

From: [Peter Baumann](#)
To: [Thomas Watt](#)
Subject: RE: Exhibition Notice - Slys Quarry Expansion Project (SSD 6624)
Date: Friday, 22 May 2015 6:38:37 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Hi Thomas

Crown Lands raised the following points;

1. The eastern boundary of the proposed quarry expansion comprises a Crown Public road that is excluded from the development proposal (as shown on the site survey plan and referred to in final paragraph of Site, Location & Land Uses on P8 of the Preliminary Environmental Assessment.)
2. The EIS should recognise the status of the Crown Public road and acknowledge legal rights of public access under the Roads Act 1993
3. There should be no obstruction of legal public access along the Crown Public road.
4. The EIS should address potential impacts and risks associated with the Crown Public road.
5. The necessity for a fence along the road boundary should be addressed. The Crown road is not subject to an enclosure permit administered by Crown Lands. It should therefore be fenced out of the adjoining Lot 2 DP 1055044 owned by Noel & Kerry Newman.
6. It is inappropriate for the limits of extraction to extend to the Crown Public road boundary as shown by the Preliminary Environmental Assessment. The EIS should adjust the boundary of the proposed extraction limit and provide an appropriate buffer zone and batters to protect the road reserve and address any risks to public rights of access.
7. Alternatively the option of applying to close and purchase the road should be considered - contact Crown Lands for further details
http://www.lpma.nsw.gov.au/crown_lands/roads

The EIS includes a reference to the Roads Act 1993 and Appendix "A" (Quarry Site Plan) shows an offset distance from the road reserve incorporating a perimeter access track and boundary fence. These arrangements are considered reasonable to address concerns relating to any potential environmental impacts on the public road reserve. However given the EIS does not clearly address the above points that were raised Crown Lands considers that it fails to effectively address the implicit public risk management concerns associated with an adjoining public road reserve. For example there is no reference to public risk management in its operational management planning i.e. public access controls.

In view of the above concerns Crown Lands requests that the following conditions of approval be considered;

- There should be no obstruction of legal public access along the Crown Public road (in accordance with public rights of access under the Roads Act 1993)
- The Crown public road within Lot 2 DP 1055044 to be fenced out of the adjoining Lot 2 DP 1055044 (to effectively address public risk associated with public rights of access and in view of the fact that no enclosure permit is held by the landowner)

The option of applying to close and purchase the road remains open.