ETHOS URBAN

Table 1 Submissions of Support

Table I	Subilli	ssions of Support								
Sub. Number	Name	Summary	Noise	Air	Visual	Traffic	Heritage	Planning	Cumulative Impact	Other
253529	Dean Madero	'This proposal will provide the production and delivery of economic concrete into the inner Sydney precinct by a world class supplier with many years of operating responsibly in this type of location.'								√
253640	Dom Barro	'Hanson and other heavy construction materials suppliers are the backbone to the cities development.'								✓
254772	John Hewitt	'This is a critical piece of infrastructure to support the growing need to efficiently supply concrete into the Sydney CBD.'								✓
253523	Rishabh Satsangi	'The location would assist in reducing the amount of truck movement from surrounding suburbs into the city.'				√				
253516	Taylor Topperwien	'A central location would help our infrastructure projects and help build Sydney's future.'								✓
253516	Name withheld	'I have no problem with this going ahead'								✓
253793	Name withheld	'The ability to receive aggregates into a central Sydney location via ship will have a positive environmental impact by reducing delivery times via truck transport.'				√				
253854	Name withheld	'I am in full support of this Development proposal.'								√
255981	Name withheld	'I believe it makes a sense to reduce the number of trucks on the road. These facilities will not only reduce the amount of trucks transporting raw materials from their source (impacting motorways and surrounding suburbs) - but also reduce the travel time of the concrete trucks to their destination'				V				
256896	Name withheld	'The Glebe concrete plant will allow Hanson to continue its supply of concrete after the closure of the Blackwattle Bay concrete plant. Aggregates can be delivered by ship from the Hanson Bass Point Quarry				√				

Sub. Number	Name	Summary	Noise	Air	Visual	Traffic	Heritage	Planning	Cumulative Impact	Other
		which will minimise tipper truck movements'								
256489	Name withheld	'The high capacity facility is essential for time sensitive delivery to the major projects taking place in the CBD and adjacent areas.'								✓
256891	Name withheld	'Use of marine transport will reduce truck movements'				✓				
259049	Nathan Grant	'The aggregate load out facility would reduce the number of truck movements in/out of the CBD by the company and further lower the risk for incident regarding its road truck fleet as a direct result.'				√				
260183	Damien Calderbank	'It will be clean and well maintained supporting major infrastructure in Sydney'								✓
260245	Name withheld	'Currently, all aggregates are carted into the city by truck. With this proposal traffic impacts will be greatly reduced since this ship will remove a large amount of truck movements, which will also reduce noise impacts.'	√			✓				
260269	Gariden Bonfield	'The new facility would replace the existing Concrete plant & aggregate depot at Blackwattle Bay with a "worlds best practice" facility significantly reducing impacts to the environment & public amenity.'				√				√
260265	Jackson Carter	'The proposed design will also reduce the number of trucks needed to transport materials into Sydney via road networks and provide local employment.'				√				√
260267	Name withheld	'It will be a large step forward to build a larger, safer, quieter, more efficient and environmentally friendly plant.'	✓							√
260728	Name Withheld	'I am are very pleased and look forward to the development of this project.'								✓
260816	Brendon Lin	'support the facility development so that we provide the necessary resources to supply Sydney's infrastructure demands.'								✓
260732	Tiara Agarwal	'It will have many benefits which include employment opportunities as well as the advancement of infrastructure.'								√
260730	Name	'Due to the growing infrastructure in CBD by having								✓

Sub. Number	Name	Summary	Noise	Air	Visual	Traffic	Heritage	Planning	Cumulative Impact	Other
	withheld	this facility it will provide efficient and prompt service in order to assist the building work.'								
260734	Name Withheld	'I support this project and is very beneficial of the community and the growing infrastructure in Sydney CBD'								√

Table 2 General Comments

Sub Number	Name	Summary	Noise	Air	Visual	Traffic	Heritage	Planning	Cumulative Impact	Other
260763	Alan Naylor	'with the dirt, noise and environmental impact this cannot go ahead'								✓
260987	Fiona Campbell	'Unavoidably, the plant will have traffic impacts in the area, and increase risk for vulnerable road users'				√				
260985	Tony Arnold	"any new development should not impact on the safe and clear passage for walking and cycling over Glebe Island Bridge.				✓				
260989	Yvonne Poon	'The proposal does not sufficiently address its impact on existing and future regional cycling links'				✓				
262324	Name Withheld	'Please require that they extend the separated cycleway on the north side of James Craig Road to connect to Glebe Island Bridge'				√				
262354	Name withheld	'The proposal does not sufficiently address its impact on existing and future regional cycling links'				✓				
262348	Name withheld	'The proposal does not sufficiently address its impact on existing and future regional cycling links'				✓				
260981	Name withheld	'I request that the department review the option of including a bicycle lane over Glebe Island Bridge.'				✓				
262386	Name withheld	'The proposal does not sufficiently address its impact on existing and future regional cycling links'				✓				
262372	Name withheld	'The proposal does not sufficiently address its impact on existing and future regional cycling links'				✓				
262370	Name withheld	'The proposal does not sufficiently address its impact on existing and future regional cycling links'				✓				

Sub Number	Name	Summary	Noise	Air	Visual	Traffic	Heritage	Planning	Cumulative Impact	Other
262368	Name withheld	'The proposal does not sufficiently address its impact on existing and future regional cycling links'				✓				
262366	Name withheld	'Please do everything you can to include bike planning in your plans because we all want less traffic on the Sydney roads.'				√				
262364	Name withheld	'The proposal does not sufficiently address its impact on existing and future regional cycling links. To this end, the proposal should be conditioned to extend the existing grade-separated cycleway on the northern side of James Craig Road.'				√				
		'traffic monitors should be upgraded to record two- way traffic and distinguish between cars and trucks. More points should be monitored to provide accurate baseline and ongoing measures of traffic levels - trucks in particular'	√	√		~				
		'It is likely that Hanson has already equipped, or has plans to equip or re-equip, its vehicle fleet with GPS tracking. If not, it should do so, and it should make the information it gathers accessible in real time to its stakeholders in the community for reasons of openness and transparency.'								
		'There are obvious opportunities to ensure that a project of this scale and lifespan gets off to a clean start. The cheap, heavy fuel oil used by ships for navigation and portside activities can be replaced by higher grade, low emissions fuel.'								
		'Noise from large, heavy, low-geared diesel vehicles in residential areas already subject to existing traffic and aircraft noise and emissions will be a very unwelcome development.'								
		'The EIS states that concrete agitator delivery trucks would only travel along Victoria Road for accessing work sites in and around Balmain, Drummoyne and Rozelle and that concrete agitator trucks would only travel along Johnston Street and Booth Street for accessing work sites in and around Glebe and Annandale.								
262362	Name withheld	It would be helpful if proponent would explain what is meant by" . around Balmain, Drummoyne and Rozelle (and) around Glebe and Annandale" in 5.8.1.								

