

MOOREBANK PRECINCT WEST - STAGE 3

Noise & Vibration Impact Assessment

27 July 2020

Tactical Group

TJ741-09F02 (r8) Moorebank Precinct West - Stage 3 EIS NVIA.docx

Document details

Detail	Reference
Doc reference:	TJ741-09F02 (r8) Moorebank Precinct West - Stage 3 EIS NVIA.docx
Prepared for:	Tactical Group
Address:	124 Walker St North Sydney NSW 2060
Attention:	Carolyn Stanley \ Fei Chen

Document control

Date	Revision history	Non-issued revision	Issued revision	Prepared	Instructed	Authorised
02.03.2020	Draft for client review	0/1	2	A.Leslie	A.Leslie	-
02.04.2020	Updates from client comments for review	-	3	A.Leslie	A.Leslie	-
07.04.2020	Final draft	-	4	A.Leslie	A.Leslie	-
23.04.2020	Final	-	5	A.Leslie	A.Leslie	M.Chung
26.07.2020	Draft updates following EPA/DPIE comments	6	7	A.Leslie	A.Leslie	-
27.07.2020	Final	-	8	A.Leslie	A.Leslie	M.Chung

Important Disclaimer:

The work presented in this document was carried out in accordance with the Renzo Tonin & Associates Quality Assurance System, which is based on Australian Standard / NZS ISO 9001.

This document is issued subject to review and authorisation by the Team Leader noted by the initials printed in the last column above. If no initials appear, this document shall be considered as preliminary or draft only and no reliance shall be placed upon it other than for information to be verified later.

This document is prepared for the particular requirements of our Client referred to above in the 'Document details' which are based on a specific brief with limitations as agreed to with the Client. It is not intended for and should not be relied upon by a third party and no responsibility is undertaken to any third party without prior consent provided by Renzo Tonin & Associates. The information herein should not be reproduced, presented or reviewed except in full. Prior to passing on to a third party, the Client is to fully inform the third party of the specific brief and limitations associated with the commission.

In preparing this report, we have relied upon, and presumed accurate, any information (or confirmation of the absence thereof) provided by the Client and/or from other sources. Except as otherwise stated in the report, we have not attempted to verify the accuracy or completeness of any such information. If the information is subsequently determined to be false, inaccurate or incomplete then it is possible that our observations and conclusions as expressed in this report may change.

We have derived data in this report from information sourced from the Client (if any) and/or available in the public domain at the time or times outlined in this report. The passage of time, manifestation of latent conditions or impacts of future events may require further examination and re-evaluation of the data, findings, observations and conclusions expressed in this report.

We have prepared this report in accordance with the usual care and thoroughness of the consulting profession, for the sole purpose described above and by reference to applicable standards, guidelines, procedures and practices at the date of issue of this report. For the reasons outlined above, however, no other warranty or guarantee, whether expressed or implied, is made as to the data, observations and findings expressed in this report, to the extent permitted by law.

The information contained herein is for the purpose of acoustics only. No claims are made and no liability is accepted in respect of design and construction issues falling outside of the specialist field of acoustics engineering including and not limited to structural integrity, fire rating, architectural buildability and fit-for-purpose, waterproofing and the like.

Supplementary professional advice should be sought in respect of these issues.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Proposal overview	1
2.1	Assessment purpose and overview of noise and vibration aspects	2
2.2	Construction Program	3
2.3	Construction Hours	6
2.4	Sensitive receivers	6
3	Project noise and vibration requirements	9
3.1	Secretary's environmental assessment requirements	9
3.2	SSD 5066 MOD 1 (Concept Proposal and Stage 1) and SSD 7709 (MPW Stage 2)	10
4	Existing noise environment and sensitive receivers	10
5	Construction noise and vibration management levels	10
5.1	Noise management levels	11
5.2	Sleep disturbance	12
5.3	Construction traffic noise criteria	13
5.4	Vibration management	14
6	Construction noise and vibration assessment	14
6.1	Construction activities	14
6.1.1	Standard construction hours	15
6.1.2	Out of hours work	15
6.2	Construction plant and equipment	16
6.3	Construction assessment methodology	17
6.4	Construction noise impacts	18
6.4.1	Standard construction hours	18
6.4.2	Outside standard construction hours	19
6.4.3	Cumulative construction noise impacts	21
6.5	Noise and vibration management measures	24
7	Conclusion	26
APPENDIX A	Glossary of terminology	28

List of tables

Table 1 – Indicative construction program MPW Stage 3	3
Table 2 – OOHW construction periods	6
Table 3 – Sensitive receivers	7
Table 4 – SEARs – Noise and Vibration	9
Table 5 – Relevant existing approval assessment requirements – Noise and Vibration	10
Table 6 – Measured rating background levels, dB(A)	10

Table 7 – Applicable construction noise policies and standards	11
Table 8 – Summary of NMLs for standard construction hours, $L_{Aeq,15min}$, dB(A)	11
Table 9 – Construction noise management levels by OOHW periods, $L_{Aeq,15min}$, dB(A)	12
Table 10 – $L_{A1,1min}$ (or L_{Amax}) sleep disturbance screening levels for OOHW 1 period	13
Table 11 – Vibration standards	14
Table 12 – Construction activities to be undertaken as part of MPW Stage 3 assessed works	15
Table 13 – Indicative construction plant and equipment	16
Table 14 – Typical construction plant sound power levels (SWL), dB(A)	17
Table 15 – Predicted construction noise levels during standard hours, $L_{Aeq 15 minute}$ dB(A)	19
Table 16 – Predicted construction noise levels during OOH periods, $L_{Aeq 15 minute}$ dB(A)	20
Table 17 – Predicted L_{Amax} construction noise levels during OOH Period 1 – MPW Stage 3 activities	20
Table 18 – Indicative construction program of concurrent projects (MPW and MPE) (<i>MPW Stage 3 shaded blue</i>)	21
Table 19 – Review of potential concurrent construction noise levels, $L_{Aeq 15 minute}$ dB(A)	23
Table 20 – Noise mitigation measures and potential noise reductions	25

List of figures

Figure 1 – Proposed MPW Stage 3 development works area and layout	4
Figure 2 – MPW Stage 2 and MPW Stage 3 construction work areas	5
Figure 3 – Noise and vibration sensitive receivers	8

1 Introduction

Renzo Tonin & Associates was engaged by Tactical Group on behalf of the Sydney Intermodal Terminal Alliance (SIMTA) to undertake an environmental noise and vibration assessment of the proposed Moorebank Precinct West (MPW) Stage 3 (MPW Stage 3). The noise and vibration assessment will form part of the Environment Impact Statement (EIS) submission addressing the Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirements (SEARs) (ref. SSD 10431, dated 20 March 2020).

MPW Stage 3 generally consists of works to support MPW Stage 2, and as such the following noise and vibration impacts have already been addressed in the MPW Concept and Stage 1 or MPW Stage 2 noise and vibration assessments and will not be addressed in this report:

- Operational noise impacts (rail or industrial noise)
- Construction activities required for MPW not identified in Section 2, including other ancillary facilities and works outside of standard construction hours
- Construction road traffic
- Construction vibration.

The work documented in this report was carried out in accordance with the Renzo Tonin & Associates Quality Assurance System, which is based on Australian Standard / NZS ISO 9001. This report is technical in nature and uses acoustic terminology throughout, Appendix A contains a glossary of acoustic terms used in this technical memorandum.

