

Summary entry for EIS comment.

At Parramatta , we have already two infrastructure assets functioning and available for widespread, non-discriminatory community enjoyment and utilisation;

- A Tier 2 Capacity Stadium
- Parramatta War Memorial Pool ,located on Crown land

Both have undergone comparatively recent expensive upgrades and generally are satisfactory for existing patronage.

Rather than commit these two items for immediate destruction (a Stadium unavailability for the next three years) which approval of the exhibited EIS for the Concept Plan and Stage 1 Demolition and Subdivision works would ensure, I **recommend adoption of Option 1-the Status Quo** option to place the proposal on hold. There would be an immediate saving of \$7m of public money.

This should allow

- A full, complete Cost-Benefit analysis and evaluation of alternative Tier 2 Stadium options from a West Central District Regional perspective including the expanded Parramatta City boundaries , in keeping with the State Government's Stadia Strategy for Western Sydney ,Parramatta/Olympic Park
- Comprehensive meaningful community consultation in accordance with Government's expressed Principles particularly in respect of the existing Pool.

Notwithstanding the peppercorn rental of the area of Crown land affected by the adoption of this Concept plan, the social value far exceeds the implied low economic value. It ought be quantified and properly evaluated which it has not in the EIS.A post-approval feasibility and promise of future community consultation ,to prove an alternative site, part excising further pockets of Crown land, is offensive and illogical.

Expansion of these points is in the attachment.

T.I.O'Brien MIEAust, CPEng (retired)