

2 Kayla Way
Cherrybrook NSW 2126
2nd December 2012

Director, Major Infrastructure Assessments
NSW Department of Planning and Infrastructure (SSI_5414)
GPO Box 39
SYDNEY NSW 2001

By email: plan_comment@planning.nsw.gov.au

Subject: Response to Environmental Impact Statement Stage 2 - Stations, Rail Infrastructure and Systems

Proponent: Transport for New South Wales (TfNSW)

Dear Sir/Madam,

Kayla Way NWRL Action Group is group that has been formed to jointly represent the concerns and opinions of Kayla Way residents. This submission has been prepared with the consent of the residents of Kayla Way.

Kayla Way is a small subdivision immediately adjacent and to the North of the Cherrybrook Station Precinct. It has been identified to be impacted the most during the tunnelling operations as identified in EIS1 and station construction and ultimate operation of NWRL in EIS2.

Kayla Way NWRL Action Group support the overall principals of increasing infrastructure to improve travel declared by the premier, Barry O'Farrell, for the North West Rail Link project but object to various elements of the execution in the proposal for the Cherrybrook Station Precinct and access roads in particular Franklin Road.

We are also object to the large portions of land within the Cherrybrook Station Precinct and adjacent to the Northern Boundary that have been marked as "Future Use to be determined by Master Plan" in Figure 6.1. We cannot fathom that this complies with the objective of making the station fit into the natural habitat by potentially adding large building structures in an area that is exactly the opposite of this with most residents choosing to live here because of the space and natural flora and fauna that surrounds them. We are of the opinion that the Proponent has deliberately chosen to conceal their plans for these areas in the hope that they can be developed without further involvement of the adjacent residents, by marking these "Future use to be determined". We understand that parking facilities and small retail in the station building itself are necessary and convenient for travellers but believe the impact on residents for anything else is unacceptable and does not comply to the objectives of the Cherrybrook station precinct as declared. We also believe that there are more than adequate access to retailers in the area with Thompson's corner, Coonara shops, Cherrybrook shops and Castle towers all within a few kilometres radius.

There is also a car park proposed near the Northern Boundary of the station which has been identified to cause sleep disturbances to nearby "receivers".

Outside the Cherrybrook Station Precinct, we object to the proposed use of Franklin Road as the main access to the station site during construction and operation. Currently Franklin Road is a quiet residential road with very low traffic volumes. Once Cherrybrook station is operational, peak traffic movements of 500 vehicles (cars and buses) per hour have been estimated. Franklin Road is currently home to two schools and two establishments designed to take care of the needs of the disabled. It concerns us as residents that if a nesting place for an endangered species had been found in the area, we would have been given more consideration than what has been shown so far in the proposal for the residents children, the children of the schools and the disabled that frequently walk these streets.

This will have detrimental impacts to the quality of life of Kayla Way residents in terms of noise, air pollution, vibration, safety of our children. We propose that both Franklin Road and Robert road be closed off to vehicular traffic and that all entry exit to the station be via Castle Hill Road. One lane can be added to Castle Hill in the peak direction following precedents from other roads in Sydney like Military Road, to allow for the increased traffic.

During construction location of the office and ablution block adjacent to Kayla Way is another example where the amenities of nearby residences have not been considered in the design of the Station precinct.

Finally we would like to reinforce our view that the planning for the Cherrybrook Station Precinct and traffic flows are not consistent with the overall objective of the design of the station as outlined in Section 6.9 of Chapter 6 which state: ***The station has been designed as a suburban park and ride station that integrates with the surrounding natural and built environment. The station precinct has been designed to respond to the area's character.*** Further in Table 6.2 of Chapter 6, Cherrybrook Station has been identified as ***Station Type: Suburban Village***

We would request you to challenge the proponent, TfNSW to demonstrate how they have achieved the design goal for the station and we would offer an alternative to what has been proposed.

We are also concerned that there was inadequate consultation with the residents of Kayla Way in preparing the design of the station precinct or the access to the station. This is outlined in Chapter 5 Table 5.2. SoC 7.

Mitigation measures to address the impacts of the project including construction works and project operation on land use and community facilities have been developed with Councils and landowners and are included in EIS1 Major Civil Construction Works and EIS 2.

Kayla Way residents have not been party to any such consultations.

Our detailed submission referencing the relevant sections of EIS2 and proposing alternatives is attached.

