Sydney Metro City & Southwest Chatswood to Sydenham Environmental Impact Statement Exhibition

Form letter to the Metro EIS demanding extra stations for Alexandria and St Peters

You can personalise the content below. Note that the objection can be sub-	nitted online	at:
Your submission should be marked		
Attention: Director, Transport Assessments		

and can be sent via:

http://majorprojects.planning.nsw.gov.au/index.pl?action=view_job&job_id=7400

OR Post to:

Director, Transport Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39, SYDNEY, NSW 2001

The EIS Process closes on June 27. Please alert your friends and neighbours.

YOUR NAME PRITER J. SACKVILLE YOUR ADDRESS 325 BELMONT STREET, ALEXANDRIA, NSN 2015 DATE 2/6/16

This objection relates to the EIS SSI 7400 (Sydney Metro City & Southwest Chatswood to Sydenham)

I object to this proposal on the grounds that the project should provide additional Metro stations at Alexandria and St Peters.

Further detail supporting this objection and the demand for additional Metro stations (Alexandria and St Peters) follows.

1. Additional (Alexandria and St Peters) Metro stations

I object on the grounds that the current proposal does not provide Metro stations at Alexandria and St Peters.

2. Inadequate public consultation

I object due to the inadequate public consultation has been undertaken with Alexandria, St Peters and Erskineville residents on additional stations on the Central to Sydenham Metro route.

3. Inadequate traffic modelling

I object on the grounds that the current EIS does not adequately model how additional Metro stations could reduce traffic associated with the Westconnex Project.

Detail supporting these objections

1. Additional (Alexandria and St Peters) Metro stations

The current proposal does not provide metro stations at Alexandria and St Peters. I petition that extra Metro stations be provided at Alexandria and St Peters.

This would provide a mass-transit inner-city transport system and transport integration providing cross-town interconnectivity. It would provide mass-transit systems for the areas' doubled population, reduce chronic over-crowding on Erskineville station and reduce inner-city congestion.

2. Inadequate public consultation

Inadequate public consultation has been undertaken with residents of Alexandria, St Peters and Erskineville now that the finalised metro route from Central to Sydenham.

The finalised Metro route (passing under Alexandria and St Peters), announced in February 2016, is poorly understood by the community's being bypassed. Now that the route is finalised a meaningful and substantial community consultation process should be undertaken to truly gauge the transport needs of these communities.

3. Inadequate traffic modelling

The current Metro EIS does not model any relationship between the Metro (Waterloo to Sydenham) and Westconnex traffic impact, despite the Metro line running under Euston Road and St Peters, despite the proximity of the two projects. The EIS has no modelling of additional Metro stations (Alexandria and St Peters) ability to reduce the impact of traffic on the road network.

(Insert any other personal statement here)

Declaration:

I have made no reportable political donations made in the previous two years.

Yours Faithfully
(Insert your name here)

PETER J. SACKVILLE