2 Proposal overview

The MPW Stage 3 proposal (the Proposal) involves the construction and operation of Stage 3 of the MPW Project, consistent with the approved concept plan (SSD 5066). The layout and works areas for Stage 3 are presented in Figure 1 and includes allowance for:

- Establishment of a works compound to facilitate site development works for the MPW site, which include hardstand, laydown and materials stockpile areas, access roads and utilities and services
- Progressive subdivision of the MPW site into nine allotments, for the purposes of creating separate lots for the intermodal terminal facility (IMT) and rail link corridor, for warehousing and distribution facilities, biodiversity conservation, as presented in Figure 1
- Ancillary works to facilitate the Stage 3, including access roads, earthworks, utilities, stormwater and drainage, signage and landscaping
- Importation of fill to achieve the finished site surface level.

2.1 Assessment purpose and overview of noise and vibration aspects

This noise and vibration assessment has been prepared to provide an assessment of the potential noise and vibration impacts associated with the Proposal. The assessment has been undertaken considering the documentation prepared for the MPW Concept Plan, MPW Stage 2, and the Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirements (SEARs) for the Proposal, and the applicable legislation and guidelines to assess the associated noise and vibration impacts from the Proposal.

The noise and vibration impacts have in general already been previously assessed as part of the broader MPW Concept Plan and MPW Stage 2 environmental impact assessments which have largely covered the Proposal works. The Proposal does not introduce any new or additional works not already anticipated and assessed as part of the MPW Concept and Stage 1 and MPW Stage 2. The extent of the MPW Stage 2 construction area assessed along with the key changes that are introduced as part of MPW Stage 3 are presented in Figure 2.

The main potential sources of noise related to the Proposal are:

- Updated location of the temporary construction support compound and associated activities, laydown and materials stockpile locations, and offices to support the residual MPW Early Works Stage 1 (site establishment works which will generally be completed by Stage 3), MPW Stages 2 and 3 site development works and future stages of the MPW development construction works.
- Continuation of crushing activities as approved under MPW Stage 2 in an updated location within the MPW Stage 3 footprint.
- Heavy vehicle material delivery movements within the MPW site. Heavy vehicles access, including fill importation will continue via Moorebank Avenue and the Chatham Avenue access point, potentially for the duration of Stage 3 works. Where this remains, the noise emissions will be generally be consistent with those assessed as part of MPW Stage 2. However, as part of the construction of the IMT facility and the rail link connection construction works, access via Chatham Avenue will be removed, and an alternate vehicle access route will be used. This alternate vehicle access route to the Stage 3 temporary construction support compound and laydown/stockpile locations will be via the permanent access road and temporary loop road along the western MPW site boundary.

As such, this technical memorandum will make reference to these previous assessments for further background, and where there are no changes in proposed construction or operations associated with the Proposal, reference to the applicable assessments are made.

The relevant reference assessments are as follows:

- MPW Concept Plan and Stage 1 (SSD 5066 MOD 1) – *MPW Concept Plan Modification Noise and Vibration Impacts Assessment, Wilkinson Murray, Report reference: 15324-MO Report Ver. B, dated June 2015 (MPW Concept NV assessment)*
- MPW Stage 2 (SSD 7709) – *MPW Stage 2 Noise and Vibration Impacts Assessment, Wilkinson Murray, Report reference: 15324 Report Ver. D, dated October 2016 (MPW2 NV assessment).*

2.2 Construction Program

The Stage 3 temporary construction support compound will support the remaining Early Works Stage 1, and Stages 2 and 3 Project and will operate throughout the construction works of these stages.

As Stage 3 will be supporting Stage 2 construction works, the Proposal construction works are expected to commence shortly after the revised MPW Stage 2 CEMP/sub-plans and other required documentation in accordance with the CoA have been approved, and the Stage 3 temporary construction support compound is currently planned to be used for a 24 months period.

The indicative Stage 3 construction program is shown in Table 1. This will support the remaining Early Works Stage 1, and Stages 2 and 3 projects for this period.

Table 1 – Indicative construction program MPW Stage 3

Delivery Phase	Construction works period	2020				2021				2022				2023			
		Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Support	Stage 3 temporary construction support compound and laydown/stockpile locations																

Figure 1 – Proposed MPW Stage 3 development works area and layout





Figure 2 – MPW Stage 2 and MPW Stage 3 construction work areas



2.3 Construction Hours

The following standard construction hours for the Project are in accordance with the EPA's 'Interim Construction Noise Guideline' (ICNG, 2009), and are consistent with those defined by Condition B125 (SSD 7709) for MPW Stage 2 and Condition D5 (5066 MOD 1) for MPW Concept Plan.

- 7:00am to 6:00pm, Monday to Friday
- 8:00am to 1:00pm, Saturday
- No work on Sunday and Public holidays

Works that are not within standard construction hours would be undertaken through the out of hours work (OOHW) Protocol developed in accordance with Conditions B127 and B135 of SSD 7709.

The OOH construction is divided into the periods detailed in Table 2, which are the typical OOH periods required by the Project and no construction works are anticipated on Sundays or Public Holidays. Additional OOH periods may be implemented during the construction process; however, any works will be subject to the OOH Protocol.

Table 2 – OOH construction periods

OOHW Period	Time	Days
OOH Period 1	6:00am – 7:00am	Monday to Friday
OOH Period 2	6:00pm – 10:00pm	Monday to Friday
OOH Period 3	7:00am – 8:00am	Saturday
OOH Period 4	1:00pm – 6:00pm	Saturday

2.4 Sensitive receivers


The potentially most affected residential receivers in the vicinity of the Proposal are located in the suburbs of Casula, Glenfield and Wattle Grove. In addition to residential receivers, a number of potentially affected non-residential receivers have been identified near the Proposal, including All Saints Senior College and the Casula Powerhouse, both of which are located to the west of the Proposal and across the Georges River. The MPW construction area is also bounded by industrial receivers, which consist of Moorebank Precinct East (MPE), ABB Australia and the Defence Joint Logistics Unit (DJLU).

Table 3 presents a summary of the potentially most affected receivers near the Proposal based on the approximate minimum distance from the MPW Stage 2 & 3 construction works area to the potentially most affected receiver. Distances are based upon both the Stage 2 and Stage 3 components as these are proposed to occur concurrently. These locations are presented in Figure 3.

Table 3 – Sensitive receivers

Receiver/Suburb	Category	Approximate distance from Stage 2 & 3 construction areas (metres)
Casula	Residential	350
Glenfield		1,800
Wattle Grove		640
S1 - All Saints Senior College	Educational	630
S2 - Casula Powerhouse		360
I1 - MPE	Industrial	Share common boundary
I2 - DJLU		50
I3 - ABB Australia		Share common boundary

Figure 3 – Noise and vibration sensitive receivers



3 Project noise and vibration requirements

3.1 Secretary's environmental assessment requirements

This report has been prepared to address the SEARs (reference SSD 10431, dated 20 March 2020) issued for Stage 3.

The SEARs relating to the project, and where these requirements are addressed in this report, are outlined in Table 4.

