Name KJELL GOYER Address 9 ROSS ST BUNDANOON NSW Date: 6 /6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney NSW 2001

## This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected for the projects impact on WATER - ground and surface.

- 93 Bores on 71 properties will be affected by water drawdown. The impact on bores will remain for between 36 to 65 years, after mining ceases. (ES4.1.2)
- It is expected that some bores affected may never fully recover.
- Treatment and release into Oldbury Creek of surplus onsite mining water in years when above average rain falls. (ES4.1.1)
- Again if there is any discharge into the surface or ground water systems in the Sydney water Catchment, then the applicant must demonstrate that the development has either "a neutral or beneficial impact on water quality".
- Water used to wash coal and residual "spoil", which will be pumped back underground into mine voids, which has the potential to impact groundwater systems. ES41.1.1
- The potential impacts of the Hume Coal proposal on water and the Sydney Water Catchment must be considered within the context of the widely accepted "Precautionary Principle" which is a fundamental principle of acologically sustainable development and which is a benchmark used in the assessment of planning in NSW.

i urge the Government to reject this project

Signed,

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

I dava notirizada a reporte bis problém i cratici i di esse dalla i dava a pres





Anshalian Financial Review

1

The visceral reaction

## about coal impacts requires context.

an old BMW, I understand attachment to old machines. Old coal power stations also means regional jobs, which explains the genuine difficulty that politidians have with policies perceived to risk these jobs. But like an old BMW, generators become less reliable and more costly to maintam over time. Australia's ageing coal fleet will reach retirement in the coming decades. Federal Energy Minister Josh Frydenberg recently sold that "an emissions intensity scheme does punish a number of the thermal generators at a time when we need to stabilise the system, so we're not going down the path of an EIS". The Finkel modelling suggests that for current

would also reduce cost differences between existing coal and new entrant gas and renewables, which reduces price volatility around plant exits. No one will invest in capital-intensive thermal plant without the policy certainty that comes from bipartisan agreement. With the sector screaming for bipartisan certainty, energy security will be doomed if politicians focus on differentiating policy as their priority. The Finkel recommendations won't reverse the rise in network costs that have driven price increases over the past decade. Another layer of bureaucracy in the form of an Energy Security Board, as recommended by Finkel, is unlikely to help this. It also won't reverse much of the rise in gas prices now that export markets have opened up. But the priority now should be how to orplace generation that retires and how to deal with renewables growth that will confitue to occur as costs rapidly fall. The Finkel report bought the Turnbull government some time, but the onus is now back on the government to act. It would be disappointing if another report is put in the "report to government, not government policy" bin as we have been there too many times before. This won't be solved by feasibility studies.

Matt Harris is head of climate change at Fronther Economics. He designed an EIS for Malcolm Turnbull and Nick Xenophon in 2009.

NR AFRGAI

16 June , 2017