

29th July, 2016
Joe Camilleri
22 Gates Road
Luddenham, NSW, 2745

Attention:

Director of Transport Assessments Planning Services
Department of Planning & Environment (DPE)

RE: Current design proposal for Gates Link Road & effects on DP32053

I Joe Camilleri totally object to the whole proposal put forth by the RMS concerning the "GATES LINK ROAD". Please understand that we feel that the proper thought and time into a viable long term solution has not been invested into this proposal and that my interests have also not been considered thoroughly enough to warrant any decision to be made in the near future.

The RMS – Original proposal for "Gates Link Road" was originally objected to because of the Neighbours dam (owned by Mrs Green) being located too close to the proposed road. It was agreed with the RMS that the road was going to be designed to be 50% on Mrs Green's side & 50% on my side of the boundary, Appendix A.

Please see below my concerns regarding the design that has been released by the RMS, since our discussions and agreed scope terms. Please also refer to Appendix items A & B for further explanation.

1. After taking an exuberant amount of time to come to a decision regarding the design of the road after past discussions regarding 50% of the road being situated on either side of the boundary between my property DP32053 & neighbouring property owned by Mrs Green (Appendix A). The final concept plan that has been provided shows that the road is roughly 85% on my side (Appendix B). This was never agreed to! 2 sweeping corners have now been shown on both corners of the boundary consuming a much larger amount of space than what was ever put forth by the RMS & their consultants with no explanation why. My interests have not even been slightly considered in the design shown in Appendix B & I object to the proposal shown.
2. I have been told that the road needs to be parallel with the northern road. Why? This question continues to be unanswered as time goes on by the RMS. The result of the road running parallel to northern road means that the proposed "Gates link road" is now 100% on my property on the south side, why?. The road could quite easily just remain on the boundary line as previously discussed but instead an additional 20m of my property are being proposed for the road for no reason. The road is being designed like this to cater for the future developments planned for the neighbouring property owned by Mr Silky. While my property is wiped out to cater for his best interests.

3. Looking closely at the revised plan you can see that the road is now located very close to my house. My driveway is proposed to be cut in half, my front yard is also to be taken away considerably & no consideration, has been taken into the way that this affects my family and I. When the road was proposed Mrs Green's needs were taken into consideration completely even though there is really no inconvenience to her, my property however is being butchered to fulfil the requirements of other neighbours and the fact that the RMS have decided to build a road right in the middle of a natural cause way.

It is as a result of these major factors that I feel I have been miss represented & forced into a design proposal that I do not agree with.

We truly believe that further study needs to be carried out into this proposal to solve the apparent issues that we are being faced with. The RMS & their design consultants have not taken into account any of the major effects on my property - DP32053, while completely catering for the best interests of the neighbouring properties. I believe my concerns are warranted and I will be seeking legal advice regarding the matters at present.

I await your reply regarding what is planned to be done in closing out the above issues.


Regards,

Joe Camilleri

22 Gates Road
Luddenham, NSW, 2745

Appendix

Appendix A – ORIGINAL DESIGN AGREED UPON WITH RMS



Appendix B – CURRENT DESIGN CONCEPT BEING OBJECTED TO