Table 4 - SEARs – Noise and Vibration

SEARs	Where addressed
2. Compliance with the Approval Concept Proposal	
The EIS must demonstrate that the proposal is consistent with the Concept Proposal and Stage 1 approval SSD 5066, dated 3 June 2016 (as modified), including compliance with development outcomes approved under the Concept Proposal for stage1, 2 and 3 and meeting of all the requirements stipulated under Schedule 4 (Conditions to be met in future development applications).	Section 3.2
5. Noise and Vibration	
including but not limited to: An updated assessment of noise and vibration impacts. The assessment shall:	
a) assess construction noise and vibration impacts associated with construction of the proposal, including impacts from construction traffic and ancillary facilities. The assessment must identify sensitive receivers and assess construction noise/vibration generated by representative construction scenarios focusing on high noise generating works. Where work hours outside of standard construction hours are proposed, clear justification and detailed assessment of these work hours must be provided, including alternatives considered, mitigation measures proposed and details of construction practices, work methods, compound design, etc;	Section 6 assesses the changes in construction noise and vibration impacts associated with the Proposal. Construction noise and vibration impacts from all other MPW Stage 2 construction works are addressed in the MPW2 NV assessment
b) assess operational noise and vibration impacts and identify feasible and reasonable measures proposed to be implemented to minimise operational noise impacts from use of the facility;	Operational noise is addressed in the MPW2 NV assessment
c) include a framework for on and off-site noise monitoring during operation and	Operational noise is addressed in the MPW2 NV assessment
d) be prepared in accordance with: NSW Noise Policy for Industry (EPA 2017), Interim Construction Noise Guideline (EPA, 2009), Assessing Vibration: a technical guide (EPA 2006), and the NSW Road Noise Policy (EPA, 2011).	Section 5 details the construction noise and vibration impact assessment requirements. Construction noise and vibration impacts from all other MPW Stage 2 construction works are addressed in the MPW2 NV assessment Operational noise is addressed in the MPW2 NV assessment

3.2 SSD 5066 MOD 1 (Concept Proposal and Stage 1) and SSD 7709 (MPW Stage 2)

Only conditions directly relevant to the Proposal have been included in Table 5 below.

Table 5 – Relevant existing approval assessment requirements – Noise and Vibration

Condition of Consent	
SSD 5066	
E28.	Section 6.4.3
All future Development Applications must provide the timing for construction and operation on both the MPW and MPE sites and provide cumulative assessments for construction and operation on the MPW and MPE sites including, but not limited to:	
...	
b) noise and vibration impacts;	

Stage 3 does not introduce any new or additional works not already anticipated and assessed as part of the MPW Concept and Stage 1 and MPW Stage 2, however it does relocate some noise generating items included in these earlier stages in order to create site efficiencies. As detailed in Section 6, this assessment evaluates the potential changes in construction noise impacts as a result of the Proposal in order to confirm that it does not compromise the MPW Stage 2 development works to be undertaken, in accordance with SSD 7709 Conditions of Consent relating to noise and vibration.

4 Existing noise environment and sensitive receivers

The existing noise environment for sensitive areas in the vicinity of the Project were presented in the *MPW2 NV assessment*, including the representative Rating Background Levels (RBLs) at the three potentially most affected residential receiver areas. The representative RBLs are presented in Table 6.

Table 6 – Measured rating background levels, dB(A)

NCA	Daytime (7:00am to 6:00pm)	Evening (6:00pm to 10:00pm)	Night-time (10:00pm to 7:00am)
Casula	39	39	33
Glenfield	35	37	33
Wattle Grove	35	36	32

5 Construction noise and vibration management levels

Construction noise and vibration management levels were derived for the *MPW Concept NV assessment* and *MPW2 NV assessment*, which have been reviewed by the relevant regulatory and approval authorities. The construction noise and vibration management levels for the Proposal have been adopted from these assessments so that the assessment of potential impacts are consistent with those undertaken for *MPW Concept NV assessment* and *MPW2 NV assessment*.

The exception to this is where the NSW 'Industrial Noise Policy' (INP, 2000) has been replaced by the NSW 'Noise Policy for Industry' (NPfI, 2017), which has minor differences on the applicable references for assessment of sleep disturbance and the derivation of NMLs applicable for shoulder periods.

5.1 Noise management levels

The policies and standards outlined in Table 7 have been used to establish construction Noise Management Levels (NMLs) that are applicable to the MPW Stage 3.

Table 7 – Applicable construction noise policies and standards

Environment impact	Relevant policy / standard used to establish noise and vibration management level
Airborne noise	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> NSW Interim Construction Noise Guideline (ICNG) Noise Policy for Industry (EPA, 2017)
Sleep disturbance and maximum noise events	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> NSW Road Noise Policy (RNP)
Construction related road traffic noise	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Guidance taken from the NSW Interim Construction Noise Guideline (ICNG) and the NSW Road Noise Policy (RNP)

Construction noise management levels for residential receivers were derived in Section 6.5 of the *MPW2 NV assessment*, and have been adopted for this assessment. In addition to residential type receivers, the All Saints Senior College and the Casula Powerhouse have been identified as school and educational type receivers. Furthermore, the MPE, ABB Australia and DJLU receivers have been identified as industrial type receivers. It is noted that the receiver types for these other sensitive receivers were established in the *MPW2 NV assessment*.

Table 8 presents the summary of NMLs applicable for standard construction hours, which were established in the *MPW2 NV assessment* and based on the measured RBL values presented in Table 6. The construction works are expected to typically occur during standard construction hours.

Table 8 – Summary of NMLs for standard construction hours, $L_{Aeq,15min}$, dB(A)

Receiver type	Receiver	Standard construction hours NML
Residential	Casula	49
	Glenfield	45
	Wattle Grove	45
Educational	S1, S2	55
Industrial	I1, I2, I3	75

Should construction works occur outside of standard hours, then construction noise impacts should be assessed against the NMLs presented in Table 9 for each of the OOHW periods identified.

Additional long-term noise monitoring was undertaken as part of the MPE Stage 2 project to satisfy Conditions B26 and B63 of the SSD 16-7628 Development Consent. This data was presented in the document *MPE Stage 2 Noise Monitoring Report, Wilkinson Murray, Report reference: 12186-M2 Report Ver. D, dated February 2018* (MPE Noise Monitoring Report). The data presented in the report was

analysed in accordance with Section A3 “Dealing with ‘shoulder’ periods” of the NPfI for the 6:00am to 7:00am weekday morning shoulder period. The monitoring data determined the following RBL values for the nominated weekday morning shoulder period.

- Casula = 39 dB(A)
- Glenfield = 48 dB(A)
- Wattle Grove = 36 dB(A)

Where the above morning shoulder period RBL values are typically equal to or higher than the adopted daytime RBL values presented in Table 6, the daytime values have been adopted for this period so they are no higher than those adopted for the daytime, consistent with the requirements of the NPfI.

Table 9 – Construction noise management levels by OOHW periods, $L_{Aeq,15min}$, dB(A)

Receiver	OOHW 1 6:00am – 7:00am (Monday – Friday)	OOHW 2 6:00pm – 10:00pm (Monday – Friday)	OOHW 3 7:00am – 8:00am (Saturday)	OOHW 4 1:00pm – 6:00pm (Saturday)
Casula	44 ¹	44	44	44
Glenfield	40 ¹	40 ¹	40	40
Wattle Grove	40 ¹	40 ¹	40	40
S1, S2 (Note 2)	55	55	55	55
I1, I2, I3 (Note 2)	75	75	75	75

- Notes:
1. As outlined in the NPfI, the evening and night criteria or management levels are set no louder than the daytime levels, which includes shoulder periods.
 2. Noise management levels are only applicable when the facility is in use.