Sub Name Number	Summary	Noise	Air	Visual	Traffic	Heritage	Planning	Cumulative Impact	Other
	For example, what routes would proponent's agitator trucks take to sites in Ashfield, Summer Hill, Lewisham, Petersham, Stanmore, Enmore, Newtown and destinations further south?'								

Table 3 Submissions in Objection

Sub Number	Name	Summary	Noise	Air	Visual	Traffic	Heritage	Planning	Cumulative Impact	Other
255666	April Klineberg	'Glebe Island should be used for community purposes, for example, to provide extra parkland due to the ever increasing density of the Pyrmont/Glebe/Inner city area'	√	√		√				
260708	Christina Paap	'This will result in both fumes and continuous low frequency engine noise'	✓	✓		✓				
256007	Christopher Wright	'I object to the development due to 24hr 7 days a week operation Due to noise and dust'	✓	✓						
256184	Colin Barnard	'Whilst the use of the waterway to bring in bulky aggregates would appear to be beneficial to assist that aim and reduce traffic, the cost to the environment is too great for this location.'		√						
257406	Kevin Alcoc	'I request that the development be disallowed on the basis of noise'	✓							
253610	Manuela Epstein	'It seems a contradiction by the Government to recommend major urban renewal around Blackwattle Bay and at the same time propose the highly industrialisation of a Glebe Island which will impact adversely on the public benefit'						✓		
256223	Nicholae Bowen	'The whole White Bay and Glebe Island areas were meant to be residential and professional areas, not industrial - that's why the shipping docks were removed in the first place'	√	✓						
260710	Name withheld	'The proposed 24/7 operation (and frequency of cargo ships) noise pollution in particular at night.'	√	✓	~					
255912	Name withheld	'Any business running 24 hours per day/ 7 days per week should be located well away from residential						✓		

Sub Number	Name	Summary	Noise	Air	Visual	Traffic	Heritage	Planning	Cumulative Impact	Other
		precincts.'								
256001	Name withheld	'A concrete aggregate plant, the vessels delivering to it and the vehicles (to and fro from) in this location is totally inappropriate in a place that is surrounded by residential areas'	√	√		✓				
256904	Amy Jin	'We are object this DA because we live opposite this Glebe site'	✓	✓	✓					
256912	Cathy Xu	'We are object this DA because we live opposite this Glebe site'	✓	√	√					
256493	David Zaoui	'It is 10 years since the Glebe Island operated as a 24 hour working port and in that time Pyrmont has become the most densely populated suburb in Australia'	√	√		√		√		
		'For all these years we have been complaining about sundry and uncompliant ships berthing at the White Bay docks, running multiple noisy generators, belching diesel exhaust smoke and fumes into the prevailing wind with the noise and diesel dust seriously contaminating the residential apartments that were approved and built along and set back from the foreshore.'	√	✓ ·	~			~		
256233	Garry Crowhurst	'The obvious impact on everyone's health is totally unacceptable presently and to seriously consider increasing the shipping activity in the harbour is unconceivable, reckless and unacceptable to say the very least'								
256906	Jinhua Wang	'We are object this DA because we live opposite this Glebe site'	✓	✓	√					
256953	Joanna Davison	'I have no objection to the facility being built but cannot see the need for it to operate 24/7'								✓
256826	Malcom Wilson	'How committed is the State Government to its Bays Precinct urban renewal program?"						√		
256966	Patrik Seibel	'There is no room for such an industry in the heart of the city, not around dense population. nobody wants to live beside a concrete manufacturing facility'		√				√		

Sub Number	Name	Summary	Noise	Air	Visual	Traffic	Heritage	Planning	Cumulative Impact	Other
256225	Silvia Ohr	' The whole White Bay and Glebe Island areas were meant to be residential and professional areas, not industrial - that's why the shipping docks were removed in the first place'	√	√		~				
		'The port's history has been acknowledged. However, the reality is that Glebe Island has not operated as a port on a 24/7 basis since they stopped offloading car-carriers in 2008, and since then residential towers for thousands of people have been constructed'	√	1		✓		1		√
		'Emissions from ship engines continuously burning crude diesel - together with fine dust particles from bulk materials on vessels coming from countries with low emission-reduction standards - will severely impact air quality'								
		'Even if importing to Glebe Island reduces the number of truck movements, even a fraction of the 140,000 truck movements would have major traffic consequences for the precinct'								
257018	Stephen Paull	'This development with its 34-metre-high silos would obstruct views of the highly-acclaimed Anzac Bridge from various vantage points which is in complete contrast to the vision for future developments in the Bays Precinct.'								
256908	Helena Jin	'We are object this DA because we live opposite this Glebe site'	✓	√	√					
		'Raw sewage used to be dumped into Sydney Harbour but we do not do that anymore'	✓	√	√			✓		
257035	Name withheld	'It is the antithesis of sound planning and environmental policy to locate a major industrial complex in the middle of extensive existing and future planned residential living"								
257149	Name withheld	'We request that the Port Authority conduct a thorough environmental impact study so that our concerns can be addressed'	✓	✓	✓					

Sub Number	Name	Summary	Noise	Air	Visual	Traffic	Heritage	Planning	Cumulative Impact	Other
257062	Name withheld	'Traffic impacts on the area consequent to the implementation of all or some of these projects will be horrendous; further contributing to the growing bottle neck that Pyrmont and inner West suburbs is becoming'	√	√	✓	V		✓		
256993	Name withheld	'No consideration has been given to the residents of Pyrmont when proposing such a development many of whom had been led to believe that any development on Glebe Island would be sympathetic to its residential surrounds'								√
256947	Name withheld	'I object to the above development'	✓	✓						✓
256914	Name withheld	'I live within a couple of hundred metres of the proposed site, and my child's school (amongst others) is also nearby'	✓	✓						
257320	Andrew Davison	'I wish to object to this project. It is inconsistent with the Bays Precinct plan'	√	✓	√					
		'The proposal by Hanson and the MUF proposal being considered by the Ports Authority ought to be subject to a single assessment process. The fact that the Hanson proposal is subject to Part 4 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act (EPA Act) and that the MUF proposal is to be assessed under Part 5, when both projects are thoroughly intertwined, demonstrates a lack of coordinated planning'	√		√			/	✓	
		'The assumption underpinning the Acoustic Report is that the port has been operating as a 24/7 operation from GIBI and 2. In the seven years that I have lived at Sugar Dock, this has never been the case'								
		'While it may be accepted that noise from ships will occur during day and evening hours, the idea that night time ship operations and the concrete batching and MUF activities could continue 24/7 is entirely unacceptable'								
257980	Andrew Pickles	'the proposal has not been located so as to minimise the impact on the surrounding area, but								

