

Attention: Director Sydney Urban Renewal Department of Planning an Environment, GPO Box 39 Sydney NSW 2001

Date: 12th December 2018

Re: Objection - Concept State Significant Development Application – SSD 18_9579 - Crows Nest Over Station Development

SUMMARY.

I absolutely object to the scale and uses proposed for the Crows Nest Station Over Development site, and the resulting high-rise residential dormitories in the heart of Crows Nest village.

POINTS OF OBJECTION.

- 1. The DPE said it would protect Crows Nest village, not facilitate its destruction. The DPE must reject this proposal and insist on alternatives that meet existing planning controls (NSLEP 2013).
- 2. I object to the precedent set for every subsequent development proposal that the exceptions, heights and uses in this proposal include.
- 3. I object to the height and mass of the towers over-shadowing (spring and autumn), over-looking (always), and over-bearing (forever) Crows Nest village.
- 4. I object to too many residences without the public activities that build vibrant communities. This proposal is a tenement district of the future.
- 5. I object to inadequate setbacks along Pacific Highway and streets adjacent to the Metro.
- 6. I object to the failure to create adequate open public space. This is a once only opportunity and you are proposing the opposite.
- 7. I object to the provision of car parking as part of the Metro. A Metro is supposed to reduce cars and encourage the use of public transport. A car park will encourage "Park & Ride", bringing private cars into Crows Nest, exacerbating traffic and safety problems in this busy commercial/residential area. It undermines intent.

WE DIDN'T ASK FOR IT.

The Metro development does not solve a transport problem for Crows Nest residents. It benefits those who live outside Crows Nest and come into the suburb to work or visit. Residents already have 3 train stations within a 15 to 20 minute walk and buses in every direction. While there is a need for it further up the line, and it makes sense to put in a stop while passing by, it will never have community support in Crows Nest if the associated development comes at the price of destroying the neighbourhood through high-rise over-development.

If this proposal is the price of the Metro for Crows Nest, we don't want it.



WHAT DO WE WANT.

I have been a resident of Crows Nest for 23 years and believe the following would receive broad community support.

- 1. We want appropriately scaled and designed buildings above the Metro that bring people and jobs to the area.
- 2. These buildings should foster services and businesses that are relevant to the character and activities of Crows Nest. These could include future-focused uses such as: technology education (e.g. TAFE Digital), hospitality education (e.g. culinary institute) and medical education (e.g. aged care training academy).
- 3. The buildings above the Metro should also facilitate activities that support community including: medical services, child care, community activity spaces.
- 4. As a public and meeting place, it must include open and civic spaces, with gracious treelined public access ways.

Surely the job of the Department of Planning and Environment (DPE) as a public entity is to advocate for change (or not) that enhances the future well-being of our society, and to ensure that developments achieve this for future generations. The role of the DPE is not to facilitate the short-term goals in developer business models. For God's sake – show some vision and leadership, and some understanding of the needs of those you are supposed to be serving.

Thank you for your consideration.