

any scientific value, where are the measured results subsequent to the 'previous....modelling' to substantiate the 'conservative' position?

Clause ES4.5 paragraph 2

The statement that the seepage rate will not change does not address whether the current seepage rate is acceptable or in compliance with current approval conditions. And the statement that there will not be significant drawdown appears to hide a position on the current unacceptable groundwater profile resulting from current mine operations.

Clause ES4.6

Use of ARI for flooding and rainfall is at odds with current practice of dealing in AEP for events of this magnitude.

Clause ES5 – dotpoint 1

This work should have been planned at least three years ago. MTW is now trying to hold the employees, the community and the government to ransom by sensationalising the impact of delay. It seems to me that this application (& the previous) has been two years late in being lodged and I believe that this is a political decision by the mine to wait until the State had a Coalition government, due to the poor reception from Labour with the alliance with the Greens in the previous parliament.

Clause ES5 – dotpoint 3

It seems from this statement that any other proponent could have put forward a development application for Non-Disturbance Area 1 sometime in recent years, maybe a house or a motor-cross track and consent would have been given. But now it appears that NDA1 was only ever set aside to prevent other development and allow for future expansion of the coal mine.

Clause ES2.2 – paragraphs 1 & 2

This application is a re-submission of a proposal currently subject to legal/judicial review. It is absurd that MTW would consider submitting this application. Furthermore, the proposed extension was approved by the planning panel then rejected at court, where society and community were considered as factors. Nothing in the new application refutes the position of the courts and therefore, it is obvious that MTW does not have a social conscience. The application must be rejected!

CONCLUSION

It makes me sad to see;

1. Destruction of our valley,
2. Perversion of our legislative and judicial systems by multi-national corporations.

Please reject this application on behalf of my family.

