



PCU070368

JOHN INSHAW

33 ARCADIA ROAD

GALSTON 2159

N.S.W.

4/4/2017

Executive Director, Resource Assessments
Department of Planning and Environment
GPO Box 39
Sydney NSW 2001



Dear Sir / Madam,

Thank you for giving me the opportunity to provide this submission on the Narrabri Gas Project. I object to the proposal in the strongest of terms.

This proposal's Environmental Impact Statement lacks the appropriate detail to adequately assess the project. I understand that up to 850 gas wells are proposed but no maps are provided to show where the wells will be located. Nor are there maps showing where the pipe lines and infrastructure will be constructed. Santos will decide the location of these wells once it gains consent. I am told that no project has ever been assessed in this way in N.S.W. This process is completely unacceptable. I insist that Santos release detailed information relating to the positioning of the wells, pipelines and infrastructure to the public before the Government assesses the project.

There is no economic justification for this project. I understand that Santos was one of the companies mining gas in Queensland which has contracted to sell more gas to overseas customers than it can produce. As a consequence the price of gas is very high and companies are restricting the volume of gas available to Australian manufacturers and power stations. I further understand that the gas produced at

understand that the gas pipeline at

mainly for the purpose of

reducing the volume of gas available to

price of gas is very high and companies are

than it can produce. As a consequence the

contracted to sell more gas to various customers

of the companies owning gas pipelines which has

project. I understand that the gas pipeline was one

There is no economic justification for this

the Government across the project

reference and information to the public before

information relating to the functioning of the wells

unacceptable. I think that the public will also

in the way in NSW. This process is completely

I am told that no project has been given

location of these wells and it seems constant

will be constructed. The public will decide the

maps showing where the gas lines and other features

where the wells will be located. But are there

project but no maps are provided to show

I understand that up to 850 gas wells are

the appropriate detail to include on the project.

The proposals for the project

the objectives of the

San Project. I object to the proposal in

provide this information on the

Thank you for giving me the opportunity to

Yours truly,

John J. Mason

My dear NSW 2001

270 Box 30

Department of Planning and Environment

Executive Director Resource Management

N.S.W.

CHARTON 2150

33 ARCADIA ROAD

JOHN J. MASON

Namabir will derive gas prices even higher. Santos can't justify the project as a job creator. One construction the project will only employ 140 persons. This proposal also not make sense when we recognize the potential damage the project will cause the Great Australian Bight (G.A.B.) that jobs could be created by investing the same development funds into renewable energy ventures.

For Santos to remove gas from the coal seam within the Pilbara, the coal seam has to be dewatered. Unfortunately, the Pilbara is a recharge area for the G.A.B. Santos is expected to remove 37.5 GL of water. This is a significant reduction in the G.A.B. resources. The G.A.B. is at risk of total contamination and reducing the volume of water to an unacceptable level and could have an adverse effect on agriculture.

Salt will be extracted from the water down from the fields. But salt production at Namabir is 41,900 tonnes per day. Santos plans to dump 41,900 tonnes of salt in landfill. This is completely unacceptable.

Santos proposes to clear nearly 1000ha of the Pilbara which is the largest landscape restoration in NSW. This clearing will destroy habitat on endangered wildlife including the eastern pygmy possum and kangaroos. The project will clear areas which are habitat to the Pilbara Mannaroo which is a species of concern in the world. The Pilbara is a natural & cultural icon which must be protected. This cannot be achieved if the area becomes an industrial gasfield.

Monopsony will force our prices even higher.
Gates can't justify the project as a job creator.
One counteracted the project with only 20 jobs.
100 jobs. This proposal has not made
any sense when we recognize the potential damage
the project will cause the first Johnson River (GAR)
that the county is created by increasing the
monopoly of funds into revenue the county creates.

For Gates to remove gas from the coal seam was
the biggest, the coal seam has to be destroyed.
Unfortunately, the village is a recycling area for the
GAR. Gates is expected to remove 355 Gt
of water. This is a significant reduction in the
GAR revenue. The GAR is at risk of loss
contamination and reducing the volume of water
to an unacceptable level and could further compound
effect on agriculture.

Let's look at the extraction from the water down
for the fields. Look at production at Johnson
it will be 15 tonnes per day. Gates plans
to dump 41,000 tonnes of water in landfill.
This is completely unacceptable.

Gates proposes to close nearly 1000 of
the village which is the largest transport corridor
in 1500. This closure will seriously impact
on businesses and the village's economy.
The village is a major transport hub and the
closure will affect other areas which are linked to
the village. There is a great number of jobs
in the world.
The village is a natural & cultural area
which must be protected. This cannot be achieved
if the area remains an industrial landscape.

There is mounting evidence - that gas operations damage human health. There is need for a comprehensive health impact study to be undertaken to ensure ~~that~~ the health of the Narrabri community is protected.

Lake Yarrie is surrounded by the project and will be as close as 200m from the lake. The likelihood of contamination of the lake is very high.

The diesel generators that will operate at each well pad and at the gas compressor plants will emit significant, harmful fine particulate contamination of the air. Air quality impact of escaping methane has not been assessed. Again this impact on air quality is unacceptable.

The Siding Springs Observatory is located in the region. Light from flares, compressor stations & water treatment plants will compromise the effectiveness of this world class scientific facility.

There is evidence that Australia may be underestimating escaping methane from unconventional gas operations. Gas is not needed when the technology is available to provide all our energy needs from renewable sources.

The cost to the environment cannot be justified - the price of environmental destruction from CSG is unacceptable.

Please reject this project before any further damage is inflicted on our environment.
J. S. Law

There is mounting evidence that gas
operators damage human health. There is
need for a comprehensive health impact study
to be undertaken to ensure that the health
of the Houston community is protected.

Like Yarnie is surrounded by the population
will be as close as 200 m from the lake.
The likelihood of contamination of the lake is
very high.

The huge quantities that will be sent to
the well pad are at the gas compressor plant
will have significant harmful air pollution
contaminators of the air. The quality impact
of existing activities has not been assessed.
Again this impact on air quality is unacceptable.

The existing Air Quality Management is located
in the region. Right now there is no
action, a worst situation that will compromise
the effectiveness of this well class scientific
quality.

There is evidence that Australia may be
understandably copying similar gas
unconventional gas operations. Gas is
not needed when the technology is available
to provide all our energy needs from
renewable sources.

The cost to the environment cannot be
justified - the price of environmental destruction
is too high.

Please report this project before any
further damage is done to the environment.
Thank you.