak	A ₁ Weak
	Consistency.	A ₁ Weak	A ₁ Weak
		B Moderate	B Moderate
	Field pH:	$A_1 6$	A ₁ 6
		A ₁ 8 A ₂ 5.5	A ₁ 0 A ₂ 7
		A ₂ 5.5 B 6.5	A ₂ 7 B 8
	Cogracetione		
-	Segregations:	Nil	Nil

ATTACHMENT MB

SOIL ANALYSES

(JAMMEL Environmental and Planning Services Pty Ltd, 2004)

Soil Laboratory Test Abbreviations

Test	Symbol	Units
Cation exchange capacity	CEC	meq/100g
Exchangeable sodium percentage	ESP	%
Electrical Conductivity (1:5 soil:water)	EC	dS/m
Electrical Conductivity (saturation extract)	EC _{se}	dS/m
pH (1:5 soil:water)	рН _w	pH Units

Wilpinjong Coal Project Analytical Results of Representative Soil Samples

Parameter	Units		Sample Sites (refe	r to Figure M-2)	
		6 & 42 Yellow Solodic	6 & 42 Yellow Solodic	8 & 11 Alluvial	8 & 11 Alluvial
Depth	cm	0 - 30	30 +	0 - 30	30 +
Soil Texture		3.5	3.5	3.0	3.0
pH _{CaCl}		5.3	4.8	6.0	6.4
рН _{н20}		6.2	5.4	6.7	6.9
С	%	1.0	0.24	1.8	0.44
Ν	mg/kg	3	6	6	2
S	mg/kg	11.9	125	38.3	51.2
P (Colwell)	mg/kg	9	6	10	5
к	meq/100g	1.08	0.37	0.69	0.52
Ca	meq/100g	4.25	2.21	7.76	5.30
Mg	meq/100g	3.17	4.18	4.06	4.08
AI	meq/100g	0.03	0.10	0.00	0.00
Na	meq/100g	0.16	2.75	0.33	0.47
Cl	mg/kg	44	702	35	49
Cu	mg/kg	0.67	0.88	0.76	1.02
Zn	mg/kg	2.13	0.58	3.77	0.45
Mn	mg/kg	45.89	9.44	17.93	6.3
Fe	mg/kg	1243	594	1324	545
В	mg/kg	0.7	0.4	1.1	0.6
EC	dS/m	0.050	0.646	0.108	0.137
Calculations					
CEC	meq/100g	8.69	9.61	12.84	10.37
Ca/Mg Ratio		1.34	0.53	1.91	1.30
ESP	%	1.84	28.62	2.57	4.53
ECse	dS/m	0.48	5.56	1.03	1.30
AI Saturation	%	0.39	1.04	0.00	0.00

Parameter	Units	Sample Sites (refer to Figure M-2)				
		16, 17 & 43 Earthy Sand	16, 17 & 43 Earthy Sand	9 & 5 Brown Earth	9 & 5 Brown Earth	
Depth	cm	0 - 30	30 +	0 - 25	25 - 50	
Soil Texture		3	3	3	2.5	
pH _{CaCl}		5.3	6.6	5.6	6.5	
рН _{н2О}		6.3	7.4	7.5	7.1	
С	%	0.56	0.19	0.73	0.19	
Ν	mg/kg	4	1	5	3	
S	mg/kg	12.1	8.7	19.8	47.1	
P (Colwell)	mg/kg	7	4	-	5	
К	meq/100g	0.47	0.37	0.42	0.31	
Ca	meq/100g	1.64	1.91	2.14	1.54	
Mg	meq/100g	0.91	2.29	1.51	1.52	
AI	meq/100g	0.05	0	0.00	0.00	
Na	meq/100g	0.08	0.14	0.68	0.84	
CI	mg/kg	9	12	37	97	
Cu	mg/kg	0.37	0.46	0.71	0.45	
Zn	mg/kg	0.39	0.09	0.63	0.02	
Mn	mg/kg	19.18	5.96	25.83	18.83	
Fe	mg/kg	600	578	-	355	
В	mg/kg	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3	
EC	dS/m	0.280	0.066	0.073	0.142	
Calculations						
CEC	meq/100g	3.10	4.71	4.75	4.21	
Ca/Mg Ratio		1.80	0.83	1.42	1.01	
ESP	%	2.58	2.97	14.32	19.95	
ECse	dS/m	6.36	0.91	0.69	1.96	
AI Saturation	%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	

Wilpinjong Coal Project Analytical Results of Representative Soil Samples (Continued)

