

Application Number SSI 7485

WestConnex M4-M5 Link – Modification 2 The Crescent overpass

Geoff Klimpsch  
Leichhardt

Firstly, I am emailing because, my registration with [Department of Planning and Environment](#) does not accept my login with my accepted & applied password.

My objection, after reviewing document "M4-M5 Link MOD 2 Modification Report\_Main Report\_Large fil\_" to the design can be encapsulated by the images on page 140 of the report, being:-

Plate 6-8 Photomontage 5: Indicative view west from the corner of The Crescent and James Craig Road (**without project**), and

Plate 6-8 Photomontage 5: Indicative view west from the corner of The Crescent and James Craig Road (**with project**)

The planning, with a visually aggressive outcome by way of the excessively high and convoluted pedestrian overpass, will forever be seen as the compromise that it is. This apparent eyesore could be avoided by dropping "The Crescent overpass" to below the existing City West Link, and thus allow the "Shared user path bridge to be lowered some 5 meters (see page 58, Figure 4-7 Elevation: Indicative view of the shared user path bridge).

Is this engineering for engineering sake, or a constructors cost saving measure? Further, from past experience of 'photomontages used to sell projects', as this is, a comparable photo taken of the completed project, 'will be highly likely' to demonstrate an 'as-built' that is far more intrusive, brutal and inelegant than 'architectural renders' photomontage' on page 140, Plate 6-8 Photomontage 5: Indicative view west from the corner of The Crescent and James Craig Road (**with project**).