Sub Number	Name	Summary	Noise	Air	Visual	Traffic	Heritage	Planning	Cumulative Impact	Other
		rather located simply for ease of loading and unloading'								
		'That Sydney Harbour has been a working port for over 100 years is acknowledged, however Sydney has changed dramatically over that period, and a significant amount of the Sydney harbour port activity has been relocated to other ports'	✓			√		√	√	
257710	Jennifer Owen	'In 1978, there were only 1800 residents remaining in Pyrmont 6 . However, by the 2016 Census, resident numbers were 12,813, a 62% increase from the 2001 census'								
257630	Linda McAvenna	'The cumulative outfalls from Hanson, MUF, bulk materials vessels, let alone any consideration of the tunnelling at White Bay will be intolerable community, environmental and commercial burden on the precinct'	✓	✓					√	✓
257194	Manuela Epstein	'I wish to lodge my strong objection to Hanson's proposed Concrete Plant on Glebe Island as this is not an appropriate site for this development'	✓			√		√		
257628	Martin McAvenna	'Together with the bulk carriers unloading at GIB1 and with the Port Authority MUF in 24/7 production, there will be a massive expansion of highly intrusive noise, air and water pollution as well as extreme industrial light spill'	√		✓			V	√	√
257293	Masie Alizadeh	I don't want this project to move forward. This will be noisy and disrupt my everyday living.	✓							
257307	Rick Miller	My main concerns over this proposal is that this would be a 24/7 operation that would in turn cause noise and also create dust in the area depending on wind directions.	✓	√						
257333	Robert Boucher	'I believe both the MUF and Concrete Batching proposals need to be assessed in unison'	✓					√		
		'Any proposal for Glebe Island must take into account the changed landscape of the now residential area'	✓	✓		√			√	
257244	Ronald Gill	'It is of grave concern that the Hanson Project								

Sub Number	Name	Summary	Noise	Air	Visual	Traffic	Heritage	Planning	Cumulative Impact	Other
		would not be viable without the direct benefit of the Port Authority's project to establish the MUF at the adjacent berth. The MUF proposal is not subject to the same scrutiny as the concurrent application by Hanson to establish a Concrete Batching Plant'								
257428	Ross Barr	'I think this re-industrialisation of Glebe Island is a retrograde step that puts a large question mark over the unique outcomes that could have been arrived at for the Bays Precinct'						√		
		'The port's history has been acknowledged. However, the reality is that Glebe Island has not operated as a port on a 24/7 basis since they stopped offloading car-carriers in 2008, and since then residential towers for thousands of people have been constructed'	✓	√		√		✓		✓
		'Emissions from ship engines continuously burning crude diesel - together with fine dust particles from bulk materials on vessels coming from countries with low emission-reduction standards - will severely impact air quality'								
		'Even if importing to Glebe Island reduces the number of truck movements, even a fraction of the 140,000 truck movements would have major traffic consequences for the precinct'								
257303	Stephen Paull	'This development with its 34-metre-high silos would obstruct views of the highly-acclaimed Anzac Bridge from various vantage points which is in complete contrast to the vision for future developments in the Bays Precinct.'								
257271	Name withheld	It does not have the size and amenity to appropriately manage the noise, environment controls and traffic that would emerge from such a project.	√							√
		'Any proposal for Glebe Island must take into account the changed landscape of the now residential area'	✓	✓		√			√	
257242	Paul Stanley	'It is of grave concern that the Hanson Project								

Sub Number	Name	Summary	Noise	Air	Visual	Traffic	Heritage	Planning	Cumulative Impact	Other
		would not be viable without the direct benefit of the Port Authority's project to establish the MUF at the adjacent berth. The MUF proposal is not subject to the same scrutiny as the concurrent application by Hanson to establish a Concrete Batching Plant'								
257236	Name withheld	'These levels should be regularly monitored by the Ports Authority, with punitive remedies able to be enforced on the operator of the facility, the vessels involved and the trucking companies involved'	✓							
257176	Name withheld	'Glebe island, surrounded by water and an affluent densely populated neighbourhood, is one of the few places available to locate an environmentally friendly and 'public' useful facility offering good parking ,easy access and a pristine setting for something like a lyric theatre for the enjoyment of all Sydney residents'	✓					✓		
257161	Name withheld	'The pollution from the cruise ships together with the constant trafficking and docking of the commercial ships will worsen the condition considerably'		✓						
257571	Name withheld	'Glebe Island is currently used for smaller maritime operations which are welcome by most residents. The proposed project is too too big for such a small site adjacent to a large residential area'	√			√		√		
257823	Name withheld	'It's been 10 years since Glebe Island has operated as a 24 hour working port and in that time Pyrmont has become one of the most densely populated suburbs in Australia and this will now impact many more people'	√	√		√		√		
257791	Name withheld	'It is located on a site the size of 21/2 football fields and has structures which are 34 metres (equivalent to an 11-storey building) in height - almost as high as the existing Glebe Island Silos. Its proposed 24/7 usage is not even currently enjoyed by the existing concrete plant on Bridge Rd and is a totally unreasonable proposition for this location!'				V		✓		
258769	Alex Greenwich	'A master plan for the precinct should be completed before any proposed development is approved' 'The Department of Planning must critically assess and verify all figures, claims and predictions on	✓	√	✓	✓		√		√

