

PERSONAL SUBMISSION

NAME: Romero D'Souza	
ADDRESS: 128 Florence Avenue	
Eastlakes, NSW	POSTCODE: 2018
EMAIL: romero.dsouza@gmail.com	
SIGNATURE: 	

- ☐ Please tick this box if you do not want your name published in the list of submitters on the department's website
- ☒ I have NOT made a reportable political donation in the last two years

MODIFICATION REQUEST

EASTLAKES SHOPPING CENTRE - MIXED USE DEVELOPMENT - MP 09_0146 MOD 4

Dear Minister of Planning,

As a member of the Eastlakes community and surrounding suburbs I object to the modification request of EASTLAKES SHOPPING CENTRE - MIXED USE DEVELOPMENT - MP 09_0146 MOD 4.

I object to the modification request because of the following key issues:

- The scale is too large, too high and too concentrated for the area
- The modifications have deviated immensely from the original approved plans
- Local streets cannot cope with additional outside traffic
- It will further congest an already congested suburb (at least 8600 people)
- 14 storeys will obstruct the view and sunlight of existing residents
- It will overshadow and obstruct sunlight to the heavily utilised Eastlakes Reserve
- Lack of public transport causing extreme delays and overcrowding
- It will increase traffic noise & pollution in the area with extension of trading hours and 24/7 truck access
- infrastructure will put severe drain on existing suburb and maintenance will be a problem
- It will have a great impact on social and affordable housing

This is the fourth modification by the developer. Through these modifications the developer has been able to incrementally increase the size of the development. These modifications undermines the validity of development process and the public has lost faith in the planning process. These new changes are of such dramatic scale that I believe would require the proponents to resubmit a brand new development application rather than a modification, otherwise the entire planning process will be rendered meaningless.

The fact that this is a State Significant project should not interfere with the fair and transparent public interest.

Thank you.