

Submission and Objection to SSD 10321-Mod2 89 John Whiteway Drive Gosford – 12 April 2021

As a non-resident owner of an apartment in SP53908 117 JWD I should like to re-iterate objections raised in previous submissions dated 20.5.2020 and 27.4.21 - particularly that of **Excavation extending into the 'non buildable areas'**

I have not had time to read all 22 documents but do recall reading/seeing somewhere amongst documentation – possibly previously supplied - a statement to the effect that 'No Excavation would be carried out in the 'non buildable' area', an area which has been clearly identifiable on plans by hatching.

From the attached Screenshot of Drawing #DA 005.9 – Revision #14 dated 18.2.22 Cut & Fill it can be clearly seen that Over Excavation – in my understanding, Excavation that extends beyond the footprint of the Building and Basements - does extensively intrude into this non-buildable area to a depth of **61.5M**.

On the NE side of the Site *over-excavation extends to within a couple of meters of the Ridgeline* – less than 20M from our garages and opposite U1/117 – See attached photo of the SE corner of SP53908 Units 1, 2 & 3 and the Old Gosford Quarry *vertical cliff face* several meters away. This cliff face is shown as "Area not surveyed – Steep Drop" in the Pinnacle Construction Survey DWG 20770 dated 7.11.17 which is attached.

NB. 117 JWD buildings appear to almost touch their boundaries at their eastern and western edges. Roof *Ridgelines* vary in height from **60.19M** at their eastern end to **66.86M** at the western end. (Ref. Pinnacle Construction Survey DWG 20770 dated 7.11.17).

Excavation is proposed to take place virtually at our boundary to a depth of some **10M** to almost the equivalent height of our roof ridgeline. According to my calculation this would appear to leave very little earth/sandstone between our building and the base of the proposed excavated area.

As has been stated in all submissions over the years, our main concern - other than the excessive height which I understand has already been approved - is the safety of our cliff face, plus of our buildings which could suffer destabilization from excavation at too close a proximity.

This has become even more concerning due to reports from SP53908 Owners of recent rockfalls onto our garages during the excessive rain events in Feb/March.

Finally, it would appear that there is also a slight (approx. 20cm) over-excavation of the proposed building footprint from the NW corner of Building C to the NW corner of Building D.

NB This over-excavation would also apply to areas along the western side of Building D – not far enough away from the Mariners View swimming pool – however the distance there between the proposed excavated area and adjoining dwellings is considerably greater than excavation proposed on the boundary of SP53908.

I could question the misrepresentation of the availability of more than 50% of available space for deep soil plantings when what little topsoil there may be will be removed – perhaps the truckloads of sandstone leaving the site will return with loads of topsoil?

Also questioned is the 'public pathway' to nowhere and its future maintenance

In view of closer scrutiny of the attached documents I sincerely trust that NSW Planning would never allow excavation to occur within the non-buildable area.