Sub Number	Name	Summary	Noise	Air	Visual	Traffic	Heritage	Planning	Cumulative Impact	Other
		impacts to ensure that they are correct and that the final determination protects local residents, with appropriate conditions of consent imposed if the project is approved' 'Strong noise mitigation measures must be established and imposed as conditions of consent if the project is approved to protect residents from noise, particularly when ships are berthed' 'There must be an appropriate cap on the number of truck deliveries to and from the site to protect residential amenity and the local transport network, particularly during peak travel times' 'Additional photomontages need to be presented to the community prior to any determination'								
258713	Andrew Davison	'the current proposed project is totally inconsistent with the level of activity over the past decade or so'	✓	√	√					
258758	David Wilkins	'I strongly object to the following proposed industrial installation on Glebe Island by Hanson Concrete with its concrete batching plant'	√	√	√	√		√	√	√
258884	Giuseppe Zuzza	'The proposed facility - with its24/7 operation - would create significant noise, light, water and air pollution from operations on Glebe Island as well as ships berthed at Glebe Island. This would result in a severely negative impact on the health and wellbeing of residents in Pyrmont, located as close as 200-250 metres away in Sydney's most densely populated suburb'	√	1	1	1		√	✓	√
258390	John Durham	' the proposal and the further plans to allow a multi user facility operating 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, has no place in the long term development of the Bays Precinct and Glebe Island'	✓	√	√	√		√		
259032	John Logan	'Property Values. Values have already been seriously impacted by the prospect of this development with prospective buyers expressing serious concerns about the impacts listed above'	√	√	√					√
258242	Peter Coleman- Wright	'Despite the eye sore which will ruin the idyllic lifestyle in Pyrmont, the Cement works create serious air contamination'	✓	✓	✓					

Sub Number	Name	Summary	Noise	Air	Visual	Traffic	Heritage	Planning	Cumulative Impact	Other
258946	Tennyson Logan	'The noise pollution this facility (and it's construction) will create alone is worth the objection, especially in the wake of numerous ships exceeding the noise recommendations of the EPA over recent years with little or no repercussions for the offending vessels'	√	√	V					
258944	David S. Wilkins	'Please do not let these proposals proceed. Instead, convert Glebe island into parkland for general public use'	\	✓	√	√		✓	~	√
258742	Deborah Mitchell	' I ask you why this cannot be placed at Port Botany where such facilities are common place. Ours is no longer the industrial precinct that it was 10 years ago and this must be taken into consideration. The residents must have a say in this matter!'	√		√			√		
258882	Paul Mitchell	'Pyrmont is no longer an Industrial Precinct and should not be subjected to 24/7 noise, pollution and excessive lights'	~	√	√			✓	√	
258802	Abram Mitchell	'The industrialisation of Glebe Island is a backward step as it hasn't had heavy activity since 2008 when the cars were removed. The precinct of Pyrmont would be directly effected and consideration must be given to the people who live there. This area is now comprised of high density living with over 3000 residents'	√	1	✓	✓		√	✓	
258321	Name withheld	'What happened to new Silicon Valley that was proposed for the White Bay wharvesthere are countless other technology firms outside of Google that could be secured.'		✓				√		
258204	Name withheld	'The proposal does not take adequate account of the Bays Precinct Transformation Plan 2015' 'As a local resident living around 200 metres across from the proposed plant, my number one concern is noise, and in particular noise at night'	√		√			✓		
258202	Name withheld	'I am very concerned about the expected noise disturbance and also the dust and dirt and air pollution that will result from the proposed concrete plant that will be located just a few hundred metres away from our balcony and main bedroom'	√					√		

Sub Number	Name	Summary	Noise	Air	Visual	Traffic	Heritage	Planning	Cumulative Impact	Other
258185	Name withheld	'The proposed building is a monstrous box, far too large for the area, and it will make this start to look again like a very badly designed industrial site'		√	~	√				
258138	Name withheld	'I am opposed to this proposal'	✓	✓	√			✓		
258110	Peter Ball	'In my view, the proposed Hanson Concrete Batching Plant and Aggregate Handling Facility has no merit in terms of the public interest and must be refused. It is both dangerous and anachronistic. The continued use of existing and expanded port facilities 24 hours per day, 7 days per week is no longer appropriate here and should never be permitted at the expense of the health and well-being of local businesses and residential communities surrounding Glebe Island'	~	✓ ·	√	√	√	*	*	✓
258072	Christopher D Levy	'this proposal ignores the complete change which has happened in the Pyrmont area in the last decade, as encouraged by the NSW Government and the City of Sydney Council. It also ignores or minimises the damage to the health of local residents through noise and dust pollution, and a huge increase in heavy trucks on roads in this area'	√	√		√		√		
258070	Christopher D Levy	'this proposal ignores the complete change which has happened in the Pyrmont area in the last decade, as encouraged by the NSW Government and the City of Sydney Council. It also ignores or minimises the damage to the health of local residents through noise and dust pollution, and a huge increase in heavy trucks on roads in this area'	√	√		√		~		
260054	Amber Hayes	'The project should take into account the cumulative impact of truck movements from a range of other projects proposed for the area including the multi-user facility at Glebe Island, the Western Harbour Tunnel and the staging site at White Bay Power Station'	✓			√				
259313	Angela Rogers	'As a fairly new resident (bought February, 2018) in the Evolve building I base my objection on the grounds of Noise, Pollution, Traffic Congestion, Artificial lighting, Scale and inconsistency with the plan to rejuvenate the Bays Precinct'	√	✓	✓	✓				

Sub Number	Name	Summary	Noise	Air	Visual	Traffic	Heritage	Planning	Cumulative Impact	Other
259133	Bethwyn Wilkins	'We who have come live in this area are committed to the concept of a thriving, sustainable, and beautiful inner city; many of us have made the change from leafy outer suburbs to inner Sydney because we believed our city planners to be promoting this same vision'	√	√				✓		
259160	Christopher Durman	'The land is zoned for a port use. I do not consider a concrete plant (manufacturing) as a port use'	✓	✓	✓	√		✓		
259930	Hjustin Martin	'The application with its air, noise and light pollution and massive traffic impact has too severe an impact on the surrounding area and the residents who will be subject to these impacts 24/7'	√	√	✓					
259292	Lynette Scheidel	'The proposal is a backward step reverting Glebe Island to a heavy industrial area completely ignoring the overall objectives and principles of the Bays Precinct and the 2000 Master Plan for White Bay and Glebe Island'	√	√	~	√			√	
259845	Nigel Champion	'In order that there is a level playing field I respectfully request that Minister for Planning call in the Port Authority's proposed MUF in order that the same assessment can be applied to the MUF as the Hanson Concrete Plant'	√	1	√					
259307	Sheila Shaver	'I oppose the proposed facility as deleterious to Pyrmont and its Residents'		✓				√		
259284	Stephen Rogers	'I strongly object to the proposal to establish a concrete batching facility which is to operate 24 hours per day/7 days per week'	✓	✓	~	√				
259095	Sue Armstrong	'We already have more than 360 cruise ships coming into the White Bay Cruise Ship Terminal every year and the majority of these use high sulphur content marine fuels which emit sulphate aerosols which are extremely detrimental for human health and terrestrial and aquatic environments'							✓	√
259320	Susan Durman	'The 24/7 operation is an affront to human dignity and the freedoms that every citizen in this country is entitled to. Every person living in Australia is entitled	✓	✓	~	√		√	√	

