



BEECROFT - CHELTENHAM CIVIC TRUST  
INCORPORATED

PO Box 31, BEECROFT, NSW, 2119

Web Site: [www.2119.org.au](http://www.2119.org.au)

# **More trains, More choice for Sydney's North West**

**Metro style trains to replace heavy rail on NWRL**



Artist's impression: A single-deck, high frequency train.

**What has not been said there will be?**

**MORE changes  
MORE standing  
MORE time to destination  
MORE passenger congestion  
MORE inconvenience**

If you are happy with this **DO NO MORE** - If not **YOU CAN DO MORE**

**Further information <http://northwestrail.com.au/article/project-at-a-glance>**

See other side

On the 29 October 2012 the NSW Government released the Second Environmental Impact Statement (EIS2) for the North West Rail Link (NWRL)

How it will affect local residents:

- Passengers travelling on the Northern Line from Beecroft and Cheltenham, who currently enjoy a direct run into the city on one train, will be forced to **change at Epping** for a single deck train. At Chatswood, they will have to will then need to **change again** back to a double deck train + the CBD.
- That means having to get **three trains** into the city, increasing travel time by 15 minutes rather than the one seamless system they enjoy now.
- The introduction of this incompatible component is unacceptable.

Minister Berejiklian has stated that this will create great disruption for commuters on the Northern Line.

The NWRL is a much needed project for Western Sydney but it should not be a metro line. The distance is far too long for metro style transport. **The tunnels should be built large enough for current double deck trains to allow its integration into the current rail system.**

Lodge your objection to EIS2 by December 3 2012.

Name & Address... ROONEY CLARK

54A DAY RD CHELTENHAM NSW 2119

Application number SSI-5414

A brief statement (in support of your objection to the proposal)

Metro style service is inadequate for long journeys.  
Severe productivity reductions result because people  
can't utilise the time on the train to work etc.  
Changing trains has a similar productivity impact  
Your reason(s) (for objecting to the proposal) and is inconvenient.

Lengthening the journey for passengers embarking  
at Cheltenham etc reduces productivity and is  
inconvenient. Signature... R. Clark

**SEND YOUR SUBMISSION BY - December 3-2012 to:**

Email - [plan\\_comment@planning.nsw.gov.au](mailto:plan_comment@planning.nsw.gov.au)

Post - Major Projects Assessment Dept. of Planning & Infrastructure GPO Box 39 Sydney NSW 2000

Fax to - 02 9228 6355