

Director - Infrastructure Projects  
Department of Planning and Environment  
Number: SSI 13\_6136  
Major Projects Assessment  
GPO Box 39  
SYDNEY NSW 2001



739

From: [REDACTED] (Please delete all personal information of this submission and my submission dated 5th September 2014 before publishing. Many thanks).

9th September, 2014

**NorthConnex Application Number: SSI 13\_6136**

Dear Sir/Madam,

I sent a submission to you on the 5th of September. I am vehemently opposed to the current EIS.

This is a quick thought about alternative proposals that I neglected to put forth in my letter.

Firstly, is it possible to change the location of the tunnel by extending the tunnel so that portal and stack emissions go into the Hornsby Industrial Estate, away from a densely populated residential area. This will cost more, but the health of the public should not ever be compromised.

Secondly, could the emissions stack have a long extension pipe so that the emissions are vented a considerable distance from our residential area, into bushland further up the highway away from Wahroonga? This would only be a useful option if we could be assured that portal emissions will not exist at the north end of the tunnel. As you will be aware, the problem with portal emissions is the undiluted pollution at ground level and the impact on the community's health. So the first option is by far the preferred option.

All in all, the current proposal is irresponsible because of the impact on the health of the many, many residents living near the stacks.

[REDACTED] trains by running in the streets near the proposed north Stack, and on Waitara oval. The thought of [REDACTED] running and inhaling toxic fumes makes my stomach turn and fills me with anxiety! You must realise that the health of *real* people would be drastically affected by having carcinogenic fumes spewing out of a stack in the midst of homes where 1000s of people live, run, walk, and play.

You need to take our submissions seriously!

Many thanks.

