

Attention: Chris Ritchie , Director
Department of Planning and Environment, Planning Services,
GPO Box 39 SYDNEY NSW 2001



Re: Erskine Park Resource Management Facility
Application No:SSD 15_7075

I make the following submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Assessment for the Erskine Park Resource Management Facility Project at 50 Quarry Road Erskine Park. I strongly object to the Stage 1 Waste Transfer Station EIS and request a reply to the following concerns:

1. I object to two stages of the same Waste project being dealt with in two different EISs. This means that we never get to see the total impacts.
2. I object to the fact that the EIS does not take into consideration the extensive impact of odour, noise and increased traffic from trucks on residential area in Erskine Park and St Clair.
3. I object that the EIS mentions the 90 place Childcare centre as well as the Retirement village in Erskine Park but fails to account for the 3 schools also in the same location as the Retirement Village. These schools are Mamre Anglican School with approximately 526 students, Trinity Catholic Primary School with approximately 350+ students and Emmaus Catholic College with approximately 1086 students and teachers.
4. I object to the use of an existing traffic impact assessment to confirm that the additional traffic generated by the WTS is within capacity. The road conditions have changed since this report was written. Erskine Park and Mamre Road need to be upgraded prior to the WTS being approved in order to be able to effectively handle the additional traffic proposed.
5. I object to the proposed traffic increase estimation. The EIS states the direction in which the outgoing vehicles will take but note they have no control over the direction the incoming trucks will take to the Station. Sections of Erskine Park Road is single lane, falling apart and backs directly onto residential properties. These residents are currently experiencing noise disturbances and vibrations due to the current truck noise and we can only anticipate the increase level of traffic heightening this situation.
6. I object that similar odour producing facilities in the area have not been taken into consideration. Residents encounter horrific smells from the SITA Waste Management Facility on Elizabeth Drive Kemps Creek on a regular basis and are extremely concerned that the odours from this Waste Transfer Facility be will on par or even worse, despite the measures proposed due to the close proximity to residential properties.
7. I object to the Air Pollution control device being bypassed in the early stages of the operation being at 90% capacity and 270,000 tonnes per annum without it affecting local residents. The implementation of the completed/functional air filtration system needs to be non-negotiable from the first day of operation.
8. I object to the odour control regulations proposed by Cleanaway in the EIS.
 - Cleanaway advise they will commit to undertaking a rigorous monitoring and verification process for only the first 12 months of operation subject to a planning condition. What will this planning condition entail? Residents ask for an opportunity to review this before being approved.
 - Whilst Cleanaway state they will "Also undertake follow-up monitoring during the operational lifetime of the WTS, on a basis to be agreed with the relevant authorities ". I want this monitoring to occur on a frequent and consistent basis and for Cleanaway to be held accountable by the EPA for any breaches as well as a timeframe set out which the breach must be rectified. These breaches should also be made available for public record. Currently air monitoring by Cleanaway for the Erskine Park Tip is on a 2 yearly basis without any reporting obligations to any authority. This is unacceptable for this new facility.

Name: Craig Duffy
Address: 56 Weaver St Erskine Park
Phone: 0411 807 738
Email: duff62d@hotmail.com
Signature: 
Date: 18/11/15
Comments:

