

YVWF Mod1 (SSD 6698 MOD 1)

My objections to the YVWF Mod1 (SSD 6698 MOD 1) are:

We have been on this property for 59 years (I have lived here for 57 years) and the uncertainty, dishonesty and secrecy of this project since 2009 and particularly since February 2016 has had a detrimental effect on health, relationships and divided this formerly close community.

As a concern for the community we joined the Yass Landscape Guardians (Bookham) as we thought a united voice would be more effective and less divisive; however, we did not anticipate the dishonesty of Epuron.

The letter from the Department of Planning informing of Goldwinds' application for modifications to the Yass Valley Wind Farm (SSD 6698) to list any of the modifications as 'Minor Changes' is concerning, it clarifies the little respect and concern the Department have for the situation their 'GREEN IDEOLOGY' policy has created. Contrary to the Consultant's Analysis, I quote: 'There has not been enough value put on the rural landscape'. How could there be, when there had not been any consultations and the 'approval' was validly granted by a 'desk top analysis'.

It is of interest to note in the PAC approval 'validly granted' on the 30th March 2016; Residence C06 was listed as MODERATE (we are aware only the Blue Mountains or Kosciuszko National Park would be listed as HIGH) this approval was for Epurons' plan with Turbines 150 metres and Blade length 60 metres. Now with the proposed increase in Turbine height to 171 metres and Blade length to increase to 70 metres with the increase in clearing, this same residence C06, the only non involved residence within 2 kilometres of the entire Coppabella Wind Farm project is now listed as LOW and the summary is: C06 : Near Middle Ground: The Wind Turbine modification would be UNLIKELY to result in any significant amendment to the ERM Assessment. Visual mitigation measures including tree planting (NOT SUITABLE or ACCEPTABLE) MAY assist in reinforcing the existing screening and filtering the views towards the approved CWF and Wind Turbine modification. There would be very limited change in the composition or contrast between the approved and proposed wind turbines and the surrounding landscape.

It is intriguing as to how Turbines, in line of vision from the living area of the residence, was MODERATE; however with an increase of Tower height of 14% and an increase of 36% in Blade length the visual impact is now LOW??

Another issue of great concern is the Variation to Conditions Attached to Approval, issued in June 2017; with Approved Action: The construction operation and eventual decommissioning of a wind farm of up to 126 Turbines, located 30 kilometres west of Yass in the Southern Tablelands of NSW.

As Coppabella have 79 Turbines approved WHERE ARE THE OTHER 47 TURBINES??

As Goldwind now own the Marilba Precinct, is it not logical Marilba would be RE REFERRED .

The objections submitted prior to the 23rd October 2017 are hypothetical, as we are expected to base our submissions on the approved 79 Turbines with the modifications. Whereas the Proponents were not able to confirm 1. How many Turbines they could construct? Where they would be built? 2. No Grid connection contract? 3. No confirmed water supply? 4. No noise assessment on larger Turbines. With some many VARIABLES, the entire project should be REFUSED and CLOSED.

Thank you .

Noeleen Hazell, "Kia-Ora", Bookham . NSW. 2582