

Brendon Roberts

To: Eoin Hickey
Cc: Ben Lusher
Subject: RE: SSD 15_7317 - Public response to Development

From: Eoin Hickey [mailto: [REDACTED]]
Sent: Wednesday, 15 June 2016 5:16 PM
To: Brendon Roberts [REDACTED]
Cc: Ben Lusher [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: SSD 15_7317 - Public response to Development

Hi Brendon,

Thanks for the prompt reply and accepting further comments on the RTS. As you can appreciate, not notifying people who have participated in Public Submissions regarding the availability of the responses provides further agitation to the fact that the local residents are being left in the dark regarding the development and will have little or no voice in protecting the surrounding streets and amenities once development begins. This has been evidenced already by a lazy exhibition in the Technology park, the lazy response to public submissions and lack of information sheets in local letter boxes - I live next door to the proposed development and received no information despite "letter drop" claims.

My most prominent concerns are with regards to the overcrowding of the local streets and the impact on the child care center at 41 Henderson Road both during and after construction, to which I provided feedback already.

The response to the Public Submission consistently uses unquantifiable phrases like "vast majority (of workers will use these frequent public transport options)" and "negligible", in particular to shadowing the solar panels on the child care centre.

The response also makes a "note" of concerns several times, in particular on Page 29 regarding the safety of the children in the child care centre. This is not an assuring or a committable action and given the language preceding it, does not address the concerns at all. The statement that "Ongoing dialogue" will be undertaken is also mute, given that not much communication has occurred with local residents in the planning phase.

Finally, outdoor play areas of child care centers are designed so as not to be overlooked by adjoining properties. A 50 plus metre building towering over the child care outdoor play area was not responded to appropriately despite the risk to the children and carers safety and privacy both during and after construction while highlighting that the existing Channel 7 building is already impacting the local surrounds is not a valid argument for allowing the development to go ahead at the proposed height.

Thanks for your time.

Regards
Eoin Hickey
[REDACTED]