

**Andrew Beattie**

---

**From:** Kirstie Williams <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Monday, 22 August 2016 10:06 PM  
**To:** DPE CSE Information Planning Mailbox  
**Subject:** SIMTA MODIFICATION – SSD 5066 [Early Works]

I object to these modifications, on merit and on principle because...

This information should have been included in the original application.

The absence of information about required landfill casts doubt on the planning work undertaken as part of the original proposal.

There are no proper mitigation strategies in place to combat air pollution which is already too high.

Road upgrades need to take place before these vehicles can be allowed to enter the precinct.

Traffic modelling has proven that the cure infrastructure will not cope with this influx of traffic.

This proposal should be rejected until all propose work is undertaken.

I object to this proposal.

Yours sincerely,  
Kirstie Williams  
Holsworthy, New South Wales, 2173, Australia

---

This email was sent via do^gooder, a campaign platform that enables people to contact you regarding issues they care about. The FROM field of this email is campaigns@good.do however the email was sent by Kirstie Williams who provided this email address: kikki1401@gmail.com

In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 ( <http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html> ) we have included this address in the REPLY-TO field and you should respond to Kirstie Williams at that email address.

To learn more about do^gooder visit [www.good.do](http://www.good.do)