Thanking You,

Mr Gerard & Mrs Andrea CALILHANNA

| Item | IS Reference | Proposal/Concern | Object/Support | Impact on Kayla Way Residents | Suggested Alternatives/Mitigation Measures |
|------|--|--|---|--|--|
| 1 | Chapter 6 Figure 6.1.1 | Park and Ride on Grade for 60 Cars on North Eastern Boundary | Object | Noise - Sleep Disturbance to nearby residents, Vibration - From Car Engines, Pollution - from Car Exhausts | Relocate Car Park adjacent to the proposed multi-level Park and Ride, 23m buffer vegetation buffer between Kayla Way fence and nearest station building or construction. See suggested Precinct Plan. |
| 2 | Chapter 6 Figure 6.1.1 | Green Areas within the Station Precinct near Castle Hill Road and not adjacent to the boundary with Kayla way | Object | Not having wide enough green areas adjacent to the boundary with Kayla way will lead to substantial visual impacts to adjacent residents. Can TNSW justify why wide green areas are located adjacent to Castle Hill Road and not near the boundary with nearby residents ? | Green areas near the Kayla Way boundary will lead to a better outcome for surrounding residents in terms of visual impacts, reduction of heat island effect of the concrete and asphalt areas. A minimum 23m of buffer space will reduce these impacts |
| 3 | Chapter 6 Figure 6.1.1 | Areas marked "Future Use to be Determined by Master Plan" | Object | Uncertainty of the use of adjoining land. Unable to make informed submissions. We object to any buildings built in these areas. | TNSW should submit details about the future of these areas. If this not known, widen the vegetation buffer areas to 30m and then have a noise barrier. |
| 4 | Chapter 6 Figure 6.1.1 | Landscaping the station precinct | More information | Will it be more dealt on the extent of landscaping in the areas adjoining Kayla Way | We demand extensive landscaping to mitigate the issues of noise, visual impacts, dust, heat island effect. |
| 5 | Chapter 6 Figure 6.1.2 | Station Precinct Layout - Security | Object | The creation of a large public area adjacent to Kayla Way poses concerns for security of Kayla way residents. | Taller boundary fences with climbing barriers installed, security cameras monitored by station security at the Northern boundaries of the station precinct. |
| 6 | Chapter 6 Figure 6.1.2 | Increase traffic movements on Franklin Road | Object | Noise - Sleep Disturbance to nearby residents, Vibration - from Car Engines, Pollution - from Car Exhausts, Safety when exiting Kayla Way on an incline with limited visibility. | Build a new access road through vacant land and at the centre of the precinct adjoining Onsite Detention. Close off Franklin Road at the Kayla Way Boundary and Robert Road to vehicular traffic. Add an extra lane on Castle Hill parallel and adjacent to Castle Hill Road. See suggested Precinct Plan. |
| 7 | Chapter 6 Figure 6.1.2 | New Road linking Robert Road and Franklin Road | Object | Noise from buses and vehicular traffic | Build a new access road through vacant land at the centre of the precinct adjoining Onsite Detention. Close off Franklin Road at the Kayla Way Boundary to vehicular traffic. Add an extra lane on Castle Hill parallel and adjacent to Castle Hill Road. See suggested Precinct Plan. |
| 8 | Chapter 7 Figure 7.6 | Location of Office and ablation block, Location of Storage Shed | Object | Noise from Office Areas and air conditioners. Odours from ablation areas | Place these areas away from the North East Boundary and any air conditioning units attached to these related units must also be on the Castle Hill side of the building. Construct a 23m vegetation buffer between the Kayla Way boundary and the nearest building/construction. |
| 9 | Chapter 8 Table 8.7 SG26 | Bunds around Fuel Depots | More information | Any fuel stored near the Northern boundary will cause tank vapours to permeate the air adjacent to the Northern boundary of the site. If there is a fire nearby residents will be severely affected by the vapours from such fires. | TNSW to locate fuel storage areas at least 50 m away from nearby residences |
| 10 | Chapter 8 Table 8.3 | A low concentration of road was reported east of the proposed station. Further delineation and / or waste classification may be required if excavation and offsite disposal of soil is to take place in this area, during the construction of Cherrybrook Station. | More information | Contamination of soil from groundwater if this land is freed either in the form of runoff or land dust | TNSW must outline the measures to be taken to deal with the contaminated soil and prevent it from reaching the environment. |
| 11 | Chapter 8 Figure 9.1 | Proposed Cherrybrook Station Access Routes | Object | The proposed Cherrybrook Station access routes will lead to a significant increase in traffic along Franklin Road and Robert Cause Franklin Road at the Southern boundary of Kayla Way to vehicular traffic. Add extra pedestrian and Road. An estimated 100 cars and 23 buses per hour will travel along each of these roads. This will increase the amount of 100 peak and the increase in the PM peak. There are precedents at this village of lost sleep for example Military Road, Victoria Road, Harbour Bridge where one lane is added to the peak direction to make traffic flow easier. In addition to this, if the access lane within the station is placed adjacent to Castle Hill Road it will ease the traffic flow around the station. Start a new bus route to serve Cherrybrook and Dorval. This could be a loop service serving the eastbound area of Cherrybrook Station. Another alternative to consider is to build a new access road in the centre of the station with a connection to Robert Road. This could be a loop road for the station and via the new station access roads to Castle Hill road. The station loop bus could access the station in the AM peak via the westbound lanes on Castle Hill Road and PM peak via the eastbound lanes. We believe that TNSW needs to go on more thought and planning re movement of traffic. | |