5.2 Sleep disturbance

Maximum noise levels generated by construction activities have the potential to disturb sleep. For residential receptors, the ICNG recommends that where construction works are planned to extend over two or more consecutive nights, night is defined as 10:00pm to 7:00am in accordance with ICNG, the Proposal should consider maximum noise levels and the extent and frequency of maximum noise level events exceeding the RBL. The potential for both sleep disturbance and awakenings should be considered in the assessment for the OOHW 1 period / morning shoulder period.

Section 4.3 of the ICNG refers to the NSW ‘Environmental Criteria for Road Traffic Noise’ (ECRTN, 1999), which has been superseded by the NSW ‘Road Noise Policy’ (RNP, 2011). Although noise goals are not specifically provided in the RNP, the RNP includes a review of internal sleep arousal research which provides the basis for reviewing the potential for sleep disturbance impacts.

To assess the likelihood of sleep disturbance, an initial screening level of $L_{Amax} \leq L_{A90(15min)} + 15$ dB(A) is used. In situations where this results in an external screening level of less than 55 dB(A), a minimum screening level of 55 dB(A) is set.

Where there are noise events found to exceed the initial screening level, further analysis is made to identify:

- the likely number of events that might occur during the night assessment period, and
- whether events exceed an 'awakening reaction' level of $L_{A1(1min)}$ 65 dB(A).

Based on the above and the measured morning shoulder period RBLs for each residential receiver area, the applicable initial screening levels are presented in Table 10.

Table 10 – $L_{A1,1min}$ (or L_{Amax}) sleep disturbance screening levels for OOHW 1 period

Catchment	Initial screening level, dB(A) ¹	Adopted screening level, dB(A)
Casula	39 + 15 = 54	55 ²
Glenfield	48 + 15 = 63	63
Wattle Grove	36 + 15 = 51	55 ²

Notes 1. RBL value based upon the established weekday morning shoulder period values for the 6:00am to 7:00am period, as detailed in Section 5.1

2. In situations where this results in an external screening level of less than 55 dB(A), a minimum screening level of 55 dB(A) is set

Where there are noise events found to exceed the initial screening level, further analysis is made to identify:

- The likely number of events that might occur during the night assessment period
- Whether events exceed an 'awakening reaction' level of 55 dB(A) L_{Amax} (internal), which equates to an external L_{Amax} NML of **65 dB(A)**, assuming open windows.

5.3 Construction traffic noise criteria

No additional construction traffic travelling along public roads is proposed as part of the Proposal. The only change from the assessment presented in *MPW Concept NV assessment* and *MPW Stage 2 NV assessment* is that the construction traffic would leave Moorebank Ave at the intersection with Anzac Ave and enter the construction site, travelling along the western boundary and the temporary loop road instead of travelling along Moorebank Avenue prior to entering the southern area of MPW. As such, construction traffic noise along public roads was determined to not increase road traffic noise levels by more than 2dB(A) in the *MPW Concept NV assessment*, and as such would not require further noise mitigation to be considered in accordance with the RNP.

Therefore, noise from construction traffic within the site has been assessed as part of the overall construction noise impacts assessed in Section 6.4.

5.4 Vibration management

The policies and standards outlined in Table 11 are relevant for establishing vibration management levels for the Project.

Table 11 – Vibration standards

Environment impact	Relevant policy/ standard used to establish noise and vibration management level
Vibration impact on humans	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Assessing Vibration: A Technical Guideline (DECC, 2006) British Standard BS 6472-1992 and 2008 'Guide to evaluation of human exposure to vibration in buildings (1-80Hz)'
Vibration (structural damage to buildings and buried services)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> British Standard BS 7385-1993 – Part 2 'Evaluation and measurement of vibration in buildings' German Standard DIN 4150:2016 – Part 3 Structural vibration in buildings – Effects on structures Construction Noise and Vibration Strategy (Transport Construction Authority, 2019)¹

Notes 1. This document has been updated to Version 4.1 since the MPW Concept Plan EIS and MPW Stage 2 EIS were undertaken

Given that vibration intensive activities are not proposed as part of the Proposal and considering the distances from any construction works to nearby vibration sensitive receivers are greater than 100 meters, no vibration impacts from the works are expected. As such, no further assessment of potential vibration impacts on nearby receivers has been undertaken from herein.

6 Construction noise and vibration assessment

6.1 Construction activities

The construction activities associated with the Proposal are:

- Establishment of a works compound to facilitate site development works for the MPW development, as shown in Figure 1 and Figure 2
- Updated vehicle access route to the temporary construction support compound, which was previously along Moorebank Avenue, and is now along the permanent access road and temporary loop road along the western MPW site boundary
- Ancillary works including access roads, earthworks, utilities, stormwater and drainage, signage and landscaping. These ancillary works have already been assessed as part of the *MPW Concept NV assessment* and *MPW Stage 2 NV assessments* and are not proposed to change as a result of the Proposal.

It should be noted that heavy vehicles access, including fill importation will continue via Moorebank Avenue and the Chatham Avenue access point potentially for the duration of Stage 3. Where this remains, the noise emissions will generally be consistent with those assessed as part of MPW Stage 2. However, as part of the construction of the IMT facility and the rail link connection construction works, access via Chatham Avenue will be removed, and an alternate access route will be used. This assessment assesses this potential most conservative situation.

6.1.1 Standard construction hours

A summary of the indicative construction works proposed to take place as part of the Proposal during standard construction hours is provided in Table 12.

These works will take place concurrently with the MPW Stage 2 construction activities and will mainly be associated with the “*Works period C – Bulk earthworks, drainage and utilities*” portion of the MPW Stage 2 construction works. Site establishment works associated with Early Works (Stage 1) will generally be completed by Stage 3 and so have not been directly assessed in this report.

As such, this assessment has only directly assessed this portion of the MPW construction works. The potential noise impacts from the ancillary works associated with the Proposal have been assessed in the *MPW Concept NV assessment* and *MPW Stage 2 NV assessment*.

Table 12 – Construction activities to be undertaken as part of MPW Stage 3 assessed works

Construction works period	MPW Stage 3 construction works component	Activity
Works period C – Bulk earthworks, drainage and utilities	Temporary compound (including stockpiling and material processing) + associated vehicles	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Offices, staff amenities, meeting and training rooms, staff kitchen and canteen facilities. Car parking hardstand area in the eastern portion of the site. Preparation of stockpile sites for materials, temporary spoil storage and mulch Materials crushing plants and processing sites.

6.1.2 Out of hours work

As a result of the updated internal haul road location which may possibly be used during Stage 3, there is potential for changes in construction noise impacts outside of standard construction hours due to construction traffic movement within the site.

Consistent with the *MPW Stage 2 NV assessment*, it is proposed to undertake the importation and placement of fill to the MPW site during the bulk earthworks period during the following hours:

- Material delivery is proposed during the 6:00am to 7:00am weekday morning shoulder period
- Material delivery & stockpiling is still proposed during:
 - 6:00pm to 10:00pm weekdays
 - 7:00am to 8:00am Saturdays
 - 1:00pm to 6:00pm Saturdays

Construction noise impacts from the direct placement of spoil were assessed as part of the *MPW Stage 2 NV assessment*.

