

I am directly affected by the Jupiter Wind Farm Project {SSD 6277} and live at 20 Kings Creek Lane Boro NSW (off Duckfield Road) at location J217 as per the Jupiter Wind EIS\_Appendix F\_Landscape and Visual Part 2. I will have the pleasure of having 19 of the wind turbines within 2km of our house and as a landholder and resident without clear or solid evidence that living this close to the wind turbines will not be detrimental to my health I object to the project proceeding.

I have read through the thousands of pages of the EPYC proposal as no doubt the department are in the process of doing and have come to the conclusion as I am sure the department will that the proposal is full of fantasy and untruths.

I am not going to go on for pages and point out every minor mistake and piece of misinformation provided in the proposal as that is the department's job and that is what we as tax payers pay them to do. I trust that the department has the fortitude to reject the EPYC proposal based on the following.

- The minimum requirements of the current guidelines have not been met or addressed sufficiently.
- The project is not good for the local and broader community. The only supporters of the project are the hosting properties. Not even the local council are supporting the project.
- The project area is heavy density residential rural and is not suited for this type of proposal without having severe visual and noise impact on the majority of the residents in the community and project area.
- The project will impact greatly on the Aerial firefighting capacity within and adjacent to the project area. Please refer to the recent Currandooley and Boro fires for further information. Although I would be surprised and disappointed if you have not already received these reports.
- The EPYC submission does not sufficiently cover the impact and degradation of the current infrastructure and roads. They even fail to acknowledge the school bus service that has supported our children for the past twelve years.
- The noise impact study is extremely open ended and made worse by the fact that by the time they actually build the wind towers the data provided in the report will be out dated as these towers are a first and have not been built before. We all realise that technology changes and therefore the noise impact should be less by the time they are built. But

let's not forget thalidomide won't hurt your new born baby, crop dusting with DDT won't hurt you and smoking won't cause cancer.

And the latest "Wind Tower Noise Will Not Affect Your Health"

If the project is approved I will take its approval as a **guarantee** from the department that it will not affect my family's health. Are you game enough???

I could go on and about the effect on property values, effect on local wild life and the mistakes in the EPYC submission but I am sure the department has been told about this 100 times over.

**I just want the department and their staff to ask themselves one simple question:**

1. Would you let your family wake up every morning for the rest of their lives looking at 19 wind towers larger than Sydney harbor bridge within 2km not knowing or having any clear evidence (apart from a biased view from a company trying to make money) on how it might affect your health.

If your answer is **yes** you don't deserve to have a family if you are prepared to expose them to this without the correct evidence.