

The the concerns and health of the local community and our local council have only been given lip service by the proponent's. They constantly dismiss the concerns of residents and minimise the negative impacts of this development while never the substance of the concerns people address and overstating its potential benefits.

The Moorebank precinct is not the main area of need for output of this development. The proponent's own documentation highlights this. It would be better to locate this development closer to the intended destination of the warehouses needing the containers which is the Eastern Creek area and further, towards the center of the intended catchment area.

Approving this development would place an unfair impact on the residents of Wattle Grove, Moorebank, Casula and surrounding areas. The terminal is recommended by the proponent for its positive outcomes for NSW but the terminal's many neighbouring residents would have to shoulder an unfair share of the state's traffic, pollution, degradation of the natural environment and the loss of nationally significant historical sites.

This development will turn the area around it into an industrial black hole, destroying all the hard work of our local government in developing Liverpool as a livable city with room for both development and a healthy family friendly lifestyle.

The proponents make a big deal that their development will ease traffic on the M5 between Botany and Moorebank. They also claim that those same trucks as they leave the Intermodal Terminal will not adversely impact the roads of Western Sydney. It is inconceivable that trucks that are causing great impact on the M5 in one area will have little or no impact in another. Residence have a right to expect honesty in the EIS.

Now that SIMTA is behind both projects it would be appropriate for them to resubmit their EIS with one comprehensive document that covers the impact and scale of the project on both sides of Moorebank Avenue.