Angela Rogers Apt 3C, evolve 2 Bowman St, Pyrmont NSW 2009

The Director Key Sites Assessments NSW Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 SYDNEY 2001

ATTENTION : Mr Karl Fetterplace

RE: Hanson Concrete Plant Application at Berth1 and Land adjacent, Glebe Island Application # SSD 854 OBJECTION

# Dear Sir,

I wish to express my opposition to the above Application . As a fairly new resident ( bought February, 2018) in the Evolve building I base my objection on the grounds of Noise, Pollution, Traffic Congestion, Artificial lighting, Scale and inconsistency with the plan to rejuvenate the Bays Precinct . The detail of my objection is as follows:

## NOISE

The monitoring of noise levels is in it self flawed as there has been no noise level monitoring at 2 Bowman St which is the residential building that is most effected by the proposal, instead the monitors are I believe in Distillery Drive which is a long way from our building and are outdated. A twenty four hour operation the level of ship, truck and plant noise has already been considered unacceptable and well above EPA night-time noise limits by Consultants and will be intolerable for the surrounding residents if this project were to go ahead. There is no provision for ship to shore boat connections resulting in continually boat noise that is not regulated in any form , which really is unacceptable.

## POLLUTION

The development cannot but increase the amount of water pollution from the ships and in relation to air pollution from the fine dust particles from the Concrete plant operations and the truck loads and movements in the what is proposed, an open area with no protection. There appears to be only minimal attention paid to this, in area which is the most densely populated suburb in Australia, this cannot be allowed.

## TRAFFIC CONGESTION

Also in an area that will already will have an increase in vehicle movements with the West Connect and is already heavily congested adding a significant number of trucks to the mix, day and night will exponentially increase the traffic problems that already exists.

## ARTICIAL LIGHTING

The use of Lighting on a twenty four hour basis only two hundred metres away from peoples homes, will without doubt cause sleep disturbance, resulting in poor overall health. The idea that we simply go inside, as has been suggested and close our windows and use artificial forms of ventilation like air conditioning is unacceptable and in fact breaches building codes as there has to be more than artificial ventilation for a domestic residence.

## SCALE

The increase in the scale of the Hanson plant activities and the adjacent Port Authority MUF proposal is totally inappropriate and inconsistent with the surrounding urbanised area.

The Bays Precinct Plan, I thought was about rejuvenating, revitalising an urban area that was once industrialised, dirty and polluted not to regress and actually blight the area by increasing the scale of industrialisation .

I strongly object to the Hanson proposal and do not understand why a plant like this cannot be relocated to an area like Port Botany which is more than capable of meeting there needs.

Yours Faithfully,

Angela Rogers