Sub Number	Name	Summary	Noise	Air	Visual	Traffic	Heritage	Planning	Cumulative Impact	Other
		to live in their own homes in peace and safety. The proposed development is in direct opposition to this essential right'								
259937	Susan Durman	I would like to add that I request the Planning Minister call the entire Glebe Island Development as a SSD and that all reports be done on a cumulative basis						√		
259939	Susan Durman	I would like to add that I request the Planning Minister call the entire Glebe Island Development as a SSD and that all reports be done on a cumulative basis						√		
259654	Tennyson Logan	'The noise pollution this facility (and it's construction) will create alone is worth the objection, especially in the wake of numerous ships exceeding the noise recommendations of the EPA over recent years with little or no repercussions for the offending vessels'	√	✓	√					
259121	Ricky Kong	'I STRONGLY OBJECT to this relocation of the Hanson aggregate handling and cement batching facility to Glebe Island'		✓				~		√
259698	Name withheld	'Having a concrete batching facility just across the water from our building would mean dust 24/7, something that would damage our lifestyle that we have invested a large sum of money to have. This facility would also mean a great decrease in value of our property'		√						√
260058	Name withheld	'Ships will be permitted to berth at the port 24 hours a day 7 days a Week There are no bans on ships running generators while berthed or leaving lights on at night'	√	✓						
260002	Name withheld	Please refer to the attached submission (none attached)								
259127	Name withheld	'I am not opposed to progress and development but a 24/7 operation in the heart of a densely populated area is unacceptable'	√	√						
260213	Frank Hazzlewood	The plant will operate 24/7 near a large residential area. Ships will be allowed to birth without ship to shore power,as is evident from from the cruisers at white bay the noise is and air pollution is already				√				

Sub Number	Name	Summary	Noise	Air	Visual	Traffic	Heritage	Planning	Cumulative Impact	Other
		intolerable. Ship to shore power must be mandatory.								
260215	Frank Hazzlewood	The plant will operate 24/7 near a large residential area. Ships will be allowed to birth without ship to shore power,as is evident from from the cruisers at white bay the noise is and air pollution is already intolerable. Ship to shore power must be mandatory.				✓				
260224	Jenny Proctor	'The intrusion of new industrial facilities that will be used temporarily for 4 or so years makes no sense in an area which represents one chapter of Sydney's colourful colonial early days, both residential and industrial'	✓	✓		✓ 				
260076	Kelvin Riordan	'we don't need it on top of Westconnex vehicles as they are generally diesel and are polluters!'				✓				
260166	V Petricevic	'We cannot believe that Council would contemplate granting approval to a concrete batching facility on a prime parcel of land right at the front door of Balmain / Rozelle, with all the noise dust traffic 24 hours a day'	√	√	~					
260164	Name withheld	'The proposed development will almost certainly result in a general downgrade of the area and affect the desirability for residential living'	✓	√	√					
260162	Name withheld	Like all the current NSW Governments initiatives short sited and inappropriate. Not only is this proposed development inconsistent with the 2000 Master Plan but will not allow further uses for tourism, open space and residential given the proximity to the city and the Harbour.				✓		√	✓	
260160	Name withheld	'I do not object to Hansons relocating to Glebe Island from Blackwattle Bay however, it do expect the facility to be constructed, and operate, according to world's best practice'	√	√	√	√				√
260147	Name withheld	Submission attached (no submission)								
260153	Name withheld	Submission attached (no submission)								
260149	Name	Submission attached (no submission)								

Sub Number	Name	Summary	Noise	Air	Visual	Traffic	Heritage	Planning	Cumulative Impact	Other
	withheld									
260158	Name withheld	'There are three main reasons why the Hansons warehouse should not be constructed. These reasons are that there would be too much noise from ship's engines both day and night, there would be too much dust and sand blown to us polluting the environment and us and there would be increased traffic'	√	√	√					
260156	Name withheld	'To have ships virtually alongside residential apartment buildings day and night is an appalling thought.				✓				✓
260235	Name withheld	'the proposed development will have severe adverse impacts on the environment and amenity of the area caused by excessive noise, and a reduction of air and water quality. These negative impacts will diminish the health and well-being of those residing at Jackson's Landing'	✓	✓	√	✓			√	✓
260240	Gordon Fitchett	'Harbour frontage is so rare now to build this facility shows no thought or future planning'						✓		
260178	Name withheld	'The environment and Sydney priorities have changed and the Pyrmont - Glebe Island - Balmain precinct is no longer a major industrial area'	√	✓	✓	√			√	
260209	Name withheld	'The proposal is a backward step reverting Glebe Island to a heavy industrial area completely ignoring the overall objectives and principles of the Bays Precinct and the 2000 Master Plan for White Bay and Glebe Island'	√	√	✓	✓			√	
260205	Name withheld	'The MUF must undergo an EIS just as Hanson'	✓	✓		√				
260324	Anne Maree Stillman	'This is now a residential precinct. It has become one of the most densely populated areas in Australia and the world	✓	✓	~	√			√	
260378	Boris Trochine	'my family ad I are strongly against this "unrealistic" and "backward" proposal. We would encourage government to search for another site for the construction'	√	✓		√				✓
260326	David Stillman	'The entire Glebe Island proposal, Hanson and the Port Authority is unacceptable in every aspect'	√	✓	✓	✓			√	

Sub Number	Name	Summary	Noise	Air	Visual	Traffic	Heritage	Planning	Cumulative Impact	Other
260365	Garry Fahey	'It appears there is no master plan for the area and White Bay/Glebe Island is now the target for multiple ad-hoc projects with what appears to be a total disregard of the cumulative impact on the residents'								√
260386	Joanna Gordon	'The proposal for the Batching Plant to operate 24 hours, 7 days a week is totally unacceptable. The existing Batching Plant in Pyrmont operates from 6am to 6pm. Sydney Airport has a curfew as this development should	√	√	√	✓	✓	✓	√	
260359	John Webster	'I strongly oppose the proposal that ships berth at the port 24 hours a day, seven days a week. This will result in significant sleep disturbance for a large number of people. Ships have no access to shore power so must operate their engines at all times to generate their own power'	<	✓	√	✓		√		✓
260343	Laurie Starling	'I strongly object'	√	✓	√					
260345	Laurie Starling	'I strongly object'	✓	✓	✓					
260388	Marion Manton	'We are not opposed to a working harbour but not an industrial nightmare that should be placed in an industrial site'			√		√			
260361	Michele Jackson	'I acknowledge that the area has always incorporated a working harbour but there has been a balanced approach to this too and has resulted in little inconvenience during the evening hours and overnight'	√	√	✓	✓				
260380	Sophie Rose	'Glebe Island was originally designated for Google headquarters, and an operation such as this is a far more appropriate use for Glebe Island. To propose such a heavily industrial type operation in such close proximity to a high density residential suburb is inappropriate when there are other more appropriate alternatives.'	√	1		✓		✓		
260357	Vaia Webster	'There are large numbers of residents in this region and it is the government's responsibility to ensure development at Glebe Island does not impact on residents' health, wellbeing and amenity'	√	√	√	√		√		√

