

To the Department of Planning and Environment

We a stakeholder, object to the NGCC.

There was no consultation with us. The Pacific Highway and Grafton Bridge spent years and had 4 different location options put out to the public to comment on. We did not have that option we were just told the site has been selected.

A relative of a local land holder was on the selection committee. We were told he backed out due to conflict of interest but we think it may have been after selection was almost made.

Cost and environmental impacts of infrastructure (electricity line, water, easements) to "those" landholders. Choice of other sites may have eliminated these costs.

It will change our lifestyle. No consultation was ever given to us re this issue. Our first meeting was a public meeting on the 3 Feb 2016. (Not a residence meeting with affected neighbors). Since then we the residences called a meeting, whilst a Government representative did not attend (even thou they were invited) our questions have still gone unanswered. We have had a couple of meetings with John Holland and associated people but we feel it is still not with the people that we needed to talk to. Only now are we getting a meeting with Department of Planning. (A little to late)

Jobs should be given to locals. We were told in one of the meetings with John Holland that they are planning on putting a concrete factory on the site to make their own concrete. What ever happened to using locals where possible. WE HAVE A FACILITY TO PROVIDE CONCRETE. How many more jobs are getting lost.

LEP requirements aren't met (increased traffic; out of character with its surroundings; noise; air pollution). The new facility will be changing the look of our surroundings and with a possible 300 cars per visiting time it dramatically increases traffic, pollution and noise.

Avenue Rd. is closed.

6 Mile Lane and Wants Lane are too narrow for large machinery. During flooding these roads are impassable. These issues should have been addressed in consultation with landholders before approval to proceed was granted

There is a lot stress to us on every problem created by NGCC. Not addressed not acceptable. We feel our life has been turned upside down. We moved to Glenugie (as you call it Lavadia) to get away from the fast pace life of Sydney, the pollution and the noise. We now feel it has just been dumped on us. Our little part of the North Coast. With the highway and possible threat of a gas mine and now the Goal it is turning our little piece of paradise into an Industrial area and we cannot afford to move because we have lost value in our home. It is too expensive to move and start again. Maybe one day if the government rezones lands and lets us subdivide then maybe we will be able to afford to move and live out our life in the piece and quite that we once had.

Recommendation - rezone area into small acreage blocks so we can subdivide. This also allows for housing to be built for the goal workers and allow investors to build housing for rent to relatives of imprisoned family members which will eliminate extra stress on the roads.

A goal will do this community absolutely no good. Any claim that Pathways is building communities is misleading and unsubstantiated and not backed by evidence.

We are now referred to as key stakeholders. When this project started we were affected neighbors. Regardless of what we're called you have treated us with contempt from the very beginning and address your own issues and concerns —not ours.

The first EIS was fatally flawed **because it did not comply with the requirement to consult with us and** without any research done on our concerns contrary to a requirement of the SEARS

Women are not allowed on the site according to the Aborigines yet Serco is going to have 100 indigenous women who may find this extremely distressing and threatening.

Biodiversity offset has been skipped over in stage 1 and 2. Waiting for Stage 3 is too late.

The EIS talks about a 500 car park but the application says 850.

The rate payers are copping the fees for the goal's water and electricity (amount used, hook up).

Jackie & Grant Wood

Wants Lane Glenugie.

To the Department of Planning and Environment