Parameter	Units	Sample Sites (refer to Figure M-2)				
		44 & 46 Yellow Podzolic (Saline)	44 & 46 Yellow Podzolic (Saline)	1, 18, 20, 21, 24-26, 27, 29, 30, 32, 34, 37, 40 & 41 Yellow Podzolic	1, 18, 20, 21, 24-26, 27, 29, 30, 32, 34, 37, 40 & 41 Yellow Podzolic	
Depth	cm	0 - 35	35 +	0 - 35	35 +	
Soil Texture		3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
pH _{CaCl}		6.70	7.40	6.80	6.30	
рН _{Н2О}		6.90	8.40	7.10	6.60	
С	%	0.47	0.31	0.77	0.27	
Ν	mg/kg	2	3	9	11	
S	mg/kg	648	255	42.6	40.3	
P (Colwell)	mg/kg	11	7	5	4	
к	meq/100g	1.04	0.81	0.57	0.59	
Са	meq/100g	3.2	4.51	2.78	3.22	
Mg	meq/100g	3.47	3.61	1.39	3.75	
AI	meq/100g	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Na	meq/100g	8.9	5.92	0.18	0.75	
CI	mg/kg	1401	572	51	167	
Cu	mg/kg	1.97	1.91	0.47	0.73	
Zn	mg/kg	1.29	0.37	0.92	0.14	
Mn	mg/kg	8.87	7.05	20.59	4.06	
Fe	mg/kg	316	802	810	676	
В	mg/kg	0.6	0.7	0.4	0.7	
EC	dS/m	1.700	0.876	0.065	0.178	
Calculations						
CEC	meq/100g	16.61	14.85	4.92	8.31	
Ca/Mg Ratio		0.92	1.25	2.00	0.86	
ESP	%	16.5	6.57	0.62	1.53	
ECse	dS/m	16.15	6.57	0.62	1.53	
AI Saturation	%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	

Wilpinjong Coal Project Analytical Results of Representative Soil Samples (Continued)

Parameter	Units	Sample Sites (refer to Figure M-2)				
		2-4, 7, 10, 12, 14, 15 & 19 Red Podzolic #1	2-4, 7, 10, 12, 14, 15 & 19 Red Podzolic #1	22, 23, 28, 31, 33, 35, 36, 38, 39 & 45 Red Podzolic #2	22, 23, 28, 31, 33, 35, 36, 38, 39 & 45 Red Podzolic #2	
Depth	cm	0 - 50	50 +	0 – 45	45 +	
Soil Texture		3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
pH _{CaCl}		5.30	4.90	5.30	5.30	
рН _{н2О}		6.20	6.10	6.20	6.30	
С	%	0.38	1.18	0.65	0.27	
Ν	mg/kg	6	10	5	3	
S	mg/kg	39.2	10.60	4.70	5.90	
P (Colwell)	mg/kg	4	7	5	4	
к	meq/100g	0.60	0.85	0.50	0.36	
Са	meq/100g	2.87	3.79	2.63	1.70	
Mg	meq/100g	3.81	1.98	1.55	2.49	
AI	meq/100g	0.07	0.05	0.03	0.07	
Na	meq/100g	0.64	0.12	0.07	0.09	
Cl	mg/kg	119	23	15	13	
Cu	mg/kg	1.48	0.72	0.45	0.47	
Zn	mg/kg	0.72	1.08	0.47	0.14	
Mn	mg/kg	6.15	55.21	17.85	4.18	
Fe	mg/kg	27.76	70.05	25.83	14.80	
В	mg/kg	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.4	
EC	dS/m	0.107	0.046	0.030	0.023	
Calculations						
CEC	meq/100g	7.99	6.79	4.78	4.71	
Ca/Mg Ratio		0.75	1.91	1.70	0.68	
ESP	%	8.01	1.77	1.46	1.91	
ECse	dS/m	1.02	0.40	0.29	0.20	
Al Saturation	%	0.95	0.84	0.70	1.61	

Wilpinjong Coal Project Analytical Results of Representative Soil Samples (Continued)

Parameter	Units	Sample Sites (refer to Figure M-2)			
		13 Red Podzolic # 3	13 Red Podzolic # 3	13 Red Podzolic # 3	
Depth	cm	0 - 25	25 - 45	45 +	
Soil Texture		3.0	3.0	3.5	
pH _{CaCl}		5.90	5.90	6.0	
рН _{н20}		6.50	6.30	6.20	
С	%	0.59	0.40	0.80	
N	mg/kg	19	70	67	
S	mg/kg	13.5	29.9	17.4	
P (Colwell)	mg/kg	5	5	6	
к	meq/100g	0.36	0.95	1.11	
Са	meq/100g	6.94	6.21	7.79	
Mg	meq/100g	4.02	4.05	3.82	
AI	meq/100g	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Na	meq/100g	0.09	0.34	0.48	
Cl	mg/kg	51	265	396	
Cu	mg/kg	1.89	1.07	1.34	
Zn	mg/kg	0.11	0.48	0.13	
Mn	mg/kg	3.97	19.09	46.04	
Fe	mg/kg	195	369	502	
В	mg/kg	0.4	0.5	0.4	
EC	dS/m	0.097	0.383	0.298	
Calculations					
CEC	meq/100g	11.41	11.55	13.20	
Ca/Mg Ratio		1.73	1.53	2.04	
ESP	%	0.79	2.94	3.64	
ECse	dS/m	0.83	3.64	2.56	
AI Saturation	%	0.00	0.00	0.00	

Wilpinjong Coal Project Analytical Results of Representative Soil Samples (Continued)