Matthew Rosel

From: Russell Hand <RHand@cityofsydney.nsw.gov.au>

Sent: Thursday, 10 September 2015 4:28 PM

To: Matthew Rosel

Subject: RE: TRIM: SSD 6956 & 6957, Barangaroo applications - Council's submission

Hi Matthew,

I confirm that the City objects to the SSD DAs for both the Hotel <u>and</u> the Stage 1C works. The objection applies unless the concurrent enabling concept plan amendment is approved by the PAC as submitted by BDA/Crown/Lend Lease. If it is approved, and the Stage 1C works application is consistent with that approval, then it no longer applies.

An objection is made to the Stage 1C works as these would enable the development on land where it is currently prohibited, enable the development of land currently reserved for public waterfront (with reduced quality of open space driven by economic outcomes, reduced width and quality of waterfront and open space) and enable the development to interfere with important views, create adverse wind effects, etc.

Points 1 and 3 of the submission made these assertions in relation to insufficient evidence in both SSD DAs and lack of sound reasoning to approve both SSD DAs. References to the Crown development in the submission should be taken to be a reference to the development *englobo*, regardless of the way the proponent's approvals strategy has been staged.

The Stage 1C documentation was reviewed by Council Officers. There were no particular issues raised in relation to the consultant assessments for the early works.

Regards,

Russell Hand Senior Planner Planning Assessments



Telephone: 9246 7321 cityofsydney.nsw.gov.au

From: Matthew Rosel [mailto:Matthew.Rosel@planning.nsw.gov.au]

Sent: Thursday, 10 September 2015 9:29 AM

To: Russell Hand <RHand@cityofsydney.nsw.gov.au>

Subject: TRIM: SSD 6956 & 6957, Barangaroo applications - Council's submission

Importance: High

Hi Russell,

Thanks for sending us Council's submission for the Barangaroo applications.

Looking at the submission, particularly the first paragraph, it is not clear to us whether Council is objecting to just the Hotel application (SSD 6957) or also the early works Stage 1C application (SSD 6956). Furthermore, other than a

statement about remediation at point 1, I note that the submission does not contain specific comments about the Stage 1C application.

For the avoidance of doubt can you please confirm whether Council's objection applies to both applications? If so, can you confirm the reason for Council's objection to the Stage 1C application.

Kind regards

Matthew Rosel

Senior Planner, Metropolitan Projects
NSW Department of Planning & Environment | GPO Box 39 Sydney NSW 2001
T 02 9228 6213 E matthew.rosel@planning.nsw.gov.au



Subscribe to the Department's e-news at www.planning.nsw.gov.au/enews



This message is intended for the addressee named and may contain confidential/privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete it and notify the sender.

Views expressed in this message are those of the individual sender, and are not necessarily the views of the Department.

You should scan any attached files for viruses.

This email and any files transmitted with it are intended solely for the use of the addressee(s) and may contain information that is confidential or subject to legal privilege. If you receive this email and you are not the addressee (or responsible for delivery of the email to the addressee), please note that any copying, distribution or use of this email is prohibited and as such, please disregard the contents of the email, delete the email and notify the sender immediately.