Sub Number	Name	Summary	Noise	Air	Visual	Traffic	Heritage	Planning	Cumulative Impact	Other
260382	Name withheld	'Glebe Island was originally designated for Google headquarters, and an operation such as this is a far more appropriate use for Glebe Island. To propose such a heavily industrial type operation in such close proximity to a high density residential suburb is inappropriate when there are other more appropriate alternatives.'	√	√		✓		V		
260363	Name withheld	'Proposed site is unsafe and unfriendly for the local community, in particular for the residents in the Evolve building		✓					~	
260376	Name withheld	'Many years ago this site was derelict after the exiting industries that occupied the area moved on. NSW government planned this area and is now a world class example of urban renewal supporting a thriving community and coincidently is the largest densely populated area in Australia. To reintroduce heavy industry into this area would be a travesty'				✓ 		✓ ·		
250259	Name withheld	'This is a health safety hazard to residents in inner Sydney why push more people into the already stretched health system?'				√				√
260257	Name withheld	'Glebe Island needs to be developed in a manner that the City of Sydney is proud to present to visitors as being clean and of high quality design and not a dirty and ugly industrial development'	√	✓	√	√			√	√
260428	Bert Rodenburg	'In this area there are parks, water amenities, walking tracks and to inject a great big eyesore and environmental disaster waiting to happen is just a nonsense'	√	✓	√			√		
260394	David Gordon	'am fully aware of the commercial reality under which Hanson is operating i.e. the company is required to vacate its existing batching plants in Blackwattle Bay and Bank Street, at relatively short notice. With a dearth of convenient and locally available alternate sites and given the constraints of having to develop a \$22.2M facility it is little wonder that Glebe Island must look like the promised land'	✓	✓					✓	~
260470	John Holgate	'in 2010, when I purchased my apartment off the plan at Jackson's Landing, it was the combination of	✓	✓	✓	√		√		

Sub Number	Name	Summary	Noise	Air	Visual	Traffic	Heritage	Planning	Cumulative Impact	Other
		quality water views and recreational life style that I was investing in. The extensive pathways and parklands incorporated in this waterfront precinct, offered residents the opportunity to recreate and enjoy a high standard of living, right on the CBD fringe'								
260390	Joy Fairfull	'A community with residents, families, friends, a local Community Centre, shops, restaurants, and numerous numbers of visitors and tourists visit this area. They in turn will be negatively impacted by this proposed development at Glebe Island 1 and adjacent land. This proposal adversely affects my amenity and that of others who live in Jacksons Landing'	√	√	✓	√		√		
260544	Julie McKenna	' We are very concerned by Hanson's proposed plant and by the proposed new MUF by the NSW Ports Authority fo which very little detail is available'	✓	√	√					√
260549	Martin Sterba	'Activity related to the proposed batching plant will have significant adverse impacts on my family's quality of life as nearby residents. We are very anxious about our health and wellbeing'	✓	√		√				
260500	Peter Mckenna	'I wish to object to this proposal on the basis of several specific concerns'	✓		√			√		
260551	Robert Rath	'In its current form, the proposal represents a significant intensification of industrial activities within a precinct that has become largely residential. If unchanged, together with the multi-user facility proposed adjacent to the site, the facility would have significant impacts on adjacent communities'	√	√	1			1		
260402	Yongxue Li	'If this proposal passed , that will make me very depressed and I will be forced to see both psychological and physical doctors. I'm planning to live at my apartment for rest of my life, but it will totally destroy my dream if this proposal passed'	✓	√				V		
260407	Yongxue Li	'If this proposal passed , that will make me very depressed and I will be forced to see both psychological and physical doctors. I'm planning to live at my apartment for rest of my life, but it will totally destroy my dream if this proposal passed'	√	√				√		
260438	Name withheld	'We currently struggle to cope with the emissions of noise, dust, toxic diesel fumes and particulates, along	✓	✓	√				√	

Sub Number	Name	Summary	Noise	Air	Visual	Traffic	Heritage	Planning	Cumulative Impact	Other
		with high powered industrial lighting currently generated by port operations at Glebe Island berths 7 & 8. We feel the current proposal will tip the balance to make the quality of life here untenable and we are very very fearful'								
260405	Name withheld	'I am concerned that this development will impact directly on my right to open my windows without having to put up with the type of noise that this development will generate'		✓						
260398	Name withheld	'As a resident of Pyrmont, I do NOT support the application. Glebe Island is now located within an environmentally sensitive area with large numbers of people affected by activities on the site'	✓					√	√	
260396	Name withheld	'As a resident of Pyrmont, I do NOT support the application. Glebe Island is now located within an environmentally sensitive area with large numbers of people affected by activities on the site'	~					√	√	
260393	Name withheld	'We acknowledge that we live on a working harbour and do not object to some type of limited activity on the Glebe Island site. However, the Bays Precinct has evolved and Pyrmont is now the most densely populated suburb in Sydney. Glebe Island is thus no longer suitable for the type of activity proposed'	√	√				√	✓	
260568	Name withheld	'These factors will ultimately diminish the enjoyment of my property and devalue my investment in the same. I would not have invested in Silk had I known this to be the case'	~		√	√				√
260575	Name withheld	'The positioning of the storage silos within 100 metres of the ANZAC Bridge will have the greatest negative impact on the landscape, a 'blight' on Sydney harbour, and no mitigation measures will reduce the visual impact'	√	√	✓		✓		✓	
260572	Name withheld	'the use of ships to deliver materials for the batching plant should be encouraged as it will result in fewer truck movements along the already congested local roads'	√							✓
260493	Name withheld	'This location is entirely unsuitable for such an operation and, surprising it is being considered as it s	✓	✓		√			√	

