

**Proforma for Submission of Objection
to the Development of
Jindera Solar Farm – SSD 9549**

Name: LEN & MICHELLE HAMSON

Address: 'BOXDALE'

..... 359 FIELDER MOLL RD GEROGERY NSW 2642

Email:

Rural ☒ **Rural Residential** ☐ **Residential** ☐
(please tick the appropriate land class)

Property Size: 68 HECTARES

Reason for Objection:
(please tick all that apply to yourself)

Adverse Environmental Affects

- ☒ Dust
- ☒ Noise
- ☒ Glare
- ☒ Loss of Flora and Fauna habitat

Adverse Social Affects

- ☒ Loss of cultural heritage sites
- ☒ Dividing the district and neighbourhood
- ☒ Over 60 landholders immediately affected

Adverse Economic Affects

- ☒ Loss of prime agricultural land
- ☒ Loss of important agricultural land
- ☒ Loss of agricultural production
- ☒ Solar companies all foreign owned
- ☒ Devaluing of prime agricultural land and lifetime investments

Jindera Township

- ☒ Restricts future growth
- ☒ Proposal doesn't give adequate detail of the proximity to township
- ☒ Changing climatic conditions for the township and surrounds

- ☒ Bushfire Risk
- ☒ Insurance issues
- ☒ Traffic management
- ☒ Work hours disturbing the tranquillity

Additional Comments: We are extremely concerned about
the effect on our prime agricultural land as we believe
there will be a great detrimental effect on all the
surrounding farms that especially is one of our most

- I have made no political donations in the past five years

Signed: Michelle Maron
Michelle Maron

SEE ATTACHED
SHEET

lengthly droughts that this area has still been able to produce a fair production over the past 2 yrs.

We are also concerned over the bushfire risk and the liability to landholders should a fire due to lightning or other factors be started and flow through to the SOLAR PLANT!

The amount of panels required to fill these SOLAR PLANTS. I understand the JINDERA PLANT will be 400,000 panels.

The adverse effect on landholders who for generations have farmed their land together and now (even though you say it hasn't) have caused them to have some alienation which is sad to see after so many years.

The removal of so many trees and filling in of some dams to enable this plant to operate is not, as you claim, going to be environmentally friendly.

We still have not been given an appropriate explanation for the disposal of panels at the end of the 30yr tenure.

We believe that these plants would be more beneficial to the areas where water allocations are almost negative, it would allow the landholders who are in a more marginal production area to remain on their farms.

If you proceed with this SOLAR PLANT we believe the local community as a whole will never recover.