Matthew Rosel

Brian/Margaret
bmcormie@gmail.com>

Sent:

Friday, 21 August 2015 11:56 AM

To: Subject: Matthew Rosel

Attachments:

001.jpg; 002.jpg

SSD 6957

Attention; Matthew Rosel

Following my telephone conversation with Ashley in your department please find attached our submission regarding SSD 6957 Crown Sydney Hotel Resort.

This letter does not have to be treated as confidential.

Brian & Margaret Cormie

Your message is ready to be sent with the following file or link attachments:

001

002

Note: To protect against computer viruses, e-mail programs may prevent sending or receiving certain types of file attachments. Check your e-mail security settings to determine how attachments are handled.

Brian & Margaret Cormie Apartment 2702 "Highgate" 127 Kent Street Millers Foint SYDNEY NSW 2000 Australia

telephone; 61 (0)292522677 facsimile; 61 (0)292520367 email: bmcormic@gmail.com

21st August 2015

tax no; 9228 6455

Director of Planning & Environment Planning Services
Department of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39
SYDNEY NSW 2001.

ATTENTION; KEY SITE ASSESSMENTS

Dear Sir.

RE: APPLICATION NO. SSD 6957 - CROWN SYDNEY HOTEL RESORT - BARANGARDO SOUTH

The construction of a second casino is beyond belief. The approval could only be granted to billionaires.

One of the greatest concerns to people living in the area as we do is the traffic. The widening of roads was never considered and all we hear, second hand, is that when everything is finished at Barangaroo, 25,000 residents and workers will occupy the area. Someone should be taken to task in allowing all of the changes that have occurred since the original design was granted and then completely ignored.

We are grateful to those in power who retained the northern headland as a parkland because now to is almost finished it is a wonderful sight and we are sure it will be to the advantage of the general public in the future.

Surely to goodness, someone in some department must be aware of the traffic issues that will be involved when this is completed and then a 24 hour, 7 day per week business operating is beyond comprehension. The only south bound route is Sussex Street which is frequently a bottle neck. North bound is Hickson Road and alternatively Napoleon Street which both impact on Kent Street which is also frequently congested with traffic which in turn has a significant impact on local residents.

Certainly parking areas and traffic have not been addressed in the immediate local area and one gains the impression "who cares" apart from those wishing to park a vehicle or gain entry to their place of residence.

We already have a casino which appears to be well managed by established casino owners.

The three commercial buildings that have been erected in the southern precinct are unsightly, bulky and intrusive on the waterfront and surrounding residential and commercial buildings.

The approval of the height of the casino, to put very bluntly, is absolutely rude. Again only billionaires can get away with such approvals. There must be a certain impact on the foreshore and after all it is prime public waterfront land. What other major city around the world would allow such an approval of enormous buildings on the waterfront.

Why is it that when individuals with loads of money seem to be able to obtain approval for the majority of their submissions.

As rate payers and residents of NSW and Sydney in particular for many, many years we think the whole situation is disgusting. There has been no consideration given to surrounding residents. The Premier must be taken to task for allowing such an approval to be granted.

The illumination of the building 24/7 will certainly not be a thing of beauty and will be an invasion of privacy to the surrounding residential buildings.

Observatory Hill is historical and certainly the sightlines from this important part of Sydney will be impaired as well as shadowing cast across the water and taking away an amount of natural sunlight from our residence.

Yours faithfully

Brian & Margaret Connie