Diane Sarkies - NWRL EIS2 objection - Impacts on Cheltenham Oval from Service facility

From: "Yates, Matthew" < Matthew. Yates@lendlease.com>

To: "plan_comment@planning.nsw.gov.au" <plan_comment@planning.nsw.gov.au>

Date: 20/11/2012 9:22 AM

Subject: NWRL EIS2 objection - Impacts on Cheltenham Oval from Service facility

Major Projects Assessment
Department of Planning and Infrastructure

Attention: Director, Infrastructure Projects

Re: North West Rail Link EIS2 Application number: SSI-5414

Dear Sir/Madam

I wish to register an objection to elements of the current North West Rail Link (NWRL) proposal detailed in EIS2. EIS2 details (6.21.2) proposals and impacts through the construction and operation of a "Services Facility" to be located at Cheltenham Oval. It would appear that the planning of this part of the proposal has not yet been finalised and that there are still options being considered. This procrastination seems to be more about how little to spend than about community gain and community consultation.

The whole proposal is a major imposition on the Cheltenham community who gains nothing from the NWRL and faces impacts both during construction and operation of the Services Facility and further is faced with the butchering of it's own direct rail service to the CBD.

Cheltenham/Beecroft have very few public park facilities and the few that exist are very limited and constrained by years of underdevelopment by government and council. Traffic and parking (particularly during the soccer season) is particularly problematic at Cheltenham oval due to necessary overuse e.g. up to 15 training sessions per night during the winter. Ironically many of the suburbs that the NWRL will be serving have much better sporting facilities than Cheltenham/Beecroft.

The location of the Services Facility and the obvious disruption that it will incur does not seem to bother the proponents. The planners have obviously chosen this location because they think it presents them with less obstacles than choosing some of the more obvious available locations that may mean more cost or more red-tape due to bushland impacts.

The Services Facility proposal is very thin on detail but patently gives nothing back except the status quo. The Netball Courts are (reluctantly) replaced, the very limited parking is (barely) replaced, the amenities are (reluctantly) replaced with "modern" (but smaller?) facilities (maybe). Castle Howard Road will need to be "improved" to allow truck access resulting in the removal of some large trees which for many years the road has avoided.

Perhaps this proposal should be redrafted to give something back to the Cheltenham/ Beecroft community. Some suggestions:

- Complete Castle Howard Rd. through to Lyne Rd. to give two-way access to Cheltenham Oval.
- Develop the stub of Murray Rd. (off Castle Howard Rd.) for the Services Facility or for additional carparking for the Oval.
- Resume house(s) on Castle Howard Rd. adjacent the Oval to allow Services Facility, amenities and parking

Construct replacement netball courts over on-grade extended parking for oval.

I request that the proposal be amended to reduce the impacts on Cheltenham Oval and community and increase the benefits as compensation.

regards

Matthew Yates M +61 413 187 248

Please consider the environment before printing this email

This email and any attachments are confidential and may also contain copyright material of the Lend Lease Group. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify us immediately and delete all copies of this message. You must not copy, use, disclose, distribute or rely on the information contained in it. Copying or use of this communication or information in it is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. Contracts cannot be concluded with the Lend Lease Group nor service effected by email. None of the staff of the Lend Lease Group are authorised to enter into contracts on behalf of any member of the Lend Lease Group in this manner. The fact that this communication is in electronic form does not constitute our consent to conduct transactions by electronic means or to use or accept electronic records or electronic signatures. Confidentiality and legal privilege attached to this communication are not waived or lost by reason of mistaken delivery to you. Lend Lease does not guarantee that this email or the attachment(s) are unaffected by computer virus, corruption or other defects and accepts no liability for any damage caused by this email or its attachments due to viruses, interception, corruption or unauthorised access. Lend Lease Group may monitor email traffic data and also the content of email for the purposes of security and staff training. Please note that our servers may not be located in your country. A list of Lend Lease Group entities can be found on our website.