

20 Kendall Inlet

Cabarita NSW 2137

28th October 2018

Ref: Kendall Bay Remediation Project

Dear Sir/Madam,

As a very long term resident since 2002 in the immediate area, I am writing to object to this work proceeding. Over the last 16 years, I have witnessed the development of the Breakfast Point site by AGL, now Jemena, who have had 16 years to research and formulate a plan to world's best practice.

As you can see from the attached map. I am one of the most affected residents by the Jemena work and will be severely impacted on a daily basis – not just the work times but 24x7x365 days for 1-2 years.

Over the recent period of "testing" which went on for a number of weeks, the experience of trying to work from home, study for my Doctorate and live through this testing was not acceptable. This has given an excellent prelude into the likely effects of this work.

The areas of concern are as follows:

- Excessive noise both in and out of hours. This extended to noise during work hours as the machinery was used that was beyond what was experienced in 16 years of Breakfast Point being built most likely due to proximity and being directly across the water. There was persistent metal to metal rubbing, most prominent after hours when the neighbourhood is usually very quiet, causing sleep to be disrupted several times a night. This is a tidal area and subject to small waves from the ferries. This is a foreseeable event that Jemena should have planned for and addressed. This leaves me with little confidence regarding their comments on noise. These noises were pervasive as my property is within 100m and located across water where sound waves bounce and echo. In addition it was winter so the doors were closed and I slept with noise reducing earplugs and still the noise would wake me several times a night. In summer I very much want the doors open and doubt that this will be possible during the day or night
- Excessive dust during recent testing has lead me to completely scrub down screens, windows and outside areas. This needs to be much improved so as not to cause unnecessary work or expense to residents.
- Extensive and severe loss of visual amenity. During the recent tests this was most apparent including a loss of privacy into my work area and my bedroom from the platform. When I purchased the property in 2002, it was not with a view to having this erected outside my property for a proposed 1-2 years. I will most likely contemplate selling the property in this time and now I find that I will not be able to as the market price would be significantly reduced and the property unattractive to potential buyers. Jemena needs to consider how it will compensate residents while we are essentially captive the loss of visual amenity, which is the main selling point for any property on the waterfront.

- On a previous occasion of testing, a barge was parked outside my property with flashing lights that I did ring up about when I got home but they were unable to do anything about. Again, this is foreseeable and was failed to be taken into account.

Jemena has had adequate time to research world's best practice to get the impact of this work greatly reduced. A period of 1-2 years specified in various documents is unacceptably long and unnecessary compared to the scale and speed at which works can be done elsewhere, most notably around Asia.

On the basis of both the impacts listed above and the unacceptably long timeframe, I do not support this work proceeding.

Yours Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'L F Ward'.

Ms Leanne F Ward

Legend:
Staging Site (1x10 Tennyson Road, Mortlake)

Declared
Northern Remediation

NA1
NA2
NA3

SA1
SA2
SA3
SA4
SA5

Sea Wall
Water Present

10 Metres from Sea Wall
20 Metres from Sea Wall

Note: Declared and remediation area approximate only



Scale at A4 1:10,000

0 100 200 METRES

Cont. Sys. GDA 1994 MGA Zone 56

Kendall Bay Sediment Remediation Project,
Sydney, New South Wales
PROJECT AREA



20 Kendall Inlet
Cabarita