

Submission of objection by Resident of Oatlands NSW to the proposed redevelopment of Parramatta Stadium.

The application shows 20,000sqm of future use ancillary development with no indication of what this could actually be. . If there is a plan for this future use it should be spelt out now and if not than it should be abandoned and when something is proposed than the community are entitled to comment and object if necessary for additional use of the land.

The greatest objection is for the loss of the Parramatta memorial Pool which is leased from the Parramatta trust and this crown land was not identified in the EIS and did not go through the statutory notifications

The Parramatta memorial pool should be kept as is with and outside pool with family friendly grass and open air environment (who wants to go to a noisy, highly chlorinated indoor aquatic centre with their high entry fees and lack of parking when one could be out in the sun).

Members of our community who suffer from asthma and autism who currently use the pool as they cannot tolerate noise or the indoor environment will be now precluded in enjoyment the health benefits of an outside pool.

Furthermore I do not believe the Venues NSW complied with the Department of Planning SEARs report by not consulting with community groups during the preparation of the EIS

The two forums held included representatives from the NRL teams – Bulldogs, West Tigers and Parramatta Eels, the Western Sydney Wanderers and from Football Federation Australia All commercial identities and did not involve the community nor representatives of the Parramatta Swimming club or the Parramatta water polo clubs which clearly have an interest and input into the forum.

It seem another repeat of the Parramatta council sweet heart deal to give the women's hockey grounds at the old sale yards to the Parramatta Eels without any community consolation. The offer to build new synthetic hockey grounds have never eventuated and a loss of over 100 young people playing this sport for the sole benefit of a commercial identity the Parramatta Eels.

How can one justify the closure of a facility and the subsequent loss of the swimming club, the water polo club and the learn to swim who will invariably disband if there is no facility to accommodate these sport before an alternate venue is made available some 2 years or more considering no design EIS etc have even commenced

The EIS is too early and should be pulled from exhibition and meaningful and rightful consultation with all community groups be carried out not with just the vested commercial interests and a new consultation report submitted again with a new EIS submission

Furthermore World Heritage Listed Old Government House and its Outlooks will be obliterated by a stadium height of 42.5 metres. It will effect half of the 16 heritage views. This size of development is inappropriate for surrounding historically sensitive area

Perhaps without rushing through this not thought out proposal, that the large site of the old golf course be used to build the stadium, the site is much closer to public transport, without existing structures to prevent work starting on the site and the site is comparable what is proposed at the current stadium location.

Regards

14<sup>th</sup> August 2016