Sub Number	Name	Summary	Noise	Air	Visual	Traffic	Heritage	Planning	Cumulative Impact	Other
		an extremely densely populated location, with Pyrmont, Balmain and White Bay neighbouring it and these foreshores have tightly-packed, multilevel apartment complexes'								
260468	Name withheld	'I wish to object in the strongest possible terms to the above proposal. My objections relate primarily to the impact of the proposal on heritage, aesthetics and the despoliation of the scenic views from the Bays'			√		√			
260678	Anita O'Neil	'I have studied the submission carefully and have not been satisfied that the proposal will not detract significantly from my residential lifestyle and consequently from the value of my property'	✓	√						√
260716	Deborah Shaw	'Whilst I appreciate that the Pyrmont area has always been a "working harbour", a facility of this magnitude does not make sense given the close proximity to residential property. The Government chose to gentrify the area some 20 years ago, and approval of this facility, in its current state, is showing complete disregard for this'	√	√	1					
260703	Ivy Siu	'I strongly object'	✓	✓		✓				✓
260726	Jarmila Sterba	'It is not solely a question of our family's discomfort and the imposition of this eyesore into the landscape, which we cherish, but more importantly the general enjoyment of the whole of Jackson's Landing. The running tracks where many people relax and unwind as well as the picnic areas where many families enjoy their weekends undeniably will be ruined and disturbed by this project, not to mention the noise and air pollution that will affect everyone's health.						√		
260619	Judith Nguyen	'I wish to object in the strongest possible terms to the above proposal, as I believe it will significantly impact on heritage, aesthetics and scenic views from the Inner West bays'			✓		✓			
260635	Pragasen Pillay	'or Sydney to be a vibrant city and world leader in an environmentally sustainable future, this new facility	✓	✓	✓					✓

Sub Number	Name	Summary	Noise	Air	Visual	Traffic	Heritage	Planning	Cumulative Impact	Other
		needs to be gauged against the impact on the broader and modern Pyrmont and surrounding communities and not be gauged against historical usage provisions that permits archaic noise and air level tolerances and allows 24/7 365 days usage availability'								
260672	Ronald O'Neil	'I have studied the submission carefully and have not been satisfied that the proposal will not detract significantly from my residential lifestyle and consequently from the value of my property'	✓	✓						✓
260712	Ross Stitt	'On its own the HCBP would have major adverse health and amenity consequences for residents in neighbouring areas, particularly Pyrmont which is one of the most densely populated suburbs in Australia. Those consequences would be attributable to the noise, air, and light pollution generated by the plant'	✓			√		✓	√	√
260718	Stephen Langton	'In summary the proposal in it's current form is not acceptable and ignores the rights of thousands of adjacent Sydney residents'	✓	✓		√		√		
260714	Name withheld	'The proposed Hanson Concrete Plant will have significant environmental impacts, contributing to air, noise, light, water and dust pollution. In addition, the traffic congestions in Pyrmont and surrounding suburbs will be severely impacted.'	√	√	✓	✓		✓	√	√
260694	Name withheld	'I am concerned about the noise associated with a 24 hours facility would generate in a largely residential areas. I am also very concerned about dust pollution'	√	√		✓				
260724	Name Withheld	'It was decided to move the majority of major shipping from within the harbour to Botany Bay and other locations by relevant government authorities many years ago. Why would those same authorities now consider the reinstatement of this heavy industrial activity as suitable in what is now a high density residential area?'	√	√	√					√
260720	Name Withheld	'I am outraged at the proposal for the concrete plant'	✓	✓	✓	✓				
260680	Name Withheld	'EIS is not communicated in an intelligible manner (ie. not plain English) and contains extremely technical	√	✓	√			✓	√	✓

Sub Number	Name	Summary	Noise	Air	Visual	Traffic	Heritage	Planning	Cumulative Impact	Other
		language, thus making it very difficult for residents such as myself to understand the true extent of the environmental impacts of the proposed development'								
260663	Name Withheld	'Situating a cement factory in close proximity to apartments in Australia's most densely populated suburb is entirely inappropriate, leading to loss of amenity (in direct contravention of the principles of the Master Plan for White Bay and Glebe Island and the Bays Precinct) and will impose significant environmental impacts.'				✓ ·				√
260661	Name Withheld	'I ask you to rethink the current plans to turn Glebe Island into a multi-use facility. While I support some form of working harbor at White Bay the current plans are not reasonable in regards to heavy ship and truck movements, seven days a week at all hours'	✓	✓						
260611	Name Withheld	'I was a resident of Refinery Drive when the car carriers used to dock here and unload the cars and I know how much of a disruption this caused to the residents around. The decision to move this activity from Glebe Island to the more suitable Port Botany was welcomed by all, including those involved in the industry who no longer had to deal with ongoing resident complaints or the logistical issues that the site presents in terms of clogging of roads. Once the cars moved on, residents were led to believe the Bays Precinct Master Plan was going to lead to a redevelopment of Glebe Island more in keeping with its residential neighbours, for example the transformation to a technology hub.'	√	~	V	V				
260605	Name Withheld	'I am writing to you to lodge my strong objection to Hanson's proposed Concrete Plant on Glebe Island as this is not an appropriate site for this development'	√	✓		V			√	
260601	Name Withheld	'Any commercial activity so close to a residential area needs strict operating conditions in regards to pollution (especially dust from the concrete in this case), noise (24x7 operations will be very disruptive, including ship movements), lighting (bright operating lights will disturb surrounding residents).'	√	√		✓				

Sub Number	Name	Summary	Noise	Air	Visual	Traffic	Heritage	Planning	Cumulative Impact	Other
260842	John Walsh	Glebe Island should be considered for further residential development eg low cost, essential worker housing.	✓	✓	√	√				✓
260768	Judy Cashmore	'The proposed location for such a plant is highly inappropriate surrounded by nearby residential and recreational foreshore areas of Balmain, Rozelle, Ultimo Glebe, Barangaroo, and Pyrmont because of the noise, traffic, air quality, and most importantly the impact on the visual amenity of the area.'	✓		✓ 	√	√		√	
260757	Mark Dent	'I strenuously object'	✓	✓		✓				
260854	Martin Lawrence	The proposed Glebe Island Concrete Batch Plant is situated much too close to the wonderful Glebe Island Bridge.					✓			
260814	Stephen Byrne	'I am particularly concerned about long term noise the disturbance to quiet enjoyment of our living space, and in particular night time noise which will disturb sleep	√							
260802	Valmai Marshall	'I, like most residents who live close to the proposed facility, accept that White Bay is a working harbour, this proposal is excessive. It introduces heavy industry that is in conflict with the government's plans to increase residential development around the Bay precinct	√	√	V	✓				
260797	Name withheld	'the idea of implant this new facility are serious even catastrophic destruction of the Jackson's landing community as well as surrounding suburb such as Balmain, Pyromont and Glebe in terms of environmental as well as well being of the people'	√	√						√
260795	Name withheld	'The residents of the area have populated the area based on certain criteria which did not include an industrial complex being reintroduced to the area. The argument that the harbour is a working port is based on historical concepts not consistent with the modern view of trying to limit the movement of commercial ships in the harbour by providing improved port facilities such as Botany'	√		V	1			1	
260858	Name Withheld	'I believe that the proposed development is significant in scale and will reduce the amenity of my home. It will impact on traffic, noise, visual and air pollution. The	✓	√	√	√				

