	Epping to Thornleigh Inita I rack Proposal. Application hamber 351 3232.					
	Name(s): KiM TRAN					
	Address: 2 B - Sutherland Rd - Chelten Ram NSW2119					
	I/we object to the Epping to Thornleigh Third Track Proposal and consider that the EIS is inadequate and does not address the full impact of this proposal. It will cause untold damage to the health of residents and to the fabric of the Beecroft Cheltenham Heritage Conservation Area. Stop this project, reconsider the alternatives and protect the community.					
/	No Government Regulation of Noise and Pollution from these trains.					
	• The EIS does not address the impact on noise of the real potential increase in rail freight traffic beyond the current projected increase from 29 to 41 movements a day thereby avoiding the legislated need for a reassessment of noise levels.					
	 An independent study has shown current noise levels result in some 2 to 3 sleep disturbances a night where 					
2.	1 is the accepted level. This will increase with increased traffic. The same study showed that highest noise levels occurred between 10pm and 6am, seven days a week.					
	ctern disturbance is recognised as a significant stress factor which impairs health and wellbeing.					
	The FIS does not address the known health issues in the community resulting from increased fall freight					
and diesel particulate matter, a known carcinogen.						
	 These private freight operators should be subjected to legislative controls which protect the community and be forced to upgrade to modern high powered trains which would avoid the need for this third track. 					
	Heritage					
	• There has been inadequate assessment of the project's impact on the fabric of the Beecroft Cheltenham Heritage Conservation Area (HCA).					
	The plan given for Recognit Railway station Precinct is vague. The relationship to the trees, playground and					
	original 1895 platform located south of the present station is not shown. A fourth track is shown of the					
	Beecroft Station Gardens have a heritage listing with Hornsby Council but the impact on them has not been adequately addressed. No arborist's report is given for the trees which will be removed.					
	The proposed redevelopment of Cheltenham Station shows no regard for the HCA. The visual impact of the proposed 13m high glass and steel concourse is totally unacceptable in this HCA.					
	proposed 13m filgh glass and steel concourse is totally and sopposed					

The EIS is relying on vegetation to lessen the impact of the project on heritage houses and the fabric of the HCA whilst depleting and failing to restore and replenish the vegetation in the rail corridor.

There has been little or inadequate consideration of Aboriginal archaeology in the area.

not an acceptable finish in a HCA. This should be addressed now in the EIS.

Depletion of the vegetation has an impact on the amenity of residents immediately adjacent to the corridor.

No evaluation has been made of the type of engineering structures and aesthetic finishes which are suitable and compatible for a HCA. This includes retaining walls, embankments, revetments and culverts. Shotcrete is

Existing vegetation will not reduce the noise impact. A thicket 30m deep is needed to reduce noise by 1dB.

Reduction in Road Traffic

Claims that the project will reduce road freight are not substantiated. As total freight increases, road freight
will also increase. A study published to support another piece of public infrastructure states that 'rail is
unlikely to meet the future inter-regional transport task even if major rail infrastructure upgrades occur'.
(SKM report F3 to Sydney Orbital Link Study, April (2004).

Rail freight is for long distance transport of goods. Road freight is for local transport and perishable goods.
 Stop this project and protect the Community.

Stop this project and protect	the Community.		
Signature(s)			
		OUI	 Al Donortmont of Diannir

Send to: The Director – Infrastructure Projects, Epping to Thornleigh Third Track Project - SSI 5132, NSW Department of Planning and Infrastructure, GPO Box 39, Sydney 2001 or plan_comment@planning.nsw.gov.au or fax 9228 6455 before Monday 5 November 2012.

Contents

Contents	
1 / OPERATIONAL HANDOVER DEFAILS	
2 BRIEF APPLICATION OVERVIEW	. !
3 KNOWLEDGE ARTICLE UPDATES / NEW	•