6.2 Construction plant and equipment

A summary of the amount of likely plant and equipment that would be associated with the temporary works compound and associated construction activities and vehicles for Stage 3 is provided in Table 13. This equipment is only associated with the temporary works compound that forms part of the Proposal, while concurrently as part of MPW Stage 2 construction works there could also be the construction plant and equipment operating as presented in the *MPW2 NV assessment*. The potential combined construction noise from these concurrent operations is address in the assessment of noise impacts in Section 6.4.

Table 13 – Indicative construction plant and equipment

Equipment	Indicative number of construction plant and equipment assumed operating concurrently	Assumed indicative working area
Material deliveries		
Truck and Dog (per hour) ¹	67	Access road via the western boundary and the temporary loop road to the compound and laydown areas
Works compound		
Water trucks	2	Operating within the temporary compound
Forklifts	2	
Generators	2	
Truck and Dog	2	
Crushing & materials processing ²		
Crushing plant ²	1	Operating within the laydown and material stockpile areas
Truck and Dog	2	
Stockpiling areas		
Loader	1	Operating within the laydown and material stockpile areas

- Notes:
1. Based upon an even distribution of daily movements, as presented in the MPW Stage 2 traffic assessment. It was then assumed that these movements were evenly distributed over the hour and 15-minute periods.
 2. Crushing plants and material processing sites, which have already been approved under MPW Stage 2, could be required, and the crushing plant, being the loudest out of these has been modelled operating.

Sound Power Levels (SWLs) associated with typical construction plant to be used throughout the construction works are identified in Table 14. The SWLs are based on those presented in the *MPW2 NV assessment* for the same type of plant and equipment. Table 14 gives the SWL assumed for each piece of plant or equipment. It is noted that SWL is independent of measurement distance.

Table 14 – Typical construction plant sound power levels (SWL), dB(A)

Plant	Sound Power Level, dB(A) re. 1pW
Loaders ¹	112
Crushing plant ¹	118
Water trucks ¹	105
Forklifts ¹	106
Truck and Dog ²	106
Generators ²	94

Notes: 1. Data obtained from the *MPW2 NV assessment*
 2. Source from past projects Renzo Tonin & Associates (RT&A) library files from noise measurements and references.

6.3 Construction assessment methodology

Consistent with the requirements of the ICNG, construction noise impacts are assessed based on a realistic worst-case (most conservative) assessment of plant and equipment, operating in locations likely to result in the highest impacts on nearby sensitive receivers.

For most construction activities, it is expected that the actual construction noise levels will be lower than predicted at the most exposed receiver, as the noise levels presented in this document are based on a realistic worst-case (most conservative) assessment. Noise levels at other receiver locations within the receiver areas are expected to be even lower than the predicted levels.

Airborne noise impacts from activities associated with the construction works were assessed by modelling the noise sources, receiver locations, topographical features, and possible noise mitigation measures using the CadnaA environmental noise modelling software implementing the CONCAWE algorithms. This is consistent with the *MPW Stage 2 NV assessment*. The model calculates the contribution of each noise source to each identified noise-sensitive receiver building location surrounding the construction area and allows for the prediction of the total noise from the construction works.

The noise prediction model considers:

- Location of noise sources and sensitive receiver locations
- Height of sources and receivers referenced to digital ground contours for the site and surrounding area
- Sound Power Levels (SWL) of plant and equipment likely to be used during the various construction activities
- Separation distances between sources and receivers
- Ground type between sources and receivers
- Attenuation from barriers and topography (natural and purpose built)
- Noise propagation calculated on the implementation of the CONCAWE noise propagation algorithm, assuming neutral meteorological conditions, consistent with the ICNG.

6.4 Construction noise impacts

6.4.1 Standard construction hours

To assess construction noise impacts from the Proposal during standard construction hours, the potential construction noise impacts from the MPW Stage 2 and MPW Stage 3 (the Proposal) construction activities is required to be assessed as they take place concurrently.

The Proposals construction activities were assessed in the *MPW Stage 2 NV assessment*; but in different locations from those potentially used during Stage 3. Therefore, the aim of this assessment is to determine the change in construction noise impacts due to the relocation of these construction activities during the “*Works period C – Bulk earthworks, drainage and utilities*” portion of works, in particular once vehicle access via Chatham Avenue is removed, and this alternate access route is required.

To do this, the Proposals construction activities were modelled in the original Stage 2 locations as presented in the *MPW Stage 2 NV assessment*, assuming the stockpiling, temporary compound activities, and crushing occur within the earthworks compound (see Figure 3-1 of *MPW2 NV assessment*), as presented in Figure 2. These activities were then modelled in the Proposal locations, based on the same conservative plant and equipment, as detailed in Section 6.2.

This predicted noise contribution from the Stage 2 location was then subtracted from the overall “*Works period C – Bulk earthworks, drainage and utilities*” Stage 2 predicted construction noise levels, presented in Table 10-3 of the *MPW Stage 2 NV assessment*, and the contribution from the Proposal locations added to this overall construction noise level, in order to see the potential change.

The overall worst case predicted $L_{Aeq,15\text{minute}}$ construction noise levels during the “*Works period C – Bulk earthworks, drainage and utilities*” works at the nominated sensitive receivers during standard hours is presented in Table 15. Predicted noise levels for Stage 2 construction activities as presented in the *MPW Stage 2 NV assessment* and the Proposal locations are presented as well as the difference in overall construction noise levels between the two stages are also presented in the table.

Table 15 – Predicted construction noise levels during standard hours, L_{Aeq} 15 minute dB(A)

Receiver	NML	Bulk earthworks, drainage and utilities		Difference between MPW Stage 2 (EIS ³) & the Proposal (Stage 2 & 3)
		MPW Stage 2 (EIS ³)	Proposal (MPW Stage 2 & 3)	
Casula	49	50	51	1
Glenfield	45	36	37	1
Wattle Grove	45	37	38	1
S1	55	49	49	0
S2	55	48	49	1
I1 – MPE	75	51	51	0
I2 – DJLU	75	44	49	5
I3 – ABB	75	53	57	4

Notes:

1. Assumes a maximum of 67 heavy vehicle deliveries per hour along the western MPW site boundary road
2. **Bold** indicates exceedance of the NML.
3. EIS = Locations assessed based upon the *MPW Stage 2 NV assessment*

A review of the predicted construction noise levels presented in Table 15 concludes that:

- A minor change in construction noise level of 1 dB(A) as a result of the Proposal compared with Stage 2 construction levels predicted in the *MPW Stage 2 NV assessment*. Furthermore, construction noise levels are predicted to exceed the NML at Casula in both situations. However, exceedances of up to 2 dB(A) were predicted and are considered to be negligible in accordance with the NPfI
- The change in construction noise levels is controlled by the updated access road location, and there is no increase in overall construction noise impacts as a result of moving the location of the temporary works compound and associated laydown areas
- There are no new residential receiver areas identified to exceed the NMLs as a result of the relocated compound, that were not previously identified in the *MPW Stage 2 NV assessment*
- The predicted noise levels and increases in construction noise between the Proposal and Stage 2 works as predicted in the *MPW Stage 2 NV assessment* are during the most intensive bulk earthworks activities, with maximum truck movements.