Sub Number	Name	Summary	Noise	Air	Visual	Traffic	Heritage	Planning	Cumulative Impact	Other
		cumulative impacts of this and the other proposals for the area (West Harbour Tunnel; Multi -User Facility; M4-M5 interchange) will be devastating'								
260864	Name withheld	'I object to the proposed Hanson Concrete Facility because the noise, air pollution, congestion and property impacts are simply too great.'	~	✓		√				✓
260860	Name withheld	'It is inconceivable that other major developments have curfew restrictions (eg Sydney Airport and general roadside construction) but that the Hanson Concrete Plant may be permitted to operate 24/7 with minimal restrictions on their activities.'	<	√	*					
260749	Name withheld	'Traffic congestion cumulative impact assessment should consider: At peak and nonpeak times as WestConnex suspected to have `peak-spreading' impacts - Hanson traffic (peak 189/hr), 1,930 movements/day), WestConnex (additional 18,000/day Anzac bridge, 7,000/day City West Links), Multi-use facility (1,200), WestConnex White Bay Marshalling area (786), WestConnex civil sites, potential Western Harbour Tunnel construction support facilities at White Bay.'				✓				
260776	Name withheld	'The impact of the Hanson Concrete batching plant application should be assessed in conjunction with all the large construction projects in the Rozelle- Balmain area. This assessment should be broader than the Multi-User facility, given the proximity to the planned Rozelle Interaction / WestConnex Stage 3, proposed Western Harbour Tunnel support areas.'	√	✓		√			✓	
260791	Name Withheld	'I am concerned about the minimal distance (and no proposed protection) in the event of an explosion during refuelling. Although this may seem\\an unlikely event (let's hope so!) what contingencies do you have in place if a catastrophic event was likely to happen?'	~	✓	√					√
260778	Name withheld	'The proposed concrete plant is completely incompatible with the proposed location within the Bays Precinct and will directly impact ambitions for cultural, marine, recreational, tourist, residential and 'innovation hub' use'	√	√					✓	

Sub Number	Name	Summary	Noise	Air	Visual	Traffic	Heritage	Planning	Cumulative Impact	Other
260953	Adrian Wolff	'I am particularly concerned by the inadequate EIS assessment of noise'	√			✓				
260882	Heather Heckenberg	'I strenuously object'	✓	✓		√				
260959	Les Johnston	'There are serious omissions and misinformation in the EIS. These aspects must be addressed prior to any decision being made. The proposed batching plant is a short-term project and will unnecessarily constrain the implementation of the Bays Precinct plan. There is no justified need for hasty decision making'	√	√		✓				✓
260995	Lorraine Harley	'In isolation this proposal is a danger to the environment. When viewed in concert with the other proposals it is immediately apparent how unsuitable the Glebe Island site is for this type of industry.'	√	✓	√					
260957	Mark Cashmore	'I am dismayed at the development proposals being put forward concerning Glebe Island. The particular subject of this submission is Hansons Concrete Batching Plant which is entirely inappropriate for this location - for reasons of noise, air quality and especially the aesthetics and visual landscape right next to the heritage Glebe Island Bridge and the landmark Anzac Bridge, and across form Barangaroo'	√	√	V					
260991	Mark Harley	'Please register my objection to the entirety of this development Proposal.'	√	✓	√			√		
260962	Michele Hacking	'Prior to any extension of activity being approved on Glebe Island, substantial improvements need to be made. The community is already facing overwhelming health and lifestyle impacts. Mandatory controls should be in place to protect the existing, densely populated residential community which adjoins the port and Glebe Island.'	√	√	V	1				
260983	Suzanne Dwyer	'Based on the current cement terminal at Pyrmont there is a precedent that suggests any new facility will be dirty noisey and a heavy polluter'.	√	✓						
260993	Name withheld	'a cement batching plant on Glebe Island is absolutely criminal, not only is it polluting the air. The water will	✓	✓						✓

Sub Number	Name	Summary	Noise	Air	Visual	Traffic	Heritage	Planning	Cumulative Impact	Other
		probably be polluted, and there will be noise pollution'								
262336	Name withheld	'We acknowledge that we live on a working harbour and do not object to some type of limited activity on the Glebe Island site. However, the Bays Precinct has evolved and Pyrmont is now the most densely populated suburb in Sydney. Glebe Island is thus no longer suitable for the type of activity proposed'	√	✓				√	√	
262334	Name Withheld	'We ask that new noise readings are taken from OUR building (not from up the road in Bowman St), as I'm sure they will reveal intolerable levels for this operation.'	✓							
260951	Name Withheld	I object to the proposal. Please see my attached document in PDF format as requested (no attachment).								
260884	Name Withheld	'I believe that the proposed development is significant in scale and will reduce the amenity of my new home.'	√			✓			~	✓
262402	Name withheld	'I hereby lodge my objection to the development of the above property namely the establishment of a Multi-User Facility and a concrete batching plant'	✓	✓	√	√			~	✓
262400	Name Withheld	'I hereby lodge my objection to the development of the above property namely the establishment of a Multi-User Facility and a concrete batching plant'	✓	✓	√	√			√	✓
262394	Name withheld	'I live on the water's edge overlooking Glebe Island at 38 Refinery Drive. When purchasing this property, I accepted that such a position, on a working harbour, would entail a level of noise, air and light pollution from everyday activity. However, the anticipated noise, light and air pollution to be generated by the activities at the proposed Multi-User facility (plus the HCCP to be located beside this MUF) will indisputably be well in excess of the current levels'	1	√	✓	1			✓	
262392	Name withheld	'I am writing to express concern and dismay, shared by the majority of residents of Jacksons Landing, about the proposed developments on Glebe Island including the relocation of the Hanson Concrete plant and the construction of a Multi User Facility to receive sand and other construction material'	√	√		·		✓	~	

Sub Number	Name	Summary	Noise	Air	Visual	Traffic	Heritage	Planning	Cumulative Impact	Other
262390	Name withheld	'I would like to strongly object'	✓	✓	√	√				✓
262380	Name withheld	'I believe that the proposed location of this facility is not in the public interest and would threaten the quality of the local environment'			✓			✓		