By email to: iwan.davies@planning.nsw.gov.au

Objection to Project Energy Connect

I wish to note my objections to Project Energy Connect along the following lines:

1) This will seriously impact Agricultural land, and that is even acknowledged by Transgrid.

2) Australia needs land for arable agriculture to produce food for an ever increasing population, and also our need to be more self-sufficient as the global situation becomes more fragile.

3) There is a considerably increased health risk from transmission towers which not only may cause illness, but further tax-payer funding of treatments.

4) Contrary to the spiel put out by those people promoting this grand plan, there will be considerable loss of economic income to local towns and regions because the EIS has not calculated this correctly. The modelling is only as good or bad as the input. The modelling can't be correct as a solar farm cannot possibly match the production output of agriculture, which has been calculated by people living in the regions based on true and proper proven returns.

5) Our region has had enough of the modelling madness of bureaucrats putting in data which is assured to give them the desired outcome.

6) This (5) leads to governments justifying "eco" subsidisation by Australian taxpayers to global corporations, no doubt with some handsome kick-backs, appointments or promises thereof. Either way, the mug taxpayer pays, and the corporates get away with extortion at more expense to the taxpayer and our land.

7) An example of this, though a small one, is the way our local governments will be left with the clean-up and disposal bill after the project life. There is no provision for compensation, and generally the globalists don't pay, so once again, the mug tax-payers foot the bill

This mug taxpayer objects to this project and the expansion of more like projects. I object to the global ownership or "investment" in these projects, particularly the way the CCP and others take stakeholdings in companies which own companies which own companies in order to pretend to be three times removed, when in fact they are running the show.

I call for a proper inquiry into this project rather than the free run it's getting.

Sincerely,