The assessment demonstrates that the Proposal is not expected to result in any substantial changes in construction noise impacts at nearby sensitive receivers during standard construction hours.

6.4.2 Outside standard construction hours

During OOH Period 1, which is the 6:00am to 7:00am weekday morning shoulder period, the only construction activity proposed is material delivery.

During OOH Period 2 (6:00pm to 10:00pm weekday evening period), OOH Period 3 (7:00am to 8:00am Saturdays) and OOH Period 4 (1:00pm to 6:00pm Saturday period), the only construction activities proposed are material delivery & stockpiling activities.

These activities have been assessed in the proposed most conservative MPW Stage 3 locations as other MPW Stage 2 construction works are not proposed to occur concurrently.

The overall most conservative predicted $L_{Aeq,15\text{minute}}$ construction noise levels at the sensitive receivers during the OOH periods are presented in Table 16.

Table 16 – Predicted construction noise levels during OOH periods, $L_{Aeq,15\text{ minute}}$ dB(A)

Receiver	NML	MPW Stage 3 Material deliveries ²	MPW Stage 3 Material deliveries ² + stockpiling
		OOHW Period 1	OOHW Period 2, 3 & 4
Casula	44	44	44
Glenfield	40	31	32
Wattle Grove	40	32	34
S1	55	40	40
S2	55	44	44
I1 – MPE	75	48	50
I2 – DJLU	75	47	47
I3 – ABB	75	55	55

Notes: 1. OOHW 1= 6:00am – 7:00am Mon – Fri, OOHW 2= 6:00pm – 10:00pm Mon – Fri, OOHW 3= 7:00am – 8:00am Saturday, OOHW 4= 1:00pm – 6:00pm Saturday
 2. Maximum potential heavy vehicle deliveries of 67 per hour have been assumed along the western MPW site boundary road
 3. Bold indicates exceedance of the NML

As the construction works proposed during OOH Period 1 would occur within the night period (10:00pm to 7:00am), a sleep disturbance assessment has been undertaken assessing the worst case predicted L_{Amax} construction noise levels. Source L_{Amax} levels have been based on noise from metal-on-metal bangs [ie. tailgate bangs or loose chains, with a SWL of 120 dB(A)] as the trucks move along the internal haul road. The sleep disturbance assessment results are presented in Table 17.

Table 17 – Predicted L_{Amax} construction noise levels during OOH Period 1 – MPW Stage 3 activities

Receiver	Screening level	Awakening reaction	Predicted noise level
Casula	55	65	58
Glenfield	63	65	43
Wattle Grove	55	65	43

Notes: 1. Bold indicates exceedance of the screening level

As review of the predicted construction noise levels presented in Table 16 and Table 17 concludes that:

1. During the most conservative scenario where truck material delivery movements are occurring during the proposed OOH periods, the predicted noise levels achieve the NML.

2. The sleep disturbance screening level is achieved at all residential receivers, except for potentially some in Casula. However, all predicted noise levels remain below the awakening reaction level at all nearby residential receivers.

The assessment demonstrates that the Proposal is not expected to exceed the NML at nearby sensitive receivers during OOH periods, however there is the potential for high noise events to exceed the sleep disturbance screening level. Management of these sources high noise events (ie. tailgate bangs or loose chains) from delivery vehicles will help to minimise the potential for sleep disturbance impacts on nearby receivers. As such, it is recommended that a feasible and reasonable approach towards noise management measures outside of standard construction hours be applied to manage the potential impacts from construction noise. Further details on construction noise management and mitigation measures are provided in Section 6.5.

6.4.3 Cumulative construction noise impacts

As required by Condition E28 (5066 MOD 1), potential cumulative construction noise impacts from concurrent works as part of the MPE project are to be reviewed. Applicable noise levels for various MPE construction stages have been referenced from the following document:

- MPE Stage 2 (SSD 7628) – *MPE Stage 2 Noise and Vibration Impacts Assessment, Wilkinson Murray, Report reference: 12186-S2 Report Ver. C, dated December 2016 (MPE2 NV assessment).*

Based upon a review of the current construction programming for the MPW and MPE, the indicative construction programs applicable for the development are presented in Table 18.

Table 18 – Indicative construction program of concurrent projects (MPW and MPE) (MPW Stage 3 shaded blue)

Construction Phase ¹	2020			2021				2022				2023			
	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
SSD 7709 MPW Stage 2															
Pre-construction stockpiling															
Site preparation activities															
Bulk earthworks, drainage and utilities															
Moorebank Avenue/Anzac Road intersection works and internal road network															
Moorebank Avenue realignment (upgrade) works (subject to separate SSI)															
IMT facility and rail link connection															
Construction and fit-out of warehousing															
Freight village															

Construction Phase ¹	2020			2021				2022				2023			
	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
SSD 10431 MPW Stage 3															
Fill importation															
Internal roads, services and utilities															
Construction compound															
SSD 7268 MPE Stage 2²															
Construction of WH5															
Construction of WH6, WH7, WH8															
Construction of Freight village															
Internal road networks															
Upgrade to Moorebank Avenue/M5 intersection															
Upgrade to Moorebank Avenue/Heathcote Road/Newbridge Road intersections															
Construction of WH2	TBA														

Notes: 1. Timings are indicative only and are subject to change. Construction phasing is subject to market conditions, commercial agreements and authority approvals.

2. Interim Occupation Certificates have already been issued for WH1, WH3 and WH4

A cumulative construction noise assessment for MPW Stage 2 is presented in Section 10.4 of *MPW Stage 2 NV assessment*. As part of MPW, the Stage 3 works will support the Stage 2 works. Section 6.4.1 and Section 6.4.2 present the noise levels that are predicted from MPW Stage 2 & 3 that could differ from what was presented in the *MPW Stage 2 NV assessment*. These are replicated in Table 19.

As part of the MPE project, the following works are proposed to potentially occur concurrently with the MPW Stage 3 construction activities. The associated predicted noise levels from the *MPE Stage 2 NV assessment* are presented in Table 19.

- Miscellaneous construction and finishing works for the freight village and various warehouses, which includes the internal road networks, are predicted to be 6 dB(A) or more below the NML at sensitive receivers. As the earthworks and foundations have been completed across MPE, the construction activities that would be required for these internal road network construction works would predominately be finishing works for the road surfaces.
- The predicted construction noise levels from the warehouse and freight village construction works, as presented in the *MPE Stage 2 NV assessment* is 46 dB(A) at Wattle Grove. The construction noise levels for the MPW Stage 2 & 3 works are predicted to be 8 dB(A) below this, and 7 dB(A) below the NML. If these occur concurrently, the increase would be less than 1 dB(A).
- Based upon the predicted noise level presented in the *MPE Stage 2 NV assessment* for the Moorebank Ave road and intersection works, the Moorebank Avenue and M5 intersection works should be 7 dB(A) or more below the NML at nearby sensitive receivers.
- Moorebank Avenue/Heathcote Road/Newbridge Road intersection works are located 1.5 kilometres north of MPW, and the contribution from these works at the same sensitive receivers as impacted by MPW or MPW construction works is considered negligible.

Presented in Table 19 are the relevant predictions from this assessment and the MPE Stage 2 NV assessment for work stages that are proposed to occur concurrently with the Proposal works.

