Andrew Beattie

From: Sent:	Tracey McDonald <campaigns@good.do></campaigns@good.do>
	Saturday, 20 August 2016 5:36 PM DPE CSE Information Planning Mailbox
Subject:	SIMTA MODIFICATION – SSD 5066 [Early Works]

I object to these modifications, on merit and on principle because...

It is unconscionable that anyone would consider putting a concrete crushing facilities at the SIMTA site without any noise barriers or dust protection to reduce the impact to local residences. This is especially egregious when there is already a proposal for a facility of this type in our local area.

2-

I have already experienced dust and noise problems from the work currently being undertaken on the site and I feel that the meager mitigation mentioned in the proposal amendment will not be enough to protect me and my family from this industrial scale earth works.

The proposed hours of operation are unreasonable and will not only cause unreasonable noise levels for sixteen hours a day making it hard to get adequate rest but also a major noise disruption for thirteen hours on a Saturday (no rest on the week and reduced enjoyment of the outdoors in my local area and backyard).

The increase in road traffic to the area has not been addressed, placing an additional 1490 truck movements on already overburdened roads. Making it harder for to get about in the local area.

It is unreasonable to approve this disruption to the local area when the when there is no actual approval to build anything. This is not site remediation this is earth works for building foundations.

At best this smacks of poor planning during the initial proposal at worst, it is a deliberate attempt to push forward with this project by stealth and deception. Surely companies as big as those which make up SIMTA (Qube Logistics and Aurizon Rail) and the governments MICL organisation should have been able to accurately estimate the materials required to begin their construction, during the initial planning and assessment process.

A new whole of site planning and assessment proposal should be undertaken to ensure accurate information has been provided by the SIMTA/MICL group.

It is unreasonable to grant this request as it is not based an approved whole of site concept plan and there is no approved development application.

Yours sincerely, Tracey McDonald Wattle Grove, New South Wales, 2173, Australia

This email was sent via do^gooder, a campaign platform that enables people to contact you regarding issues they care about. The FROM field of this email is campaigns@good.do however the email was sent by Tracey McDonald who provided this email address: tracey.mcdonald@gmail.com

In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 (http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html) we have included this address in the REPLY-TO field and you should respond to Tracey McDonald at that email address.

To learn more about do^gooder visit www.good.do