## Diane Sarkies - NWRL objection

From:

"Bourlias, Chrys" <chrys.bourlias@cba.com.au>

To:

"'plan comment@planning.nsw.gov.au'" <plan comment@planning.nsw.gov.au>

Date:

27/11/2012 10:25 AM

Subject:

NWRL objection

Attachments: NWRL objection.pdf; Picture (Device Independent Bitmap) 1.jpg

Hi

Please find attached my objection to NWRL.

I do not generally take part in petitions etc but felt I had too on this occasion. Yes I live in Beecroft and yes I will be inconvenienced by having to catch 3 trains to the city but this does not only impact some communities in the North West area but the State of NSW. I appreciate it would cost more to build bigger tunnels to accommodate for double-decker trains but it is the most sensible and logical solution. People want a seamless railway network that would encourage people to use rather than continue to rely on the trusted car.

Please plan for the long term sustainability of this State not just the election cycle or catering to the interests of marginal seats. Once it is built, we are left with it forever. Sorry but the proposal is very short sighted!

Regards, Chrys

## Commonwealth Bank



Chrys Bourlias Executive Manager Market Research **Retail Banking Services** 

Level 13 Darling Park Tower 1, 201 Sussex Street Sydney NSW 2000 P: 02 9118 1631 M: 0417 658 399

E: chrys.bourlias@cba.com.au

Our vision is to be Australia's finest financial services organisation through excelling in customer service.

This e-mail message is intended only for the addressee(s) and contains information which may be confidential.

If you are not the intended recipient please advise the sender by return email, do not use or disclose the contents, and delete the message and any attachments from your system. Unless specifically indicated, this email does not constitute formal advice or commitment by the sender or the Commonwealth Bank of Australia (ABN 48 123 123 124) or its subsidiaries.

We can be contacted through our web site: commbank.com.au.

If you no longer wish to receive commercial electronic messages from us, please reply to this e-mail by typing Unsubscribe in the subject line.

On the 29 October 2012 the NSW Government released the Second Environmental Impact Statement (EIS2) for the North West Rail Link (NWRL)

How it will affect local residents:

- Passengers travelling on the Northern Line from Beecroft and Cheltenham, who currently enjoy a direct run into the city on one train, will be forced to change at Epping for a single deck train. At Chatswood, they will have to will then need to change again back to a double deck train to get to the CBD.
- That means having to get three trains into the city increasing travel time by 15 minutes rather than the one seamless system they enjoy now.
- The introduction of this incompatible component is unacceptable.

Minister Berejiklian has stated that this will create great disruption for commuters on the Northern Line.

The NWRL is a much needed project for Western Sydney but it should not be a metro line. The distance is far too long for metro style transport. The tunnels should be built large enough for current double deck trains to allow its integration into the current rail system.

2010	
Lodge your objection to EIS2 by December 3 2012.	
Boullas	
Name & Address. Chrys. Bourlas	
Cas Beacaft 2119	
Name & Address Criss Beecroft 2119	
0112 638 577	
Application number SSI-5414	
rippire to the proposal)	
A brief statement (in support of your objection to the proposal)	
1, 0122	
	•
(longered)	
Your reason(s) (for objecting to the proposal)	
in a solution of but	
as it have for NURL absolutely relaxed.	
More than happy for NWRL, absolutely needed but	
Common Plance just do it	
your planning doesn't go far enough. Please just do it properly, Build the turnels to 1 signature. C. Bandials	0
MONT DIGHT IN	
Signature Signature	
property, 150thor.	
December 3-2012 to:	
SEND YOUR SUMISSION BY - December 3-2012 to:	
Email – plan_comment@planning nsw.gov.au  Post – Major Projects Assessment Dept. of Planning & Infrastructure GPO Box 39 Sydney NSW 2000	
Post - Major Projects Assessment - 1	$\wedge$

Yes it might cost more but it is the right thing to do from a long term perspective.