Table 19 – Review of potential concurrent construction noise levels, L_{Aeq} 15 minute dB(A)

Receiver	Standard construction hours NML	MPW Stage 2 & 3 Bulk earthworks, drainage and utilities		MPE Stage 2 ⁴			Potential maximum construction noise level increase if all activities occur concurrently ⁷
		MPW Stage 2 (EIS ³)	Proposal (MPW Stage 2 & 3) ²	Moorebank Ave road and intersection works ^{4a}	Warehouse/ freight village construction works ^{4b}	Miscellaneous construction and finishing works ^{4c}	
Casula	49	50	51	41	43	38	1
Glenfield	45	36	37	30	32	26	2
Wattle Grove	45	37	38	38 ⁵	46 ^{5,6}	41 ⁵	2 ⁶
S1	55	49	49	39	41	35	1
S2	55	48	49	37	39	34	1

- Notes:
1. Bold indicates exceedance of the NML
 2. Assumes a maximum of 67 heavy vehicle deliveries per hour along the western MPW site boundary road
 3. EIS = Locations assessed based upon the *MPW Stage 2 NV assessment*
 4. Predicted noise levels from Table 6-7 of the *MPE Stage 2 NV assessment*,
a) Period E, b) Period F, c) Period G
 5. Based upon highest value of Wattle Grove and Wattle Grove North from the *MPE Stage 2 NV assessment*
 6. *MPE Stage 2 NV assessment* separates Wattle Grove into Wattle Grove and Wattle Grove North. For Wattle Grove, the predicted level is 46 dB(A) with NML=52 dB(A), and so achieves this. For Wattle Grove North, the predicted level is 41 dB(A) with NML=46 dB(A), and so achieves this. In both cases, a 2 dB(A) increase still achieves the relevant *MPE Stage 2 NV assessment* NML.
 7. There is no difference in potential increase when either MPW Stage 2 (EIS) or Proposal (MPW Stage 2 & 3) is considered.

As such, the construction noise levels at sensitive receivers west of the MPW and MPE in Casula would generally be dominated by the MPW Stage 2 & 3 works, while sensitive receivers to the east of the site in Wattle Grove would generally be dominated by the MPE Stage 2 works. When considering MPW Stage 3, in all cases for all nearby sensitive receivers, the highest increase in construction noise levels in the most conservative situation where MPW Stage 2 & 3 and MPE works occur concurrently is 2 dB(A). This difference would be considered a minor change in the overall construction noise level, and there is no change in this outcome when considering either the noise levels presented in the *MPW Stage 2 NV assessment* or the updated noise levels associated with the Proposal.

Furthermore, the construction noise levels at the sensitive receivers in Casula could potentially increase from 2 dB(A) to 3 dB(A) above the NML compared to the predictions in Section 6.4.1. However, noting that these predicted noise levels consider the worst case number of heavy vehicle deliveries per hour for the MPW Stage 3 deliveries, all MPE works occurring concurrently, and that the construction assessments are conservative, as noted in Section 6.6 of the *MPW Stage 2 NV assessment*; the likelihood that this cumulative construction noise level could occur is low and any exceedances of the NML would generally remain negligible in accordance with the NPfI.

As such, considering the review of cumulative construction noise based upon the predicted construction levels presented in the *MPE Stage 2 NV assessment* and this report, the currently proposed mitigation and management of construction noise from the MPW Stage 2 should sufficiently manage construction

noise impacts on nearby sensitive receivers with consideration of other concurrent construction works taking place across the MPW and MPE sites.

6.5 Noise and vibration management measures

The assessment has demonstrated that there is potential for exceedances of the established noise management levels due to the construction works associated with the Proposal; however, the impacts are not substantially different from those already assessed and presented in the *MPW2 NV assessment* and *MPW Concept NV assessment*.

The best practice mitigation and management measures to minimise construction noise and vibration impacts at sensitive receivers, as outlined in the previous assessments, should be implemented through the Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan (CNVMP).

As part of the CNVMP, the following noise and vibration mitigation measures in addition to the general management measures detailed in Section 6.5 above would be considered to assist with mitigating and managing noise and vibration impacts from the updated Stage 3 temporary compound and associated construction works and heavy vehicle movements, where reasonable and feasible.

- Ensuring the heavy vehicles are not required to stop and use air brakes along the access road or when close to nearby residential receivers
- Loose items or items with the potential to generate high noise events (ie. tailgate bangs or loose chains) on delivery vehicles are substituted or prevented from moving (ie. straps or plastic covered chains)
- All plant to be well maintained and fitted with noise mufflers, engine hoods, etc
- Timetabling noisiest activities to occur at the least sensitive times
- Using spotters, closed circuit television monitors, "smart" reversing alarms, or "squawker" type reversing alarms in place of traditional reversing alarms
- Mitigation of specific noise sources using portable temporary screens or enclosures, where practicable and safe
- Turning off plant and equipment when not in use
- Carrying out loading and unloading away from sensitive receivers, where practicable
- Avoid dropping materials from a height
- Substituting noisy / vibration intensive equipment with less intrusive types
- Maximising the offset distance between noisy plant items and sensitive receivers
- Avoiding using noisy plant simultaneously and / or close together, adjacent to sensitive receivers, where practicable

- Orienting noise generating equipment with directional characteristics so that loud axis points away from sensitive receivers, where practicable
- Using noise source controls, such as the use of residential class mufflers, to reduce noise from all plant and equipment including cranes, graders, excavators and trucks
- Selecting plant and equipment based on noise emission levels
- Temporary acoustic shielding.

The potential noise reduction that can be achieved by noise mitigation measures are shown in Table 20.

Table 20 – Noise mitigation measures and potential noise reductions

Management measure	Anticipated noise reduction, dB(A)
Administrative controls	
Operate during approved hours	-
Undertake regular noise monitoring to determine the impact of operating plant on sensitive receivers	-
Appropriate training of onsite staff	-
Undertake community consultation and respond to complaints in accordance with established project procedures	-
Turning off machinery when not in use	0-5
Implementing controls that heavy vehicles are not required to or exhaust air brakes along the access road or at locations close to nearby residential receivers	5-10
Engineering controls	
Using straps or plastic covered chains where reasonable and feasible on delivery vehicles to minimise high noise events from metal-on-metal bangs	10-15
Portable temporary screens (where feasible and reasonable and blocking line of site to receiver)	5-10
Screen or enclosure for stationary equipment	10-15
Maximising the offset distance between noisy plant items and sensitive receivers	3-6
Avoiding using noisy plant simultaneously and / or close together, adjacent to sensitive receivers	2-3
Orienting equipment with directional noise so that it points away from sensitive receivers	3-5
Carrying out loading and unloading away from sensitive receivers	3-5
Using noise source controls, such as the use of residential class mufflers, to reduce noise from all plant and equipment including bulldozers, cranes, graders, excavators and trucks	5-10
Using spotters, closed circuit television monitors, "smart" reversing alarms, or "squawker" type reversing alarms in place of traditional reversing alarms	2-5
Arranging non noise-generating structures such as site offices, storage sheds, stockpiles and tanks as noise barriers	5-10

7 Conclusion

Renzo Tonin & Associates was engaged by Tactical Group on behalf of the Sydney Intermodal Terminal Alliance (SIMTA) to undertake an environmental noise and vibration assessment of the proposed Moorebank Precinct West (MPW) Stage 3 (MPW Stage 3). The MPW Stage 3 proposal comprises:

- Establishment of a works compound to facilitate site development works for the remaining Early Works (Stage 1), MPW Stages 2 and 3 and future stages of the MPW development
- Progressive subdivision of the MPW site into nine (9) allotments
- Ancillary works, for which noise and vibration impacts have been assessed as part of the MPW Stage 2
- Importation of fill to achieve the finished site surface level.

