

## The Pemulwuy Project – a mixed-use development in Redfern

If you cannot make an online submission, you may like to use this form to have your say.

Please note that it is Departmental policy to make all submissions available to the public after the exhibition has closed. If you don't want your personal details to be made public, please indicate this here:

☒ I do not want my details made available to the public

Submissions close on **Friday 27 October 2017**. Please post your completed form and any additional pages to: The Department of Planning and Environment, Attention: Director Key Sites Assessments, Planning Services, GPO Box 39, Sydney, NSW 2001

Alternatively, you can email your completed form to: [keysitesassessments@planning.nsw.gov.au](mailto:keysitesassessments@planning.nsw.gov.au)

Your full name/s:	[REDACTED]
Would you like us to stay in touch?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes - please inform me of the outcome of the exhibition. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No thank you.
Your email address:	
Your postal address:	[REDACTED], Redfern
What is your view on the proposed changes to the Pemulwuy Project?	<input type="checkbox"/> I support it <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I object to it <input type="checkbox"/> I am just providing comments
Please outline what are the key concerns issues for you  You can say more about these on the back of this page.	<p>See attached letter.</p> <hr/> <hr/> <hr/> <hr/> <hr/> <hr/> <hr/> <hr/> <hr/> <hr/>

25<sup>th</sup> September 2017

**RE: Proposed changes to Pemulwuy Project**

To whom it may concern,

I would like to object to this development as a resident of the surrounding area. I will attempt to keep this brief and succinct, as I think my logic is fairly sound, but please read thoroughly as I have 3 separate objections to discuss.

A 24 storey building is a drastic change to the previous application of 6 storeys. The surrounding area is predominantly 2 storey terraces with some 3-4 storey buildings. I am normally in favour of progress and new developments. I would have supported a 6 storey building, being a moderate increase on the surrounding buildings; however the suggestion of a 24 storey building is absurd. It would be an eyesore, and tower over all existing dwellings and public spaces. It would make the surrounding areas almost constantly in shade and destroy the amenity of the area.

I also object to this development because of the tactic which the developer has taken. First getting a reasonable building approved and then "creeping" the scope by making an amendment. A change of 6 storeys to 24 storeys cannot be considered an amendment, the original approval should be thrown out and the process restarted. The language of the "amendment" notification implies the changes are small, and I'm sure many people would not have read the detail but later be shocked to discover a 24 storey building. If it were challenged in court, I would be surprised if the definition of this development as an "amendment" was upheld.

Finally I believe that the council has itself been duped or bypassed by this change. I am familiar with state and regional planning laws and approval guidelines and this kind of building, being out of touch with the surrounding area, is exactly what the guidelines are designed to prohibit. I would suggest that a combination of the fact that it is an "amendment" and also the political pressure behind the project has caused this application to bypass some of the usual checks. I request that this development is re-assessed against the usual height restriction / surrounding amenity guidelines in a purely objective manner. That is, is a 24 storey building appropriate in an area of 2 storey terraces? Surely the answer is no.

Sincerely,

