

We wish to object to the proposed Concord Interchange, entrance and exit tunnel from the same interchange.

Upon the announcement of the Westconnex extension and the details provided in 2013, however **vague** they were, indicated that the whole impact of the project was to be on the Western side of Concord Road, due to no EIS, no business case available at the time and very little documentation about the impact of the project provided, our assumptions (based on the minimal documentation and information available) was that this made sense as the impact around George St, North Strathfield/Homebush/Flemington in the Parramatta Rd area due to the vacant land in these areas would be utilised for this infrastructure development minimising the impact on long term Concord residents and communities on the Eastern side of Concord Road.

The detail provided in 2015, shown at various displays and on the internet, however still very vague, (with no EIS or business case) has now indicated that in and around East Sydney St will be profoundly affected by this project. We have a great sense of community as demonstrated by having a combined 180 years of residency within 5 houses and yet there seems to be no care or consideration for this community or the impact of this project on the community.

We are not a transient suburb; there is little turnover of residence. People normally choose to stay in this community, again indicated by the 5-house radius with over 180 years of combined residency.

Recent announcements regarding the Concord Interchange, tunnel entry and exit from the Interchange have caused this to change dramatically.

The disruption to community has been demonstrated by compulsory acquisitions of several houses only to find that their acquisition was not required, others have sold in fear of what may happen in the future or the devaluation of their properties. This is again indicative of the unnecessary disruption/stress/anxiety/disregard caused to a close knit, longterm community due to the confusion or lack of information around the development of this project.

On an individual basis, vague details of the project indicate that there will be a on-ramp tunnel below our property, various verbal conversations with Leighton's and their vague presentations confirm this, as the tunnel will go under our property the sub stratum land underneath our house will be compulsory acquired. We believe that we are going to be disadvantaged by this as we have been told at the information session this will prevent the potential for future development of our land with nothing more than a pool or small garage underneath the property being able to be built.

We had planned to develop our property along with several neighbours for highrise units, this would now not be allowed due to the tunnel below the property and issues with foundations. This will have a direct impact on the future valuation of the property.

We also have concerns regarding a contract of this size with the potential community impact of this magnitude being awarded to a company without an EIS or business plan submitted for approval, how can this be approved for construction without the correct checks and balances in place.

How or why should we accept construction impact on our right to enjoy the property that we purchased in 1993.

This whole process has been handled badly making individual home owners feel like they have no voice. We know the Leighton Samsung John Holland Joint Venture will attempt to meet their legal obligations with providing information sessions and door knocking but as a home owner being affected this, they are only going through the motions of community consultation as there is no detailed information available, basically ticking the required boxes at an attempt to demonstrate they have consulted the community.

We again confirm our objection to this project on the following basis:

There has been no or little community consultation sought?

There has been no or minimal details provided regarding the exact impact on individuals or community?

Over the past two years the plans have changed dramatically, how can we believe any current or future notifications, publications or announcements?

Your consideration for those not directly affected (i.e. property not acquired) demonstrates a contempt for residents and the entire community affected by this project.

We again confirm our objection to the proposed project due to the lack of detailed information provided and the reasons detailed above, we reiterate that with the vague limited information available, it is also difficult to provide detailed objection to this project. We look forward to accurate information with intricate detail being provided about this project so we can provide a informative response.