Tom Reeve AC CBE Mary Jo Reeve

02 Dec 12 06:50p

84 Sutherland Road Beecroft NSW 2119 TEL: 02 9875 1191 FAX 02 9875 2256

Email: thomasreeve@bigpond.com

02.12.12 To Major Projects assessment Dept of Planning & Infrastructur FAX 02 - 9228 -6355. Sulphission re E152 Supporting Beecroft-Olive Trust

2 pages including This page Judeane De.

THOMAS SMITH REEVE

From:

Beecroft Cheltenham Civic Trust [bcct2119@hotmail.com]

Sent:

Saturday, 24 November 2012 10:14 AM

To: Subject: secretary@2119.org.au
NWRL Effect on Beecroft and Cheltenham Residents

The NSW Government released on the 29 October 2012 the Second Environmental Impact Statement (EIS2) for the North West Rail Link (NWRL)

EIS2 confirms that the NWRL will greatly disrupt passengers boarding the Northern Line at Hornsby, Normanhurst, Thornleigh, Pennant Hills, Beecroft and Cheltenham (who currently enjoy a direct run into the city on one train taking about 40 minutes from Cheltenham) will be forced to change at Epping for a single deck train. At Chatswood, they will then need to wait and change again, back to a double deck train to get to the CBD. That means having to get three trains into the city rather than the one seamless system they enjoy now. The introduction of this incompatible component is unacceptable.

Minister Berejiklian omits the fact that this will create great disruption for existing customers on the Northern Line who will be forced to change trains at Epping and then change at Chatswood where they will inevitably have to wait for connection to a double deck train to the CBD.

Lodge your objection to EIS2 by December 3-2012 using the following:

Name & Address Professor Tem Reeve AC and my hany to keeve. The Name of your application Effect on BEECLOS + Chelle bentum Revidents, Application number SSI-E414
The Name of your application Effect on BEEClos +Chellenton Residents
Application number SSI-5414
A brief statement (in support or your objection to the proposal) We object to the fact that E152 is a profoundly backword of esp.
the object to the fact that 15152 is a projoundly
backward offer
The support Beleavort - Chelbenham Civie Mis 6
Aubinission.
Your reason(s) (for supporting or objecting to the proposal) we were never told that the train service would be
we were never told if at the train Dervice would be
matching the next rattler service - I Turst used 70 years
physically on the elderthy there is no clared or escalator
physically on the eliteral of there is no clarely of
SEND YOUR SUMISSION BY - December 3-2012 & Berger V.
Post, Email or Fax
The Region of th
HAVE YOUR SAY by Dec 3/12 emails - plan comment@planning / 12 /

HAVE YOUR SAY by Dec 3/12 emails - plan_comment@planning (12.1) nsw.gov.au

post - Major Projects Assessment Dept. of Planning & Infrastructure