

29 August 2011



PCU025546

J. Hampson

344 Arakoon Rd

South West Rocks 243

Mason Projects Assessment

Department Of Planning And Infrastructure

GPO Box 39

Sydney NSW 2001

Department of Planning

Received

1 SEP 2011

Scanning Room

Re 090019 Riverside Tourist Cabins
New Entrance Road South West Rocks

I am writing to you with my submission of objection
on the proposed development. The area in question houses
A recognised wetland and moreover areas that is already
experience stress from over development with in this
Area. This coastal village already maintains three
Caravan Parks offering self contained tourist cabins.
Some of the issues involving this development are as
follows:

1. The Area Entirely Slop 14 Wetland And Declared
Endangered Ecological Community (EEC).
2. Lowed 7D Scenic Protection (Is This Proposed In
Contravention Of Kampey Shire Council's LEP Land Size).
3. Scenic 7D Zoning Requires, That A Development Does
Not Detract From The Scenic Quality Of The Land.
4. With In Approximately 40m On The River Bank, Also
Entrably With In The Macleay Foodplain (See
Illustration 2.5 Of The EA).
5. If The Development Is Allowed It Will Set Precedence
For This Area And We'll See An Incremental Lot By Lot
Development Resulting In A Ribon Settlement Along The
Coastal Road And Along The Main Road Access.

6. THIS DEVELOPMENT WILL REDUCE THE VISUAL AND ECOLOGICAL INTEREST OF THE SURROUNDING AREA AND RIVER FRONTAGE, SOUTH WEST ROCKS STILL DERIVES A LIVING FROM FISHERY, BOTH COMMERCIAL AND RECREATIONAL; THESE WETLANDS HAVE PART OF THE BREEDING THAT SUSTAIN THIS INDUSTRY.
7. APPARENT GOES TO GREAT LENGTH AS TO HIS RECOMMENDATIONS IMPROVE THE WET LANDS, THE WETLAND CAN BE REGENERATED BY CHANGING THE CURRENT UNDER GOVERNMENT ROAD (GOOLBURN) RE-ALIGNING THE CIVILIAN BECAUSE THE SOUTHERN END IS HEAVIER THAN THE NORTHERN END.
8. TABLE 2-1 PAGE 7 ADVANCE RAINFALL (a) GIVE WHAT PERIOD WAS ADVANCE CHARGED (b) QUESTION FIGURES - BECAUSE OF THAT HAS A OFFERING REBUILDING SMOOTHER AT SMOOY CREEK. (c) IN JUNE THIS YEAR (2011) RAINFALL IN THIS AREA WAS APPROX 600MM, THE AREA IN QUESTION HAD WATER TO THE ROAD-WAY.
9. QUESTION THE DETAILS ON JOBS, AS MOST OF THE CARS ARE GOING TO BE BUILT IN STATES.
10. SITE WILL NEVER BE 2,500MM AS EXPLAINED WOULD MOST LIKELY FORCE WATER TO FLOW FASTER - THEREFOR CAUSING PROBLEMS ELSE WHERE.
11. WHAT PLANS ARE IN PLACE RE POSSIBLE FIRMS, FLOODING ETC AS THE LICENSING DOES NOT LIVE ON SITE.
12. PROTECTION OF WETLANDS FROM OIL, FUEL SPILLS.
13. STORMWATER CONTROL WILL BE DIFFICULT IN SUCH A HEAVY AND IT WILL BE DIFFICULT TO PREVENT POLLUTED RUNOFF ENTERING THE RIVER.
14. "DO NOTHING" APPROACH PAGE 64, LETS KEEP THE AREA AS IT IS DON'T WANT CABINS, FILL AND LAND SCAPING, THE SAND WETLANDS WILL REGENERATE TO ITS

(3)

NATURAL STATE BY CLEANING OUT THE CULVERT UNDER GOVERNMENT ROAD AND REALIGNING TO ALLOW TIDAL SALT WATER INTO THE WING OF SEPP 14 WETLANDS.

15. NOTICE SHOULD BE TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT OF THE FLOODS IN QUEENSLAND RE FLOODING, GOVERNMENTS MUST TAKE NOTICE OF ALL RULES AND REGULATION RE SCENIC PROTECTION - SEPP 14, CLIMATE CHANGE / SEA LEVELS / SEA SURGE, PEOPLE AND PROPERTY MUST BE PROTECTED IN LOW AREAS.

THE DEVELOPMENT SHOULD BE REFUSED

I AM NOT A MEMBER OF ANY POLITICAL PARTY, NOR DO I MAKE DONATIONS.

Yours Sincerely


(J.F. Hampson)