

Jannette Elliott 68 Woburn Abbey Way WATTLEGROVE. NSW 2173

14th April, 2014

Attention: Director, Industry, Key Sites and Social Projects Development Assessment Systems & Approvals Planning & Infrastructure GPO Box 39 SYDNEY NSW 2001 Department of Planning Received 2 MAY 2014

Scanning Room

Dear Mr Hazzard

Application Name: Proposed Pindimar Abalone Farm Application #: MP 10 0006

I strongly object to the proposed Pindimar Abalone Farm.

Declaration re: political donations:

I declare that I have not made any political donations in the previous two years.

Reasons why I object to the proposal:

The proposed Abalone Farm at Pindimar, now on exhibition, is very concerning to myself and my family. My family and I holiday in this area every year. Why have we had the Marine Parks in place and what benefit is it of anyone holidaying or living in Pindimar harbouring an Abalone Farm? Many of the residents of Pindimar are elderly or retired, or are holiday makers who should be able to continue to enjoy the quiet and peaceful environment to which they have positively contributed to themselves. I would also like to point out that we deserve the utmost desire to retire into this quiet and remote area. We have worked hard all our lives, so why should we be penalised with a potential development that is not for an Australian Market? We have paid taxes ALL OUR LIVES!!!

I am also extremely concerned about the danger of diseases arising from the proposed Pindimar Abalone Farm. Intensive farms are incubators of diseases and a massive problem has already occurred in abalone farms in Victoria, causing widespread destruction to the industry (not just in the farm that cultivated the disease – but to the coastal wild abalone population). This industry will destroy our beautiful waterways and fragile marine life and give rise to pollution. Upon researching this, the infective agent, ganglioneuritis virus has now spread from Victoria to Tasmania. NSW wild abalone have already been decimated by a parasite, Perkinsus. Some forms of bacteria also attack abalone and many diseases cannot be controlled. The proposal for the farm recognises the grave dangers posed by infection, but assures us that they will use extreme sterility practices and extensive decontamination. These labour intensive protocols and extremely expensive measures will need to be maintained throughout the life of the plant... **but who will be responsible for ensuring these measures are met? Who will police their adherence? And what irreversible cost to our environment if these measures fail?**

I strongly object and refute to this proposal. It poses an unwarranted risk to the marine life and also wild animals in the port, and a huge mess if there is widespread abalone mortality at the Farm.

It is very obvious that the proposal will only benefit the applicant who would gain financially at the cost of destroying the peaceful and beautiful area of all residents and holiday makers to Pindimar. The final product will only be mainly exported and Abalone is not of any demand in Australia, so apart from financial gain by the applicant, how can this proposal be even supported?

Yours sincerely,

ANNETTE ELLIOTT

GRAHAM ELLIOTT

Leigh Ellott LEIGH ELLIOTT

B. Eshit

BRENDA ELLIOTT

-2-