4th February 2013

Wilf & Jean Dooley 22 Marsden Street Crookwell NSW 2583 Ph: 0248321343/0418413140

Property Name: "Rainmore & Oakdale" Cnr Goulburn Rd & Pejar Rd Crookwell NSW 2583

Major Projects Assessments Attention: Anna Timbrell Director, Department of Planning & Infrastructure. G P O Box 39 Sydney NSW 2001

Reference: MP 10 0034

Dear Madam.

## We object to the proposed Crookwell Wind farm 3

We own land that is situated on the Goulburn Road and Pejar Road the proposed wind farm Crookwell 3 will complete the total surrounding of our property with Crookwell 2 been on the other side of Pejar Road, We objected to Crookwell 2 but it fell on deaf ears and with towers proposed to be built 800mt from our proposed building site it now appears that Crookwell 3 is to be positioned only 1k from our proposed building site making us surrounded and making out property worthless, we have tried to sell it in the 10yrs but have not even had an offer as the word is out there that there is windfarms in the area, We have asked the propant of the windfarm to buy us out but they say that they not in the business of owning land (the price we are asking is the Valuer General Value which we pay rates on) therefore we are asking you to not approve the application for Crookwell 3 or if so that they be made to buy us out this has been our superannuation and without it things don't look good. They (Union Fenosa) have given us a photomontage of how the towers will look, this photo is far different from the one shown in the visual impact assessment which is part of the Environmental Assessment report, I am sure no one would won't to live there, even if we wanted to build our house on our property the local council Upper Lachlan Shire Council will not allow it as it breaks their DCP that there not be any houses closer they 2K from any wind turbine, I have enclosed a copy of the map as to the position of all the Towers the shaded yellow section is out property.

We acquired this land in 1986 and this was our investment for our future retirement since many farmers do not have any superannuation, the land is well situated for future development for lifestyle living in a beautiful country atmosphere, if these towers go ahead it will greatly **affect the value of our land** for resale, as at 2004 the land in this area has increased in value by 450%, this is estimated on present history of sales in the

area of \$3000.00 per acre, with these towers over shadowing our property we will loose at least \$2200.00 per acre because the land will only be useful for grazing and not development.

Other points that we find concerning is **they will destroy the rural heritage of our landscape** as my husband family settled on the property over one hundred years ago and the property we purchased was part of the original family holding, whether we decide to sell it or not the beauty is always there for people to enjoy.

I also fear that because of the towers that rural fire fighter Units will not go into fight fires in the areas where there are towers, without their help livestock will be lost and maybe human lives can we take that risk.

Also I have not heard of any exit plan for them to be removed in the distant future after their use by date is up.

I strongly object to these towers been placed near our land and if they go ahead we will be seeking compensation as we will stand to loose our retirement fund if the towers go ahead.

Yours Sincerely

Wilf & Jean Dooley