The main potential sources of noise related to the Proposal are:

- Updated location of the temporary construction support compound and associated activities, laydown and materials stockpile locations, and offices to support residual MPW Early Works Stage 1, Stages 2 and 3 site development works and future stages of the MPW development construction works.
- Continuation of crushing activities as approved under MPW Stage 2 in an updated location within the MPW Stage 3 footprint.
- Heavy vehicle material delivery movements within the MPW site. Heavy vehicles access, including fill importation will continue via Moorebank Avenue and the Chatham Avenue access point, potentially for the duration of Stage 3 works. Where this remains, the noise emissions will be generally be consistent with those assessed as part of MPW Stage 2. However, as part of the construction of the IMT facility and the rail link connection construction works, access via Chatham Avenue will be removed, and an alternate vehicle access route will be used. This alternate vehicle access route to the Stage 3 temporary construction support compound and laydown/stockpile locations, will be via the permanent access road and temporary loop road along the western MPW site boundary.

As the majority of the construction noise impacts had already been assessed as part of the MPW Concept and Stage 1 and MPW Stage 2 noise and vibration impact assessments, the assessment aimed to determine the potential changes in construction noise during the MPW Stage 3 works and activities. The assessment predicted and reviewed the potential impacts on nearby sensitive receivers against the relevant noise criteria set by the ICNG generally established as part of the previous assessments.

The assessment found that the Proposal is not expected to result in any substantial changes in construction noise impacts at nearby sensitive receivers during standard construction hours from those already assessed and presented in the *MPW2 NV assessment* and *MPW Concept NV assessment*.

For construction works outside of standard construction hours material deliveries along with stockpiling are predicted to achieve the noise management levels. High noise events are predicted to exceed the sleep disturbance screening level; however, they are not expected to exceed awakening reaction levels at any nearby residential receivers.

The potential for increases in overall construction noise levels from concurrent construction works as part of the Moorebank Precinct East (MPE) with the MPW Stage 3 works have also been assessed, and it has been determined that the currently proposed mitigation and management of construction noise from the MPW Stage 2 works should sufficiently manage construction noise impacts on nearby sensitive receivers with consideration of other concurrent construction works taking place across the MPW and MPE sites.

It is recommended that a feasible and reasonable approach towards noise mitigation and management measures outside of standard construction hours be applied manage construction noise levels at nearby sensitive receivers. As the potential noise impacts are generally consistent with those already presented in the *MPW2 NV assessment* and *MPW Concept NV assessments*, by implementing feasible and reasonable mitigation measures consistent with those required by the previous project approvals, the potential noise impacts from MPW Stage 3 should be sufficiently managed.

APPENDIX A Glossary of terminology

The following is a brief description of the technical terms used to describe noise to assist in understanding the technical issues presented.

Adverse weather	Weather effects that enhance noise (that is, wind and temperature inversions) that occur at a site for a significant period of time (that is, wind occurring more than 30% of the time in any assessment period in any season and/or temperature inversions occurring more than 30% of the nights in winter).
Ambient noise	The all-encompassing noise associated within a given environment at a given time, usually composed of sound from all sources near and far.
Assessment period	The period in a day over which assessments are made.
Assessment Point	A point at which noise measurements are taken or estimated. A point at which noise measurements are taken or estimated.
Background noise	Background noise is the term used to describe the underlying level of noise present in the ambient noise, measured in the absence of the noise under investigation, when extraneous noise is removed. It is described as the average of the minimum noise levels measured on a sound level meter and is measured statistically as the A-weighted noise level exceeded for ninety percent of a sample period. This is represented as the L90 noise level (see below).
Decibel [dB]	The units that sound is measured in. The following are examples of the decibel readings of every day sounds: 0dB The faintest sound we can hear 30dB A quiet library or in a quiet location in the country 45dB Typical office space. Ambience in the city at night 60dB CBD mall at lunch time 70dB The sound of a car passing on the street 80dB Loud music played at home 90dB The sound of a truck passing on the street 100dB The sound of a rock band 110dB Operating a chainsaw or jackhammer 120dB Deafening
dB(A)	A-weighted decibels. The A-weighting noise filter simulates the response of the human ear at relatively low levels, where the ear is not as effective in hearing low frequency sounds as it is in hearing high frequency sounds. That is, low frequency sounds of the same dB level are not heard as loud as high frequency sounds. The sound level meter replicates the human response of the ear by using an electronic filter which is called the "A" filter. A sound level measured with this filter switched on is denoted as dB(A). Practically all noise is measured using the A filter.
dB(C)	C-weighted decibels. The C-weighting noise filter simulates the response of the human ear at relatively high levels, where the human ear is nearly equally effective at hearing from mid-low frequency (63Hz) to mid-high frequency (4kHz), but is less effective outside these frequencies.
Frequency	Frequency is synonymous to pitch. Sounds have a pitch which is peculiar to the nature of the sound generator. For example, the sound of a tiny bell has a high pitch and the sound of a bass drum has a low pitch. Frequency or pitch can be measured on a scale in units of Hertz or Hz.
Impulsive noise	Having a high peak of short duration or a sequence of such peaks. A sequence of impulses in rapid succession is termed repetitive impulsive noise.
Intermittent noise	The level suddenly drops to that of the background noise several times during the period of observation. The time during which the noise remains at levels different from that of the ambient is one second or more.
L _{Max}	The maximum sound pressure level measured over a given period.
L _{Min}	The minimum sound pressure level measured over a given period.

L ₁	The sound pressure level that is exceeded for 1% of the time for which the given sound is measured.
L ₁₀	The sound pressure level that is exceeded for 10% of the time for which the given sound is measured.
L ₉₀	The level of noise exceeded for 90% of the time. The bottom 10% of the sample is the L90 noise level expressed in units of dB(A).
L _{eq}	The "equivalent noise level" is the summation of noise events and integrated over a selected period of time.
Reflection	Sound wave changed in direction of propagation due to a solid object obscuring its path.
SEL	Sound Exposure Level (SEL) is the constant sound level which, if maintained for a period of 1 second would have the same acoustic energy as the measured noise event. SEL noise measurements are useful as they can be converted to obtain L _{eq} sound levels over any period of time and can be used for predicting noise at various locations.
Sound	A fluctuation of air pressure which is propagated as a wave through air.
Sound absorption	The ability of a material to absorb sound energy through its conversion into thermal energy.
Sound level meter	An instrument consisting of a microphone, amplifier and indicating device, having a declared performance and designed to measure sound pressure levels.
Sound pressure level (SPL)	The level of noise, usually expressed in decibels, as measured by a standard sound level meter with a microphone.
Sound power level (SWL)	Ten times the logarithm to the base 10 of the ratio of the sound power of the source to the reference sound power.
Tonal noise	Containing a prominent frequency and characterised by a definite pitch.