| 12 | Chapter 9 Section 9.1.2 | Widening of Franklin Road | Object | We object to the widening of Franklin Road due to concerns with safety when exiting Kayla Way. There is steep upward facing incline on Kayla Way when exiting and widening the road will reduce the safety of vehicles and pedestrians along Franklin Road. | |
| 13 | Chapter 9 Section 9.1.4 | Heavy vehicle Routes | More information | What measures will TNSW make to ensure that this will not impact Kayla Way and Franklin Road. This section states that transport noise is likely to affect the adjacent residents resulting in sleep disturbances. We object to Can TNSW justify the reason for the location of the car park so close to the residences in Kayla Way ? There are so many areas marked green and "Future Use" why can these areas be used for a car park ? We suggest this car park be moved closer to Castle Hill Road and a vegetation buffer of 23m be constructed on the Northern boundary | |
| 14 | Chapter 10 Section 10.3.4 | Cherrybrook Station on Grade Car Park | Object | We object to the widening of Franklin Road due to concerns with safety when exiting Kayla Way. There is steep upward facing incline on Kayla Way when exiting and widening the road will reduce the safety of vehicles and pedestrians along Franklin Road. | |
| 15 | Chapter 10 Section 10.3.4 | However, most residences are set back more than 10 metres from the road and some residential properties have boundary fences which may provide some noise attenuation. No road improvements on Franklin Road and Robert Road north of the station are associated with the station development and the potential for noise are limited. | Object | In Kayla Way, some residences adjacent to Franklin Road have a side effect of 3m from the boundary due to the corner boundaries. This is true with boundary corner regulations, in some instances the road front is placed in line with the top of the said boundary fence | Close off Franklin to vehicular traffic, lay from the station. All traffic lay from station to use Castle Hill Road |
| 16 | Chapter 10 Table 10.24 | Predicted Noise Levels at Cherrybrook Station due to construction of car park | Object | Noise level exceedances in excess of 20dBA have been identified. Why place the carpark there in the first place ? | Relocate Car Park adjacent to the proposed multi-level Park and Ride, 23m buffer vegetation buffer between Kayla Way fence and nearest station building or construction. See suggested Precinct Plan. |
| 17 | Chapter 10 Notes at bottom of Page 10-29 N09 | During vibratory roller activities at the Cherrybrook Station car park lots, vibration levels may be perceptible at the nearest residential receptors. On the basis that the nearest residential buildings are approximately 15 metres from the proposed car park areas, vibration levels are anticipated to be remain well below the safe vibration levels associated with minor residential building damage. | Object | The book that nearby building are 15m away from the car park is wrong. The scale on the station precinct diagram seems to suggest a distance of 45m from the car park | Relocate Car Park adjacent to the proposed multi-level Park and Ride, 23m buffer vegetation buffer between Kayla Way fence and nearest station building or construction. See suggested Precinct Plan. |
| 18 | Chapter 14 Section 14.4.4 | Cherrybrook Station Existing Character and Land Use Today, the Cherrybrook locality is characterised by generally large, low density dwellings predominantly built within the last 50 years, surrounded by established vegetation, green open spaces and natural corridors across the undulating topography. | Comment | If this is the existing character of the area surrounding the station and the design objective of the station is to respond to the area's character as stated in Section 6.5, can TNSW justify how placing the car park so close to the boundary with Kayla Way meets these design objectives. Also Can TNSW justify how widening the access roads with the potential to lose traffic at the Southern boundary of the station precinct. See suggested Precinct Plan. | Relocate Car Park adjacent to the proposed multi-level Park and Ride, 23m buffer vegetation buffer between Kayla Way fence and nearest station building or construction. Block Franklin Road to vehicular Kayla Way meets these design objectives. Also Can TNSW justify how widening the access roads with the potential to lose traffic at the Southern boundary of the station precinct. See suggested Precinct Plan. |
| 19 | Chapter 16 Section 16.1.1 | 4 m landscape buffer along the boundary to existing residences | Object | As identified in Chapter 10 (Notes at the bottom of Page 10-29), construction of the on-grade car park will affect nearby residences during construction. The narrow buffer of 4 m will not be enough to fully mitigate the effects of noise, light pollution, visual impacts of the station construction and operation. Such a narrow buffer will lead to a deterioration in the quality of life for the residents in Kayla way. | The width of this buffer is not enough. We request at least 23m landscape buffer with mature trees to mitigate the effects of noise, vibration, visual impacts and heat island effects, and that any machinery attached to buildings be located on the Castle Hill Road side of them |
