Andrew Beattie

From:	David Mawer <campaigns@good.do></campaigns@good.do>
Sent:	Sunday, 21 August 2016 2:02 PM
То:	DPE CSE Information Planning Mailbox
Subject:	SIMTA MODIFICATION – SSD 5066 [Early Works]

I object to these modifications, on merit and on principle because...

What I am concerned about is not:

- 1. that the proposal will have negative public health impacts.
- a. through nuisance noise and vibration,
- b. dust and particulate generation from site vehicle movements and from the proposed activity on site
- 2. that there will be negative community impact through public amenity proximal to the site (e.g. museum)

3. that there will significant negative contributions from heavy vehicles to Moorebank Avenue, Heathcote Road and Hume Highway intersections, affecting all commuters either direction of the proposed site

4. the unreasonable, extended hours of operation

But it is that the request has been made, and made now i.e. the proposal is for consideration through the PAC as a separate entity to MICL/SIMTA proposals.

The volume of fill requested is not small, and if something as large as a 1.6 million cubic metres of fill can be overseen, omitted or withheld, what else could be looming? What is concerning is that again the goal posts are shifting with this project, and it seems that there are a couple of possibilities as to why;

1. If the proponents are reckless enough to have overseen this, then a wonderful omission has been made. It reflects poorly on the proponent's ability to plan, even with years at the drawing board, and it demonstrates the incompetency of the management of the project.

2. If the proponents have done this as a premeditated act, it reflects the deceitful nature of what the PAC, the government, and the community have been enduring and will endure long after the project has been completed.

Why would this being supported or endorsed?

I have no faith in the proponent's ability to conduct an ethical, profitable, sensible, complete and beneficial project for the community amongst - and the state within - which it is proposed to exist. The motivation behind the staggered release of projects within the precinct must be questioned, as should be the reasonable utilisation of and activity undertaken on the land. This is a precursor for poor application and inappropriate utilisation of prime riverfront land, where an opportunity to reform and develop sensibly the land could exist, but sadly lacks vision of the future – vision of what could be.

The motivation of the proponents' application must be questioned.

The credibility of the entire precinct (development) must be questioned.

The integrity of the proponents (SIMTA/MICL) must be questioned.

All the while producing dust, noise, vibration, gaseous and particulate pollution from combustion. It must be remembered that this site and the overall project was a consideration when the suburb of Wattle Grove did not exist. Now with this current application, it will have unprecedented effects on the proximal residents who were never a consideration originally due do the fact that they simply were not there many years ago. But now they are.

Now, lives will be negatively affected. Public amenity will be negatively affected. Public health will be negatively affected. The hours of operation are unreasonable. Given my entire reason for moving to the (currently quiet) suburb in which I reside I anticipate sleep disturbance from this operation and the projects planned thereafter.

Not happy.

Yours sincerely,

David Mawer

Holsworthy, New South Wales, 2173, Australia

This email was sent via do^gooder, a campaign platform that enables people to contact you regarding issues they care about. The FROM field of this email is campaigns@good.do however the email was sent by David Mawer who provided this email address: ekkithump@hotmail.com

In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 (http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html) we have included this address in the REPLY-TO field and you should respond to David Mawer at that email address.