

I have major concerns with the proposed development of Anna Bay Tourist Facility SSD 5916

I am a 6th generation Blanch that has resided on the adjoining parcel of land (Lot 231) and frequent several times a week and feel that this development will destroy the quiet rural aspect that my family and I have enjoyed throughout my life, past generations and that of future generations. Beside the emotive side against this proposal I would also like to point out some matters of environmental concern.

1. Acid Sulphate Soils. - Potential Acid Sulphate Soils and Actual acid Sulphate Soils are present over most of the site - How can a reference to a sampling programme that confirms PASS and ASS are present be enough to satisfy DGRs requirement of an ASS management plan?

2. Groundwater. - dewatering will need to take place and this will effect not only the adjoining landowners properties but also potentially contaminate the SEPP 14 wetland, Nature Reserve and the ecology of the waters of Port Stephens Marine Park and oyster leases downstream. As I take my children to their Grandparents house I certainly do not want them drinking water with high metal concentrations. How is this potential contamination going to be avoided?

3. Traffic, Noise, and Air quality - The amount of trucks throughout construction that would need to access the development site would be so great that the noise generated, and the amount of dust flying around would be intolerable and definitely be detrimental to not only my parents and visitors to their home but also to all landowners and their visitors in the vicinity. What measures are being put in place to eliminate this? Safety of all users of the main Nelson Bay Road is also an issue considering the number of trucks that will be utilising this road to deliver the fill required. How safe and easy will it be to enter my family home? Emissions from vehicles and plant equipment will impact Lot 231 on all four boundaries. These emissions will also effect other neighbouring land owners. How is this going to be monitored and or alleviated?

4. Community Consultation. - when and where did this take place?

5. Eco -Tourist Facility - How can this be an eco tourist facility if in the EIS it is described as hotel and apartments when hotels , motels, and serviced apartments are prohibited in the RU2 Rural Landscape zoning. Surely the addition of the word Eco before hotel and apartment is not adequate especially when it does not fulfil the basic principles of an Ecologically Sustainable Development/ Green Star or NABERS rating.

This development should not be approved as there are many issues that have not been addressed and has caused undue stress and anxiety to my entire family.

Objections to Anna Bay Tourist Facility SSD 5916

I strongly object to the above proposed development for the following reasons

1. I grew up on the land adjoining this development and have always enjoyed the peaceful rural aspect it has provided. With this type of development the peaceful rural aspect will be destroyed and replaced during construction with continual noise and after construction, three storey buildings and the associated noise from patrons vehicles and general use of facilities. 7 generations of the Blanch family have enjoyed this quiet rural lifestyle, myself being the 6th generation
2. Groundwater: I am concerned about the possible contamination of groundwater not only on my parents land but more widely spreading downstream into
- 3.