| 20 | Chapter 18 Table 18.3 | Flooding potential and Mitigation Measures | More information | Without adequate measures water run off from the station precinct could affect nearby residences during construction phase | Adequate mitigation measures should be taken to prevent surface run off entering the adjoining backyards. A well-maintained vegetation buffer will assist in filtering any contaminants |
| 21 | Chapter 7 | Dust during station construction | More information | The issue of dust has not been adequately addressed in E02. The air quality around the station and hence the health of surrounding residents will be severely affected if adequate measures are not taken. Dust will accumulate in external air conditioning units causing them to malfunction. Dust from the construction will accumulate on the external surfaces of dwellings also demand Energy Compensation as we will have to run the AC units more often to prevent the ingress of dust into our houses. | NABIS to provide appropriate filters for external AC units, carry out regular house washes of neighbouring houses. A 23m wide vegetation buffer will also reduce the amount of dust that reaches surrounding houses. conditioning units causing them to malfunction. Dust from the construction will accumulate on the external surfaces of dwellings also demand Energy Compensation as we will have to run the AC units more often to prevent the ingress of dust into our houses. |
| 22 | Technical Paper 1 Section 4.3.7 | Construction Traffic - Parking for onsite workers | More information | If there is a limited onsite parking, where will visitors and workers park ? | We demand that NABIS provide restriction measures/signage to prevent site workers parking on Private Roads such as Kayla Way |
| 23 | Technical Paper 2 Section 5.1.5 | Proposed Bus Operations to Cherrybrook Station | Object | Using quiet local roads for buses to serve the station will be detrimental to the quality of life of Kayla Way residents. The noise, diesel exhaust fumes and the average buses draw noise local roads will have a negative impact. Franklin Road is already operating at peak during AM peak hours due to the two Tynes schools. Adding buses in both directions will introduce delays to buses and general traffic. | Close off Franklin to vehicular traffic, lay from the station. All traffic lay from station to use Castle Hill Road. Operate a bus loop service for Cherrybrook/Dorval residents |
| 24 | n/a | Terraces being dislodged from trees during construction | Object | NABIS to install physical terrace barriers at the boundary of Kayla Way to prevent any terraces that are dislodged due to construction activities | We demand that NABIS provide restriction measures/signage to prevent site workers parking on Private Roads such as Kayla Way |
| 25 | n/a | Other travel/spider movements towards housing as a result | Request for Compensation | These will be prolonged (2023-2035) Construction impacts to the residents of Kayla Way. This will in the form of Physical Noise, Air Quality, Traffic and Psychological. | We demand adequate compensation for the six years of enduring these cumulative effects |
| 26 | Chapter 20 Cumulative Impacts Table 20.3 Items 7, 10, 14, 16 | Physical and Psychological impacts to residents of Kayla Way | Request for Compensation | As identified, there will be prolonged impacts to Local Businesses due to changes in accessibility, noise and traffic. There is adequate compensation and mitigation for loss of business due to the prolonged works. This could in the form of sound proofing, double glazed windows or other appropriate property treatments | These will be prolonged (2023-2035) Construction impacts to the residents of Kayla Way. This will in the form of Physical Noise, Air Quality, Traffic and Psychological. |
| 27 | Chapter 20 Cumulative Impacts Table 20.3 Items 7, 12, 14, 16 | Impact to Local Business | Request for Compensation | As identified, there will be prolonged impacts to Local Businesses due to changes in accessibility, noise and traffic. There is adequate compensation and mitigation for loss of business due to the prolonged works. This could in the form of sound proofing, double glazed windows or other appropriate property treatments | These will be prolonged (2023-2035) Construction impacts to the residents of Kayla Way. This will in the form of Physical Noise, Air Quality, Traffic and Psychological. |
| 28 | NWS and NWS1 | Noise During and Post Construction, Mitigation proposed inadequate | Object | Neural barriers erected at per pace, however concerns that the barriers directly border the construction area that so noise high barriers will not block natural light and in, for another strategy to be sought to allow for natural light. If a noise barrier is to be built, there is a major issue as to the construction of the proposed project. The construction site layout is to be placed on the opposite side to provide housing to prevent additional noise. Concern about noise effects on the residents of Kayla Way during construction period and after works completed. 24 hour per day pump and water treatment plants are close to residential properties. How can coming to keep the "combined noise from this equipment... to not exceed the rating background level at nearest residential received to be guaranteed" (p.10) | We demand that NABIS provide restriction measures/signage to prevent site workers parking on Private Roads such as Kayla Way |
| 29 | n/a | Damage to residents property of any form - eg vibration damage, impact by vehicles on site during construction etc... | Request for rectification works as required | Damage to residents property during the construction phase is unacceptable | We would expect rectification works to be completed as required |

